

# UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS AGRÁRIAS PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM RECURSOS GENÉTICOS VEGETAIS

Julia Zappelini

Evolução molecular em Bambusoideae Luerss. (Poaceae Barnhart): taxonomia molecular e caracterização de genoma plastidial de espécies nativas.

Florianópolis 2022 Julia Zappelini

Evolução molecular em Bambusoideae Luerss. (Poaceae Barnhart): taxonomia molecular e caracterização de genoma plastidial de espécies nativas.

Tese submetida ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Recursos Genéticos Vegetais da Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina para a obtenção do título de Doutora em Ciências. Orientador: Prof. Miguel Pedro Guerra, Dr.

Florianópolis 2022

Ficha de identificação da obra elaborada pelo autor, através do Programa de Geração Automática da Biblioteca Universitária da UFSC.

Zappelini, Julia Evolução molecular em Bambusoideae Luerss. (Poaceae Barnhart): taxonomia molecular e caracterização de genoma plastidial de espécies nativas. / Julia Zappelini ; orientador, Miguel Pedro Guerra, 2022. 102 p. Tese (doutorado) - Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Centro de Ciências Agrárias, Programa de Pós Graduação em Recursos Genéticos Vegetais, Florianópolis, 2022. Inclui referências. 1. Recursos Genéticos Vegetais. 2. Bambus. 3. Diversidade genética. 4. DNA barcoding. 5. Plastoma. I. Guerra, Miguel Pedro. II. Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Recursos Genéticos Vegetais. III. Título. Julia Zappelini

Evolução molecular em Bambusoideae Luerss. (Poaceae Barnhart): taxonomia molecular e caracterização de genoma plastidial de espécies nativas.

O presente trabalho em nível de doutorado foi avaliado e aprovado por banca examinadora composta pelos seguintes membros:

Prof.<sup>a</sup> Leila Nascimento Vieira, Dr.<sup>a</sup> UFPR

Prof. Valdir Marcos Stefenon, Dr. PPG RGV - UFSC

Prof. Rubens O. Nodari, Dr. PPG RGV - UFSC

Certificamos que esta é a **versão original e final** do trabalho de conclusão que foi julgado adequado para obtenção do título de Doutor(a) em Ciências.

Coordenação do Programa de Pós-Graduação

Prof. Miguel Pedro Guerra, Dr. Orientador

Florianópolis, 2022.

Dedico este trabalho aos meus queridos pais, Aldo Cesar (*in memoriam*) e Norma, e às minhas parceiras de vida, Alessandra e Ana Paula.

#### AGRADECIMENTOS

Eu dedico este trabalho, e agradeço à minha família de origem, meus pais, Aldo Cesar e Norma, e minhas irmãs, Alessandra e Ana Paula, pelo incentivo ao meu desenvolvimento ao longo da minha trajetória. Obrigada por acreditarem em todos os meus sonhos, sejam eles quais forem.

Aos Guilherme Brand Farias, meu companheiro escolhido de vida, que soube conciliar amor, respeito e apoio durante esses últimos anos. Os duros desafios postos só puderam ser superados com o teu suporte.

Sou grata ao meu orientador, Prof. Dr. Miguel Pedro Guerra, pelos ensinamentos e confiança depositada no meu trabalho, e em especial, pelo constante incentivo. Considero uma honra fazer parte do grupo de orientados do Prof. Miguel Guerra, troféu que levantarei por onde for.

Aos meus amigos do Laboratório de Fisiologia do Desenvolvimento e Genética Vegetal (LFDGV/UFSC), pela acolhida, conversas e tempo de descontração. Em especial, ao Thiago Ornellas, Edson Cardona, Catarina Puttkammer, Morgana Lopes, Lilian Machado, Yohan Fritsche, Lenon Modesto, Carolina Marchetti e Caroline Zanatta. Agradeço à Milena Machado por toda ajuda e dedicação ao trabalho como parte do seu projeto de Iniciação Científica. Obrigada pelo apoio e pela amizade.

À Prof<sup>a</sup> Dr<sup>a</sup> Leila do Nascimento, da Universidade Federal do Paraná, pelo apoio nos processos de sequenciamento e por compartilhar sua experiência. À equipe do Núcleo de Fixação de Nitrogênio (NFN) – UFPR, em especial, ao Prof. Emanuel M. de Sousa pela colaboração com as plataformas de sequenciamento.

Ao Prof. Valdir M. Stefenon, pela oportunidade de sequenciamento pela plataforma MinION. Sou grata pelos momentos de incentivo e de conversas nos últimos anos, que proporcionaram diversas oportunidades de esclarecimento e aprendizado.

Agradeço a oportunidade de fazer parte da Associação Catarinense do Bambu (BambuSC), que trabalha há 17 anos pelo desenvolvimento da cadeia produtiva e divulgação da cultura do bambu em Santa Catarina. A forte corrente de amigos viabilizou a coleta de materiais vegetais raros, tanto exóticos quanto endêmicos, que se tornaram essenciais para o trabalho.

Aos nobres Professores e Professoras do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Recursos Genéticos Vegetais, pelos ensinamentos, tanto os teórico-práticos em disciplinas, quanto pelas conversas nos corredores. Agradeço, fortemente, à Bernadete Ribas pelo suporte administrativo e auxílio de sempre. O seu carinho com os alunos faz toda a diferença nos momentos de pressão e desafios.

Agradeço ao apoio financeiro oferecido pela CAPES e CNPq, que viabilizaram as atividades relativas a este trabalho. E, por fim, à UFSC por proporcionar um ensino de qualidade aos seus alunos, face aos recursos disponíveis e desafios dos últimos anos.

"Precisamos, entretanto, dar sentido humano às nossas construções. E, quando o amor ao dinheiro, ao sucesso nos estiver deixando cegos, saibamos fazer pausas para olhar os lírios do campo e as aves do céu." (Erico Veríssimo).

# **RESUMO EXPANDIDO**

# INTRODUÇÃO

A subfamília Bambusoideae (Poaceae) são gramíneas perenes de rápido crescimento, e reconhecidas como a única linhagem da família com diversificação em hábitats florestais, com mais de 1680 espécies distribuídas entre as tribos Olyreae (herbáceos), Arundinarieae (lignificados de clima temperado) e Bambuseae (lignificados de clima tropical). Os bambus desempenham papéis socioeconômicos em escala mundial, e que acompanhou o desenvolvimento de civilizações ancestrais como relevantes recursos florestais não-madeireiros. Através dos efeitos de manutenção do solo e interação com outras espécies de organismos, os bambus são essenciais componentes para a modulação e estruturação florestal. O Brasil abriga uma das maiores taxas de diversidade de espécies de bambus, cuja ocorrência preferencial acontece nos ameaçados domínios Mata Atlântica e Amazônia. A identificação de espécies, que acompanha a taxonomia clássica, é um dos principais desafios no estudo dos bambus, especialmente devido às raras descrições de eventos de floração e variabilidade morfológica numa mesma espécie. Ainda, considerando a diversidade específica dos bambus no Brasil e o grau de ameaça aos seus principais domínios de ocorrência, a identificação de regiões genômicas altamente polimórficas são ferramentas essenciais para a inferência evolutiva deste grupo pouco estudado.

# **OBJETIVOS**

A presente tese tem como objetivo caracterizar padrões evolutivos na subfamília Bambusoideae em larga escala, com ênfase na identificação de espécies por DNA *barcoding*, bem como pela obtenção de sequências completas de genomas plastidiais e identificação de regiões genômicas altamente informativas.

# **METODOLOGIA**

No Capítulo 1 da presente tese, foram testadas cinco regiões do genoma plastidial candidatas à barcode em Bambusoideae – rbcL, matK, psbK-psbI, rpl32-trnL e trnH-psbA. O grau de variabilidade nucleotídica e poder discriminatório a nível de espécie foi testado em larga escala pela abordagem filogenética, foi avaliado individualmente e em conjunto. No Capítulo 2, foram obtidas as sequências completas do genoma plastidial de três espécies neotropicais – *Guadua trinii, Parodiolyra micrantha* e *Apoclada simplex* – cujos padrões evolutivos e regiões polimórficas foram identificados dentre os respectivos grupos.

# **RESULTADOS e DISCUSSÃO**

No Capítulo I, foram geradas 365 novas sequências com 100% de sucesso de amplificação e alta qualidade de sequenciamento, atendendo aos princípios de minimalismo e escalabilidade. As regiões *psbK-psbI* e *rpl32-trnL* apresentaram maiores taxas de variabilidade nucleotídica e, consequentemente, potencial de discriminação. As topologias Bayesianas obtidas não demonstraram alto grau de resolução em nível de espécie para Bambusoideae. Esta abordagem de avaliação

clássica, considerando o critério de monofilia recíproca, não considera a complexidade evolutiva do grupo estudado. No capítulo II, foram geradas as sequências completas e inéditas de três plastomas de bambus, os quais se mostraram os menores já descritos para a subfamília. Foram identificadas regiões de alta variabilidade nucleotídica, as quais podem ser empregadas na identificação de marcadores moleculares e como ferramentas de inferências evolutivas e para conservação. A conservada ordem e conteúdo gênico, em comparação aos representantes das suas respectivas subtribos, sugerem que as variações no tamanho genômico sejam decorrentes de eventos de inserção/deleção de nucleotídeos nas regiões intergênicas. Regiões de alta taxa de variabilidade nucleotídica foram identificadas nas sequências inéditas dos plastomas das três espécies, localizadas especialmente em regiões intergênicas. As informações obtidas se mostram importantes ferramentas para aplicação em análises filogenéticas e inferências evolutivas para a pouco estudada linhagem neotropical da subfamília Bambusoideae.

# **CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS**

A presente tese é resultado do projeto multidisciplinar e interinstitucional Tecnologias para o Desenvolvimento Sustentável da Cadeia Produtiva do Bambu no Sul do Brasil" (Chamada MCTI/AÇÃO TRANSVERSAL/CNPq N.º 66/2013). A avaliação de regiões candidatas a barcode em Bambusoideae traz a luz a aplicação desta técnica em uma ampla escala amostral, devendo considerar, essencialmente, o padrão evolutivo do grupo estudado, trazendo frágeis aplicabilidades em grupos taxonômicos complexos como Bambusoideae. Dada a grande contribuição do Brasil na distribuição dos bambus, o sequenciamento e a montagem do genoma plastidial de três espécies neotropicais nativas do Brasil permitiu a identificação de tamanho total observados, como ordem e número gênico, apesar da variação de tamanho total observada, bem como de regiões altamente polimórficas e informativas. A execução das atividades referentes à presente tese proporcionou o fortalecimento do tema de pesquisa no Laboratório de Fisiologia do Desenvolvimento e Genética Vegetal (LFDGV, UFSC), e desenvolvimento de trabalhos futuros para a compreensão dos processos evolutivos da subfamília Bambusoideae.

Palavras-chave: Bambus, cpDNA, diversidade genética, DNA barcoding, plastoma.

#### ABSTRACT

Bambusoideae subfamily represents one of the most diverse among Poeaceae family, with remarkable diversification in forest habitat and worldwide distribution. More than 1680 bamboo species are currently distributed in Olyreae (herbaceous bamboos), Arundinarieae (temperate woody bamboos) and Bambuseae (tropical woody bamboos), which socioeconomic and ecological functions are undoubtably recognized. Bamboo diversification, reflected at complex life cycle and morphological patterns, is considered as result of evolutionary events as polyploidy and hybridization preceding the radiation of current lineages. Considering the evolutionary complexity which encounters the subfamily, classical taxonomy is one of the most challenging tasks in bamboos, especially due to the rare description of flowering events. Then it's proposed, at chapter 1 on the present thesis, the test of five candidate plastidial genome regions as DNA barcode, rapid sequencing technique of informative genomic regions for species discrimination. However, the classical evaluation approach, which considers reciprocal monophyly as taxonomic criteria, don't consider the evolutionary complexity of the group, weakening the discriminatory power of the technique applied to bamboos in a large scale. Regarding the distribution of global diversity, Brazil harbors the greatest diversity and endemism degree of neotropical species (woody and herbaceous), occurring preferentially at Atlantic Forest and Amazonia domains. Sequencing of plastidial genomes is an important tool for evolutionary inferences, especially for the less studies ones as neotropical bamboos. Chapter 2 of the present document addresses sequencing data of three bamboo species native to Brazil - Apoclada simplex, Guadua trinii and Parodiolyra micrantha, along with comparative analysis with available plastomes of the corresponding subtribes. Despite being the smallest sequenced plastomes for bamboos, the preserved gene order and content suggests that genome size variation is due to insertion/deletion of bases in intergenic regions. Hypervariable regions were identified in the three new sequenced plastomes, which may contribute to increase resolution of phylogenetic inferences and to species identification of Neotropical bamboos.

Keywords: Bamboos, cpDNA, genetic diversity, DNA barcoding, plastome.

#### LISTA DE FIGURAS

Figura 1. Potencial distribuição geográfica da subfamília Bambusoideae (Poaceae) dentro da cobertura florestal mundial remanescente (Adaptado de INBAR 2014).
9
Figura 2. Morfologia e hábito das três tribos da subfamília Bambusoideae (Poaceae). (A)

Parodiolyra micrantha, representante da tribo Olyreae; (B) Dendrocalamus asper, representante da tribo Bambuseae; (C) Phyllostachys edulis, representante da tribo Arundinarieae.

**Figura 3**. Relações filogenéticas entre as linhagens reconhecidas para Bambusoideae. Os dois painéis representam topologias baseadas em (A) dados plastidiais – indicando parafilia da síndrome de lignificação; e, (B) dados nucleares – indicando a monofilia dos bambus lignificados. A marcação em estrela demonstra o surgimento das características de lignificação nas linhagens (Adaptado de Chalopin et al. 2021). 12

Figura 4. Distribuição da variação intraespecífica considerando um cenário de (A)discriminação entre espécies e de (B) sobreposição das distâncias genéticas. (Adaptadode Meyer & Paulay 2005).18

Figura 5. Esquema geral do mapa circular de um genoma plastidial de plantas, ilustrando a organização estrutural quadripartida das regiões de cópia única LSC (large single-copy)
e SSC (small single-copy), e as duas regiões invertidas repetidas, IRa e IRb (inverted repeat A e B).

## Capítulo 1.

Figure 1A. Bayesian inferences of rbcL sequence alignment of Bambusoideae clades.Numbers of nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with roundblack circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.54Figure 1B. Bayesian inferences of matK sequence alignment of Bambusoideae clades.Numbers of nodes indicated posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with roundblack circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.55Figure 1C. Bayesian inferences of psbK-psbI sequence alignment of Bambusoideaeclades. Numbers of nodes indicated posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked withround black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.56Figure 1D. Bayesian inferences of rpl32-trnL sequence alignment of Bambusoideaeclades. Numbers of nodes indicated posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with

black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.

57

**Figure 1E.** Bayesian inferences of *trnH-psbA* sequence alignment of Bambusoideae clades. Numbers of nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe. 58

**Figure 2A**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rbcL + matK alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.

60

**Figure 2B**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe. 61

**Figure 2C**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe. 62

**Figure 2D**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + matK alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe. 63

Figure 2E. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rbcL + matK + rpl32-trnL +psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicateposterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1.Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.64

## Capítulo 2

**Figure 1.** Morphology and habit of selected Neotropical bamboo species for plastid genome analysis. (A) *Apoclada simplex*; (B) *Guadua trinii*; (C) *Parodiolyra micrantha*.

81

**Figure 2.** Circular map of plastidial genome of *Parodiolyra micrantha*. Genes of different functional groups are colored-coded. Genes represented inside the circle are transcribed counterclockwise, and those outside the circle, are transcribed clockwise. The dark gray inner-circle corresponds to GC content, and light gray, to the AT content. 85

Figure 3. Circular map of plastidial genome of Guadua trinii. Genes of different functional groups are colored-coded. Genes represented inside the circle are transcribed counterclockwise, and those outside the circle are transcribed clockwise. The dark grey inner-circle corresponds to GC content, and the light gray, to the AT content. 86 Figure 4. Circular map of plastidial genome of Apoclada simplex. Genes of different functional groups are colored-coded. Genes represented inside the circle are transcribed counterclockwise, and those outside the circle, are transcribed clockwise. The dark gray inner-cicle correspond to GC content, and light gray, to the AT content. 87

Figure 5. Multiple plastome alignment within species of Bambuseae tribe, subtribe Guaduinae, including the two new sequences of Apoclada simplex and Guadua trinii. The presence of only one local collinear block (LCB) indicated homologous regions without major structural rearrangement or sequence divergence among the compared plastomes.

Figure 6. Multiple plastome alignment within species of Olyreae tribe, subtribe Olyrinae, including the new sequence of Parodiolyra micrantha. The presence of local collinear blocks (LCB) indicated homologous regions without major structural rearrangement or sequence divergence among the compared plastomes. 91

Figure 7. Comparison of the boundaries of LSC, SSC, and IR regions of the plastomes of A. simplex and G. trinii, compared to Guaduinae subtribe representatives. JLB (IRb /LSC), JSB (IRb/SSC), JSA (SSC/IRa) and JLA (IRa/LSC) denote the junctions between 92 each correspondent region in the genome.

Figure 8. Comparison of the boundaries of LSC, SSC, and IR regions of the plastome of P. micrantha compared to Olyrinae subtribe representatives. JLB (IRb /LSC), JSB (IRb/SSC), JSA (SSC/IRa) and JLA (IRa/LSC) denote the junctions between each 93 correspondent region in the genome.

**Figure 9.** Nucleotide diversity  $(\pi)$  of whole-plastome comparison among 7 species of Olyrinae subtribe, including the newly sequenced plastome of Parodiolyra micrantha. Red peaks represent nucleotide diversity per 500 bp (S) Total segregating sites. Numbered peaks indicates (1) trnG(UCC)-trnT(GGU) intergenic spacer ( $\pi = 0.05371$ ; S = 80), (2) trnS(GCU)-psbD genes ( $\pi = 0.05633$ ; S = 69), (3) atpB-rbcL-psaI region ( $\pi = 0.05714$ ; S = 70, (4) *ndhF-rpl32-trnL(UAG)* ( $\pi = 0.04762$ ; S = 70), (5) *rpl16* ( $\pi = 0.04114$ ; S = 100056) and (6) trnL(CAA)-trnI(CAU) ( $\pi = 0.02095$ ; S = 36). 94

**Figure 10.** Nucleotide diversity  $(\pi)$  of whole-plastome comparison among 7 species of Guaduinae subtribe, including the newly sequenced plastome of Apoclada simplex and

90

*Guadua trinii*. Red peaks represent nucleotide diversity per 500 bp. (S) Total segregating sites. Numbered peaks indicates (1) ndhF-rpl32-trnL(UAG) ( $\pi = 0.01181$ ; S = 29); (2) ndhK-trnV(UAC) intergenic region ( $\pi = 0.01086$ ; S = 16), (3) psbK-psbI IGS ( $\pi = 0.0099$ ; S = 12), (4) rbcL-psaI ( $\pi = 0.00971$ ; S = 10), (5) trnG(UCC-trnT(GGU) IGS ( $\pi = 0.00933$ ; S = 10); (6) rpl19-psbA ( $\pi = 0.000857$ ; S = 6). 95

#### LISTA DE TABELAS E QUADROS

## Capítulo 1.

Table 1. Universal primers selected as DNA barcode in Bambusoideae and PCRamplification cycles.48Table 2. Evaluation of sequence quality parameters. All the selected primers successfullyamplified 100% of samples, representing 73 sequences, each.52Table 3. Assessment of nucleotide diversity of individual alignments of the five selectedbarcoding loci in Bambusoideae datasets.53

Table S1. Samples collected for the present study and their respective collection sites.

72

**Table S2**. Sequences retrieved from GenBank (NCBI) based on complete chloroplastgenomes and used for database enrichment.74

**Table S3.** GenBank accessions retrieved individually, corresponding to each barcodelocus evaluated, and used for database enrichment.75

## Capítulo 2.

**Table 1.** Plastid genome sequencing and assembly statistics of *Parodiolyra micrantha*,*Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*. The two formers' data were obtained by Illuminasequencing platform, and A. simplex by MinION technique.84

**Table 2.** Plastome structure and region sizes of Parodiolyra micrantha, Guadua triniiand Apoclada simplex.88

**Table 3.** Gene list identified in the sequenced plastomes of *Parodiolyra micrantha*,*Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*.89

Table S1. General features of reads obtained by short-read and long-read sequencing of the plastomes of *Parodiolyra micrantha*, *Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*.
Table S2. Reference genomes used in plastomes comparative analysis of *Guadua trinii*, *Apoclada simplex* (Bambuseae tribe) and *Parodiolyra micrantha* (Olyreae tribe).
101

## LISTA DE ABREVEATURAS E SIGLAS

- BI Inferência Bayesiana, do inglês "Bayesian inference"
- cpDNA genoma plastidial, do inglês "chloroplast DNA"
- CRL do inglês "contiguous read length"
- dNTP Desoxirribonucleotídeos fosfatados, do inglês "deoxynucleotide triphosphates"
- EDTA do inglês "ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid"
- ILS do ingles "incomplete lineage sorting"
- IR regiões invertidas do inglês "inverted repeats"
- K2P modelo de evolução molecular "Kimura-2-parameters"
- LCB blocos localmente colineares, do inglês "locally collinear blocks"
- LSC do inglês "large single copy"
- MCMC Cadeias de Markov e Monte Carlo, do inglês "Monte Carlo Markov chains"
- NJ do inglês, "Neighbor-Joining"
- PCR Reação em cadeia de polymerase, do inglês "polymerase chain reaction"
- PEG8000 polietilenoglicol, do inglês "polyethylene glycol"
- PIC Sítios parcimoniosamente informativos
- PP Probabilidade posterior, do inglês "Posterior probabilities"
- QV do inglês "quality value"
- SSC do inglês "small Ssingle copy"
- TS do inglês "trace score"

# SUMÁRIO

1. ANTECEDENTES E JUSTIFICATIVA	8
1.1 A subfamília Bambusoideae: descrição, ocorrência e história evolutiva	8
1.2 DNA barcoding: a nova era da sistemática molecular	13
1.2.1 DNA barcoding em Bambusoideae	19
1.3 O genoma plastidial de plantas	21
1.3.1 Evolução de genoma plastidial de bambus	25
1.4 REFERÊNCIAS	27
3. OBJETIVOS	42
3.1 OBJETIVO GERAL	42
3.1.1 Capítulo 1. DNA barcoding in Bambusoideae (Poaceae): are we getting o	close?
	42
3.1.2 Capítulo 2. Sequenciamento e análise comparativa do genoma plastid	ial de
espécies de bambus neotropicais (Bambusoideae, Poaceae) nativas do Brasil	42
3.2 OBJETIVOS ESPECÍFICOS	42
3.2.1 Capítulo 1.	42
3.2.2 Capítulo 2.	42
4. CAPÍTULO I.	44
ABSTRACT	45
INTRODUCTION	46
MATERIAL AND METHODS	47
Sampling and DNA extraction	47
Amplification and sequencing of selected barcode regions	48
Alignment and dataset reconstruction	50
Data analysis and evaluation of DNA barcode potential of selected regions	50
RESULTS	51
Sequencing success and molecular nucleotide diversity	51

Bayesian inferences and species discrimination power of the selected loci, indiv	idually
and combined	53
DISCUSSION	65
CONCLUSIONS	67
REFERENCES	67
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL	72
5. Capítulo II	77
ABSTRACT	78
INTRODUCTION	79
MATERIAL AND METHODS	81
Plant material and DNA isolation	81
Neotropical bamboo plastomes sequencing	82
Plastomes assembly and annotation	82
Comparative analysis of plastomes structures	83
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	83
CONCLUSION	95
REFERENCES	96
6. CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS	102

#### **1. ANTECEDENTES E JUSTIFICATIVA**

A presente tese é um produto do projeto multidisciplinar e interinstitucional denominado "Tecnologias para o Desenvolvimento Sustentável da Cadeia Produtiva do Bambu no Sul do Brasil" (Chamada MCTI/AÇÃO TRANSVERSAL/CNPq N.º 66/2013)", com o objetivo de identificar e superar gargalos científicos e tecnológicos, sob a óptica de biotecnologias, limitantes ao desenvolvimento sustentável da cadeia produtiva do bambu no sul do Brasil. Abordando questões evolutivas de espécies nativas de bambus, as análises foram realizadas no Laboratório de Fisiologia do Desenvolvimento e Genética Vegetal (LFDGV/UFSC) sob orientação do Prof. Dr. Miguel Pedro Guerra, com a colaboração do Prof. Dr. Valdir Stefenon (LFDGV/UFSC), do Prof. Dr. Emanuel Maltempi de Souza e da Prof<sup>a</sup> Dr<sup>a</sup> Leila do Nascimento da Universidade Federal do Paraná. Ainda, a execução das coletas de materiais nativos contou com a colaboração da Associação Catarinense do Bambu – BambuSC.

Visando a identificação de padrões evolutivos, a tese contempla duas abordagens distintas, sendo elas: *i*) Avaliação da aplicabilidade de regiões genômicas para taxonomia molecular (DNA *barcoding*) em Bambusoideae, e; *ii*) Estrutura e análise comparativa do genoma plastidial de espécies nativas de bambu. Portanto, pelas análises comparativas de evolução molecular, a presente tese buscou avançar no conhecimento científico associado à compreensão e distribuição da diversidade genética dos bambus.

## 1.1 A subfamília Bambusoideae: descrição, ocorrência e história evolutiva

Os bambus (Bambusoideae, Poaceae) são gramíneas perenes e de rápido crescimento, reconhecidos como a única linhagem da família com ampla diversificação em hábitats florestais (BPG 2012; Clark et al. 2015). Formando o clado BEP da família Poaceae (Bambusoideae, Ehrhartoideae e Pooideae), a subfamília Bambusoideae representa a terceira mais diversa dentre as gramíneas, agrupando 127 gêneros e 1680 espécies descritas distribuídas numa reconhecida linhagem monofilética (GPWG II 2012; Soreng et al. 2017; Clark & Oliveira 2018). Além de dados moleculares, sua monofilia é sustentada por sinapomorfias morfológicas, como a presença de células braciformes fortemente invaginadas e assimétricas no mesófilo foliar (Kelchner & BPG 2013; Leandro et al. 2016).

Essa subfamília está distribuída em três tribos monofiléticas, representando as linhagens dos bambus herbáceos (tribo Olyreae), lignificados de clima temperado (tribo Arundinarieae) e lignificados de clima tropical (tribo Bambuseae) (Sungkaew et al. 2009; Kelchner & BPG 2013). Com ocorrência natural em todos os continentes, exceto Europa e Antártica, os bambus ocorrem numa ampla gama de hábitats, incluindo campos graminosos e sub-bosques de florestas tropicais e temperadas de até 4.000 m de altitude, onde tendem a formar estandes florestais dominantes (Figura 1) (Judziewicz et al. 1999; BPG 2012; Clark et al. 2015).



**Figura 1.** Potencial distribuição geográfica da subfamília Bambusoideae (Poaceae) dentro da cobertura florestal mundial remanescente (Adaptado de INBAR 2014).

Conhecidos como o "ouro verde" ou a "madeira dos pobres", os bambus acompanharam o desenvolvimento da humanidade, sendo considerados um dos mais antigos materiais estruturais utilizados pelas civilizações (Vorontsova et al. 2016; Emamverdian et al. 2020). Mais de 1.500 usos são atribuídos aos bambus, seja de maneira direta, como alimentação, artesanatos e construção civil, ou indireta, por serviços ecossistêmicos associados (Akinlabi 2017; Kumar et al. 2017; Singh et al. 2020). Como importante produto florestal não-madeireiro, processos e tecnologias industriais vêm sendo desenvolvidas para o uso dos bambus como substitutos a madeira das mais diversas formas, como fonte de fibras e celulose, na produção de biocompósitos e bioenergia (Sharma et al. 2015; van Dam et al. 2018; Muhammad et al. 2019; El-Sayed et al. 2020).

A variação nas características morfológicas e ecofisiológicas observadas dentro da subfamília se reflete na plasticidade de adaptação em diferentes ambientes, reforçando

o potencial ecológico do grupo, especialmente na modulação da estrutura, composição e funções florestais (Ely et al. 2019; Canavan et al. 2019; Fradique et al. 2020). Em geral, os bambus atuam na manutenção da qualidade do solo (amplo sistema rizomatoso), rápido crescimento (eficiente captura de carbono) e restauração de matrizes vegetais degradadas. Portanto, em ambas as escalas ecológicas e sociais, os bambus tornam-se recursos estratégicos para mitigação da pressão sobre os recursos florestais tradicionais, e sobre os efeitos emergentes das mudanças climáticas (INBAR 2014; Yuen et al. 2017; Terefe et al. 2019; Padgurschi et al. 2021).

Os bambus são amplamente distribuídos nos ecossistemas neotropicais, ocorrendo numa ampla variação de gradientes de umidade e altitude, e onde destacam-se regiões de notória riqueza e endemismo de espécies (Clark & Oliveira 2018; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2021). O Brasil apresenta a maior diversidade e grau de endemismo de bambus lignificados neotropicais e herbáceos, com, atualmente, 168 espécies distribuídas em 17 gêneros e 90 espécies agrupadas em 18 gêneros, respectivamente, descritos – com continuamente novas descrições de espécies e gêneros (Santos-Gonçalves et al. 2012; Fisher et al. 2014; Mota et al. 2014; Carvalho et al. 2019; Oliveira et al. 2020a). Dentre o total de 258 espécies e 35 gêneros de bambus no Brasil, 175 espécies e 12 gêneros são considerados endêmicos, com ocorrência preferencial nos ameaçados domínios Mata Atlântica e Amazônia (Filgueiras & Viana 2017).

Característicos componentes de sub-bosques de florestas tropicais, os bambus herbáceos se diferem dos lignificados pela ocorrência de colmos fracamente lignificados, ausência de folhas do colmo, ramificação vegetativa limitada, ausência de lígula externa nas folhas de ramos, flores unissexuais e geralmente dimórficas, com floração anual e raramente monocárpica (Figura 2A) (Clark & Oliveira 2018; Lima et al. 2020). A tribo Olyreae apresenta origem monofilética, embora nenhuma sinapomorfia morfológica tenha sido identificada para o grupo (Oliveira et al. 2014; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2019).

As tribos de bambus lignificados, Bambuseae e Arundinarieae, apresentam características em comum que fazem referência à denominada 'síndrome de lignificação' (*woody syndrome*) – como a presença de um complexo sistema de rizomas, colmos lignificados, geralmente ocos e segmentados, folhas do colmo diferenciadas das folhas dos ramos, ramificação vegetativa complexa, presença de lígulas externas nas lâminas foliares e flores bissexuais (Figura 2B-C) (Clark et al. 2015; Chalopin et al. 2021; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2021). Ainda, ambas as tribos compartilham longos períodos vegetativos, de 30-40 anos para Bambuseae e 50-60 anos para Arundinarieae, seguidos de eventos de

floração geralmente gregária e monocárpica (Zheng et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020a; Guerreiro et al. 2020). As tribos Arundinarieae e Bambuseae diferem entre si pelas características de desenvolvimento dos ramos, morfologia dos rizomas e nível de ploidia (BPG 2012; Soreng et al. 2017).



Figura 2. Morfologia e hábito das três tribos da subfamília Bambusoideae (Poaceae). (A) *Parodiolyra micrantha*, representante da tribo Olyreae; (B) *Dendrocalamus asper*, representante da tribo Bambuseae; (C) *Phyllostachys edulis*, representante da tribo Arundinarieae.

Estima-se que a subfamília Bambusoideae tenha se originado no final do período Eoceno (há 35-50 milhões de anos), com dispersão e radiação dependentes dos macroeventos climáticos do Mioceno, iniciando-se a partir da conexão entre placas tectônicas Índica e Euroasiática (Zhang et al. 2016; Srivastava et al. 2019; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2019). A partir de um ancestral diplóide extinto, as tribos lignificadas teriam se originado por eventos de hibridização independentes há cerca de 22 milhões de anos, gerando as linhagens tetraploides (Bambuseae neotropical e Arundinarieae) e hexaploides (Bambuseae paleotropical) (Peng et al. 2013; Triplett et al. 2104; Guo et al. 2019). Os bambus sustentam uma história evolutiva complexa, exibindo uma combinação de características únicas que permitiram eventos de radiação especialmente em florestas temperadas e tropicais (BPG 2012; Wysocki et al. 2016).

A poliploidização, considerada como um dos principais mecanismos evolutivos em plantas, teria, portanto, papel fundamental na diversificação dos bambus, resultando num mecanismo ativo de geração de matéria-prima para plasticidade genotípica e evolução adaptativa (Peng et al. 2013; Triplett et al. 2014; Soltis et al. 2015; Guo et al. 2019). Especialmente na família Poaceae, estima-se que todos seus representantes compartilhem, ao menos, dois eventos de duplicação total do genoma (Jiao et al. 2011; Paterson et al. 2012). Em Bambusoideae, estudos genômicos sugerem que a poliploidização teria atuado na evolução da fenologia associada a biossíntese de lignina e em longos ciclos de floração (Peng et al. 2013; Guo et al. 2019).

Apesar da notável discrepância entre as características morfológicas e fenológicas entre as linhagens dos bambus herbáceos e lignificados, as relações evolutivas entre e dentro as tribos ainda se mostram incertas, especialmente em relação à origem de características únicas, como a síndrome de lignificação e à delimitação dos limites de gêneros/espécies (Clark et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2020; Chalopin et al. 2021). Inferências evolutivas baseadas em dados genéticos plastidiais indicam a origem parafilética da característica de lignificação, sugerindo um padrão de evolução paralela nas duas tribos lignificadas (Sungkaew et al. 2009; Triplett et al. 2014; Wysocki et al. 2015). No entanto, topologias baseadas em dados nucleares e de transcriptomas mostram a monofilia desta característica, indicando conflitos de sinais filogenéticos de origens distintas e associados aos complexos padrões evolutivos da subfamília (Zhang et al. 2012; Wysocki et al. 2016; Guo et al. 2019) (Figura 3). Tais discrepâncias filogenéticas são recorrentes em plantas, podendo indicar os reflexos de eventos de hibridização, poliploidização e segregação incompleta de linhagens (ILS, *incomplete lineage sorting*) (Guo et al. 2018; Meng et al. 2021; Nge et al. 2021; Triplett & Clark 2021).



**Figura 3**. Relações filogenéticas entre as linhagens reconhecidas para Bambusoideae. Os dois painéis representam topologias baseadas em (**A**) dados plastidiais – indicando parafilia da síndrome de lignificação; e, (**B**) dados nucleares – indicando a monofilia dos bambus lignificados. A marcação em estrela demonstra o surgimento das características de lignificação nas linhagens (Adaptado de Chalopin et al. 2021).

Além da discrepância filogenética relacionada a origem da lignificação, o comportamento de floração diferenciado entre os bambus herbáceos e lignificados influencia profundamente as inferências evolutivas dentro da subfamília (Wysocki et al. 2015; Zheng et al. 2020a). O intervalo entre florações parece estar associado à distribuição geográfica das linhagens, onde as tribos tropicais (Bambuseae e Olyreae), com menor intervalo entre florações, apresentam maior taxa evolutiva em relação à tribo de distribuição em clima temperado (Arundinarieae), e, consequentemente, refletindo em variadas taxas de substituição nucleotídica entre as tribos e na resolução de inferências evolutivas amplas para a subfamília (Saarela et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020a).

A delimitação taxonômica dentro e entre as tribos é afetada pela complexidade evolutiva dos bambus (poliploidia e hibridização), comportamento fenológico (raros eventos de floração), plasticidade fenotípica e caracteres morfológicos diagnósticos rasos (Yang et al. 2011; Sijimol et al. 2014; Zhou et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2020; Triplett & Clark 2021). Diversos gêneros são descritos como parafiléticos/polifiléticos, constantemente trazendo novas delimitações/circunscrições taxonômicas tanto em nível de gênero quanto espécies, especialmente com base em resoluções filogenéticas e estudos moleculares (Jesus-Costa et al. 2018; Tyrrell et al. 2018; Oliveira et al. 2020a, 2020b; Carvalho et al. 2021; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2021).

Considerando a relevância ecológica e potencial socioeconômico da subfamília Bambusoideae, a correta classificação taxonômica e inferências de mecanismos evolutivos se tornam cruciais para a conservação do grupo, especialmente num cenário de constante ameaça de seus hábitats naturais e de mudanças climáticas globais (Clark et al. 2015; Canavan et al. 2019; Fradique et al. 2020). Constantes revisões em nível de gênero e subtribos vem sendo propostas com base em filogenias moleculares, enfatizando-se a necessidade de estudos amplos sobre evolução molecular, também complementados com aspectos ecológicos e de biologia reprodutiva, com propósitos de conservação deste grupo de ampla distribuição global (Clark & Oliveira 2018; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2021; Padgurschi et al. 2021).

## 1.2 DNA barcoding: a nova era da sistemática molecular

A rápida identificação de espécimes ou fragmentos de origem biológica, sejam amostras individuais ou coletivas/ambiental, sempre foi uma tarefa desejável para cientistas dos campos de sistemática, ecologia e evolução, porém limitada pelo substancial desafio de especificidade técnica entre especialistas (Chase & Fay 2009; Kress 2017). A taxonomia clássica, que abrange a descoberta, descrição e classificação dos organismos vivos, demanda uma grande quantidade de dados morfo-anatômicos, fisiológicos, geográficos, comportamentais e ecológicos, o que podem representar fatores complicadores para grupos pouco documentados ou com história evolutiva complexa (Collins & Cruickshank 2013; Sheth & Thaker 2017, Tyrrell et al. 2018).

Este chamado 'inconveniente ou impedimento taxonômico' proporcionou o desenvolvimento de um sistema único e universal de identificação, em diferentes níveis taxonômicos, com base em sequências de DNA de uma região genômica padrão em todos os organismos, denominado de DNA *barcode* (Hebert et al. 2003a; Wiemers & Fiedler 2007; Hollingsworth et al. 2011). Dentre as principais conveniências desta abordagem destacam-se a identificação de uma espécie já descrita, ou a descrição de uma nova espécie, possibilitando a avaliação da variabilidade genética entre espécies e suas relações, e a compreensão da diversidade específica dentro de ecossistemas (Casiraghi et al. 2010; Kress 2017). A aplicação desta técnica incitou o ambicioso objetivo de criação de um inventário de diversidade global, considerando a geração de informação genética numa frequência que sustente um cenário de monitoramento e escalas apropriadas de esforços para conservação da biodiversidade (Lahaye et al. 2008; Valentini et al. 2008; Hajibabaei et al. 2016).

A identificação de espécies por DNA *barcode* envolve a seleção de uma (ou mais) região genômica, que atenda os princípios de padronização, minimalismo e escalabilidade (Hollingsworth et al. 2011). Em outras palavras, o segmento utilizado deve ser apresentar ocorrência e aplicação universal, com potencial de sequenciamento bidirecional rotineiro e de alta qualidade (com mínimo de esforço para correção de bases ambíguas), e suficiente variação nucleotídica que permita a distinção entre espécies (CBOL 2009; Hollingsworth et al. 2009). Atendendo aos critérios propostos, regiões *barcode* foram padronizadas para animais (gene mitocondrial da subunidade I da enzima citocromo oxidase; COI), fungos (espaçador interno transcrito, ITS, e a grande subunidade, LSU, do RNA ribossomal) e protistas (gene 16S do RNA ribossomal) (Hebert et al. 2003a; 2003b; Pawlowski et al 2012; Eberhardt 2012; Schoch et al. 2012; Xu 2016; Takayasu et al. 2019).

Apesar de ser considerado o barcode universal para a maioria dos grupos de animais (Hebert et al. 2003a, 2003b), o poder discriminatório individual do marcador COI é bastante variável, especialmente em grupos com fluxo gênico complexo e eventos de radiação recentes (van Velzen et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2017). Em plantas, o emprego do segmento COI como barcode é, em geral, ineficiente para acurada discriminação de espécies, tendo em vista a baixa taxa de substituição nucleotídica do genoma mitocondrial (Mower et al. 2007; Chase et al. 2005; Kress & Erickson 2007; Chase & Fay 2009). A região ITS, apesar de se mostrar informativa em muitos grupos, pode não ser inadequado como barcode universal em plantas, uma vez que pode estar sujeito a processos evolutivos complicadores, como evolução em concerto, paralogia e homoplasia (Álvarez & Wendel 2003; Hollingsworth et al. 2009).

Portanto, o genoma cloroplastidial (cpDNA) vem sendo testado como fonte de regiões barcode de DNA em plantas, por apresentar características de abundância em organismos fotossintetizantes, herança uniparental e regiões genômicas altamente variáveis (*hotspots*) (Pennisi 2007; Shaw et al. 2007; Dong et al. 2012; Sawarkar et al. 2021). Diversas regiões do cpDNA foram testadas quanto à universalidade de amplificação de *primers* universais e poder de discriminação à nível de espécies em diferentes grupos de plantas, onde cada um dos marcadores candidatos apresentam vantagens ou desvantagens (Fazekas et al. 2012; Shneyer & Rodionov 2019). Apesar de muitos esforços iniciais, um marcador único para identificação de plantas ainda é desconhecido, onde a performance de diferentes *loci* é bastante variável entre os grupos de plantas (Pettengil & Neel 2010; Kress 2017; Stallmand et al. 2019).

Para construção de um banco de dados de barcode de DNA de plantas, o *core* proposto é composto por duas regiões codificantes do cpDNA, *rbcL* (ribulose-1,5-bisfosfato) e *matK* (maturase K) (CBOL 2009). A escolha destas regiões se faz com base nas suas propriedades combinadas, evidenciando a conservação e alta qualidade de sequenciamento de *rbcL*, e a rápida taxa evolutiva de *matK* (Newmaster et al. 2006; Hollingsworth et al. 2011). No entanto, a região altamente variável *matK* pode apresentar menor sucesso de amplificação e qualidade de sequenciamento em comparação à região *rbcL*, podendo demandar o estabelecimento de *primers* específicos para determinados grupos de plantas (Lahaye et al. 2008; Dunning & Savolainen 2010).

A adoção do core *rbcL* + *matK* como barcode para Angiospermas vem acompanhada do reconhecimento do potencial limitador de rastreio dos limites de espécies por parte das regiões plastidiais, a despeito dos acúmulos de caracteres variáveis em regiões altamente polimórficas (Hollingsworth et al. 2011). Diversos marcadores complementares vêm sendo testados em diferentes grupos de plantas a fim de se alcançar o nível desejado de discriminação de espécies, especialmente região não-codificantes do genoma plastidial, as regiões altamente variáveis *trnH-psbA*, *ndhF-rpl32*, *rpl32-trnL*, *atpF-atpH*, *psbK-psbI*, entre outras (Kress et al. 2005; Lahaye et al. 2008; Pennisi 2007; Fazekas et al. 2009; Burgess et al. 2011; Pang et al. 2012; Shaw et al. 2014; Peterson et al. 2014; Bolson et al. 2015; Sawarkar et al. 2021). As regiões não-codificantes e de rápida evolução são propostas como eficientes para estudos em grupos de divergência recente, como a nível de espécies, enquanto que genes de evolução mais lenta, como regiões codificantes, são sugeridos como mais eficientes em eventos filogenéticos mais profundos (Wolf 2012; Peterson et al. 2014).

Os espaçadores intergênicos, como *trnH-psbA* e *rpl32-trnL*, são descritos como regiões de alta taxa evolutiva e divergência intraespecífica (Kress & Erickson 2007; Shaw et al. 2014; Loera-Sánchez et al. 2020). No entanto, os recorrentes eventos de inserções/deleções (*indels*), regiões de inversões ou repetições mononucleotídicas podem superestimar a divergência genética entre grupos, e consequentemente comprometendo a acurada discriminação de espécies (Chase et al. 2007; Whitlock et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2014). Portanto, a performance de cada marcador, ou conjunto de marcadores, pode ser idiossincrática em diferentes grupos de plantas, sugerindo uma relação de dependência com a história evolutiva, do nível taxonômico na aplicação das regiões barcode de DNA para distinção entre espécies próximas ou de evolução recente (Fazekas et al. 2008, Ali et al. 2014).

Considerando a desuniformidade das taxas de evolutivas e a descontinuidade dos processos de especiação nas principais linhagens de organismos, o poder de discriminação de espécies por DNA barcode pode ser dependente do variado conceito, e, muitas vezes, imperfeito limite de espécies (Casiraghi et al. 2010; Kress et al. 2015). Em outras palavras, o denominado "problema das espécies" se depara com a definição de espécie como unidade taxonômica discreta (limites nítidos/definidos) *vs.* unidade evolutiva contínua (limites vagos), e que participa ativamente do sistema hierárquico de classificação biológica (Zachos 2016; Freudenstein et al. 2017). Portanto, em plantas, considera-se menos definido o limite de espécies, dificultando o uso de sequências de DNA (*barcoding loci*) para discriminação de espécimes (Fazekas et al. 2009; Luo et al. 2018).

Como técnica, DNA *barcoding* se encontra com a sistemática ao nível da alfa taxonomia, no que se refere à descoberta, diagnóstico e descrição de novas espécies (DeSalle & Goldstein 2019). Diferentemente de inferências filogenéticas baseadas em sequências de DNA, onde o foco da análise é, majoritariamente, resolução de relações evolutivas, DNA *barcoding* se baseia na designação a nível de espécie de um espécime

não conhecido (Hajibabaei et al. 2016; Hebert et al. 2016). No entanto, numa abordagem integrativa, vem se tornando uma importante técnica para resolução de questões ecológicas e evolutivas em diferentes grupos de plantas, considerando espécies como unidades fundamentais para descrição da biodiversidade (Kress & Erickson 2012; Stanton et al. 2019; DeSalle & Goldstein 2019).

A grande vantagem do uso de regiões genômicas informativas para a identificação de organismos à nível de espécies (catalogada ou não) se concentra nos princípios de padronização (uso de sequências comuns para diferentes grupos), controle de qualidade (confiabilidade de bibliotecas referência de sequências de DNA), e minimalismo (uso de uma ou poucas regiões para garantir escalabilidade) (Kress & Erickson 2008; Coissac et al. 2016). No entanto, o sucesso de discriminação é totalmente dependente da taxa evolutiva da região barcode empregada no específico grupo avaliado, e, consequentemente, a identificação de indivíduos conspecíficos com base numa variabilidade nucleotídica pré-determinada, especialmente em grupos taxonomicamente e evolutivamente complexos (Hollingsworth et al. 2011; Ali et al. 2014; Hebert et al. 2016).

Com propósito de identificação de espécies, DNA *barcoding* envolve a construção de uma biblioteca de sequências de *loci* potenciais de espécies conhecidas e a correspondência de uma amostra desconhecida contra esta biblioteca (Kress 2017; Phillips et al. 2019). A construção de uma matriz de sequências de DNA pode ser utilizada diretamente, como uma série de estados de caracteres, ou convertida em matrizes de distância genética, e que podem ser representados graficamente como árvores filogenéticas ou por padrões de distribuição de distâncias (Goldstein & DeSalle 2010). Matrizes de distância genética, representando a variabilidade nucleotídica por sítio, com aplicação em três abordagens distintas, *i.e.*, por testes de similaridade, *barcoding gap*, ou fenogramas de agrupamento hierárquico (*Neighbor-Joining*; NJ) (Meier et al. 2006; Collins et al. 2012).

O método analítico inicialmente proposto, e mais amplamente empregado, é baseado na presunção de existência de um limiar fixo de separação (*threshold*) entre a distribuição da máxima distância interespecífica e mínima distância intraespecífica, denominado de *barcoding gap* (Figura 4) (Hebert et al. 2003; Meyer & Paulay 2005). A avaliação de um barcode exclusivamente por *barcoding gap* é extensivamente discutida, uma vez que assume um *threshold* arbitrário (usualmente 1-10%), desconsiderando mecanismos evolutivos, muitas vezes, intrínsecos de cada linhagem de planta (Fazekas et

al. 2009; Mallo & Posada 2016; Stallmand et al. 2019). A ausência do *barcoding gap* (sobreposição entre as distribuições) pode não indicar, necessariamente, a conspecificidade entre duas amostras (Wiemers & Fiedler 2007), mas a sensibilidade da avaliação referente à suficiente divergência genética intraespecífica, os limites claros entre espécies e ao tempo de coalescência do grupo estudado (Goldstein & DeSalle 2010; Naciri & Linder 2015).



**Figura 4.** Distribuição da variação intraespecífica considerando um cenário de (**A**) discriminação entre espécies e de (**B**) sobreposição das distâncias genéticas. (Adaptado de Meyer & Paulay 2005).

Testes de similaridade, como BLAST, podem resultar em designações taxonômicas incorretas, uma vez que são altamente dependentes de banco de dados robustos, e não fornecem avaliações estatísticas para casos de ausência ou múltiplas correspondências (Casiraghi et al. 2010; Kress 2017). Ainda, o resultado apresentado é referente à similaridade mais próxima disponível, também assumindo o *barcoding gap*, ou seja, a similaridade intraespecífica é maior que a interespecífica (Mallo & Posada 2016).

A representação gráfica da distância genética por agrupamento hierárquico NJ é o método de avaliação mais amplamente empregado, geralmente utilizando-se do modelo de evolução molecular Kimura-2-parameters (K2P) (Hebert et al. 2003; DeSalle & Goldstein 2019). A aplicação de ambas as abordagens vem sendo discutida, tanto pela resolução das árvores geradas pelo algoritmo NJ, quanto pela universalidade do modelo K2P para sequências intimamente relacionadas (Srivathsana & Meier 2012; Collins et al. 2012). Assim como os demais métodos baseados em árvores, a avaliação de sucesso de discriminação por NJ baseia-se no conceito monofilético de espécies, ou seja, a conspecificidade de duas amostras é confirmada pelo agrupamento monofilético (Casiraghi et al. 2010).

Além do método analítico empregado, a acurácia na discriminação de espécies com base em sequências de DNA é, essencialmente, dependente de uma definição *à priori* das unidades taxonômicas, considerando-as como unidades naturais fixas, e constantemente impactada pelo conceito de espécie previamente estipulado (Goldstein & DeSalle 2010; Mallo & Posada 2016; Luo et al. 2018; Padial & De la Riva 2021). Dentre os recorrentes conceitos de espécies, como o conceito biológico (Mayr 1942) e o conceito evolutivo (Wiley 1978), métodos de avaliação de DNA *barcoding* baseados em árvores assumem o pressuposto da monofilia recíproca do conceito filogenético de espécies (Rosen 1979). Em grupos onde hibridização é recorrente, ou eventos evolutivos complexos, como *incomplete lineage sorting* (ILS) e radiação recente, ao assumir este critério considera-se que a árvore do gene (*gene tree*) seja a representação exata da árvore de espécie (*species tree*), podendo resultar em identificação ambígua ou incorreta (Roy et al 2010; Federici et al 2013; Walker et al. 2019; Guo et al. 2019).

#### 1.2.1 DNA barcoding em Bambusoideae

Os bambus são plantas desafiadoras quanto à identificação e classificação sistemática de espécies, onde, assim como em Poaceae em geral, a taxonomia do grupo é dependente de especialistas com anos de experiência, o que não ocorre na ampla extensão de ocorrência da subfamília (Vorontzova et al. 2016; Hodkinson 2018). A notória complexidade de identificação de espécies em Bambusoideae é decorrente do longo intervalo entre florações (em linhagens lignificadas), e diversificada morfologia de caracteres vegetativos dentro das subtribos, dificultando o estabelecimento de sinapomorfias e autapomorfias morfológicas (Yang et al. 2011; Sijimol et al. 2014; Zhou et al. 2017, 2020; Sawarkar et al. 2021).

Apesar da confirmada monofilia das subtribos, as relações filogenéticas e delimitação sistemática ao nível de gênero são ainda pouco resolvidas (Yang et al. 2010; Clark et al. 2015; Sijimol et al. 2020). Dentre as subtribos, alguns gêneros são resolvidos por parafilia ou polifilia, o que vem trazendo, recorrentemente, a descrição de novos taxa

(Tripllet & Clark 2010; Attigala et al. 2016; Jesus-Costa et al. 2018; Tyrrell et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2019; Oliveira et al. 2020). Ainda, a evolução reticulada em decorrência de poliploidização e hibridização, combinada com a evolução convergente de caracteres vegetativos e variadas taxas de diversificação e radiação entre as tribos, dificultam ainda mais as inferências filogenéticas e delimitação de espécies em larga escala em Bambusoideae (Clark et al. 2019; Guo et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2019; Zhou et al. 2020; Ye et al. 2021; Triplett & Clark 2021).

Considerando os marcantes obstáculos para classificação sistemática em Bambusoideae, influenciada pela inconstância de características florais e variação de caracteres vegetativos, o uso de dados moleculares para a identificação de espécies de bambus vem sendo testado, incluindo potenciais *loci* de DNA *barcode* (Cai et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2020; Sawarkar et al. 2021). No entanto, apesar da reconhecida contribuição para resolução de inferências filogenéticas do grupo, a discriminação de espécies de bambus por DNA *barcode* ainda se mostra inconclusiva, cujos resultados discrepantes são descritos para as diferentes linhagens/níveis taxonômicos e *loci* empregados (Horn & Häser 2016; Sijimol et al. 2014).

Diversos *loci* plastidiais, incluindo regiões codificantes e regiões intergênicas, vem sendo testados como barcode potencial para Bambusoideae, especialmente nas tribos Arundinarieae e Bambuseae paleotropical (Cai et al. 2012; Sosa et al. 2013; Meena et al. 2020; Sijimol et al. 2020). O core *rbcL* + *matK*, proposto como barcode em plantas terrestres (CBOL 2009), apresenta poder discriminatório insuficiente para Bambusoideae, e, portanto, propõe-se a adoção de *loci* complementares para aumentar o poder de resolução em níveis taxonômicos mais baixos (Cai et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2013; Peterson et al. 2014; Horn & Häser 2016). No entanto, apesar de alguns *loci* apresentarem alta taxa de polimorfismo, como *psbK-psbI* e *trnH-psbA*, ainda não há consenso de aplicação de um core único para o grupo (Sosa et al. 2013; Horn & Häser 2016; Dev et al. 2020).

Os métodos de avaliação do potencial barcode dos *loci* candidatos se baseiam, essencialmente, na comparação entre distância genética intra- e interespecífica, e suposição de monofilia recíproca entre espécies (Hollingsworth et al. 2011; Collins & Cruickshank 2013). Porém, em grupos complexos, como Bambusoideae, os limites específicos podem ser confundidos pela ocorrência de eventos de hibridização, introgressão e evolução reticulada (Zhou et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020; Ye et al. 2021; Triplett & Clark 2021). Portanto, a notória falta de resolução de *loci* plastidiais na discriminação de espécies de bambus pode ser atribuída aos complexos padrões

evolutivos da subfamília, como ocorrência de gêneros parafiléticos e grupos de morfoespécies (Das et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2020; Sijimol et al. 2020; Carvalho et al. 2021).

O potencial de resolução de sequências de DNA para discriminação de espécies de bambus tem se baseado, especialmente, em indivíduos das tribos Arundinarieae e Bambuseae paleotropical (Cai et al. 2012; Zhou et al. 2013; Sijimol et al. 2020; Dev et al. 2020; Meena et al. 2020). Dentre as linhagens neotropicais, a avaliação do poder discriminatório de sequências de DNA na abordagem de barcode é limitada, com o teste de somente algumas espécies de Bambuseae e Olyreae (Sosa et al. 2013; Horn & Häser 2016). No entanto, a avaliação de regiões altamente variáveis do genoma plastidial como barcode de DNA ainda se apresenta como alternativa metodológica a ser empregada em larga escala (Shaw et al. 2014), especialmente em grupos complexos como Bambusoideae.

## 1.3 O genoma plastidial de plantas

Plastídios constituem uma importante família de organelas, que atuam como centros metabólicos para a biossíntese de compostos essenciais para a fisiologia e desenvolvimento de plantas (Daniell et al. 2016). A existência de plastídios é uma das principais características distintivas das células vegetais de outras eucarióticas, cujos diferentes tipos (protoplastos, amiloplastos, cromoplastos, cloroplastos) apresentam funções metabólicas específicas essenciais para a viabilidade celular (Ruhlman & Jansen 2014; Rogalski et al. 2015). Contendo o pigmento clorofila, a função central dos cloroplastos é a realização da fotossíntese, processo de fixação de CO<sub>2</sub> em carboidratos elaborados por mecanismos fotoquímicos, e com liberação de oxigênio atmosférico (Green 2011). O estabelecimento da fotossíntese oxigênica realizada por cianobactérias primitivas permitiu o Grande Evento de Oxigenação (há 2,4-2,7 bilhões de anos), onde o drástico aumento nos níveis de oxigênio atmosférico moldaram a evolução e o estabelecimento de organismos multicelulares na Terra (Kump 2008; Junge 2019).

Assim como ocorreu para as mitocôndrias, a origem dos plastídios remete à Teoria Endossimbiótica (Keeling 2010; Zimorski et al 2014). Cloroplastos teriam se originado por um evento de endossimbiose primário, cuja incorporação de uma cianobactéria fotossintética de vida-livre à uma célula eucariótica permitiu a transição da heterotrofia para autotrofia (Keeling 2010; Wicke et al. 2011). Evidências importantes que corroboram a Teoria Endossimbiótica é a presença de um genoma único particular em cloroplastos (referido, no presente contexto, como genoma plastidial, plastoma ou cpDNA), e que exemplifica a forma mais completa de transferência horizontal de genes (Timmis et al. 2004; Bock 2017).

Durante sua história evolutiva, plastomas endossimbiontes de plantas mantiveram algumas características ancestrais, incluindo a estrutura circular, compartimentalização em nucleoides, organização gênica em operons e a maquinaria de expressão gênica típica de procariotos (Bock 2007). Grande parte dos genes foi transferido para o genoma nuclear, retendo não mais que 10% dos genes hipoteticamente existentes no genoma da cianobactéria primitiva, especialmente aqueles envolvidos na regulação e expressão do sistema fotossintético (Martin 2002; Gould et al. 2008; Greiner & Bock 2013). A semiautonomia dos plastomas é representada pela dependência da expressão de mais de 90% das proteínas funcionais nos cloroplastos, sendo estas sujeitas a regulação no genoma nuclear, tradução e transporte no citosol (Timmis et al. 2004; Daniell et al. 2016; Zoschke & Bock 2018).

Assim como as mitocôndrias, o padrão de herança dos plastomas é, em geral, não-Mendeliano e uniparental em todos os eucariotos, mais frequentemente de origem materna para Angiospermas (Greiner et al. 2014). No entanto, desvios do padrão de herança materna são observados em diferentes linhagens, como a predominância de herança paterna na maioria das Gimnospermas, e herança bipaternal em algumas Angiospermas (Bock 2007; Matsushima et al. 2008; Adams 2019). A transmissão uniparental implica na baixa taxa de recombinação gênica e na dependência de coevolução com o genoma nuclear, podendo refletir em processos de incompatibilidade citoplasmática (Birky et al. 2001; Greiner et al. 2014).

O cpDNA de plantas terrestres apresenta estrutura e organização altamente conservadas, compartimentalizando cerca de 120 genes em 120-170 kilobases (kB) (Jansen & Ruhlman 2012). Compreendendo uma molécula circular única quadripartida, os plastomas estão organizados em duas regiões de cópias únicas, a grande (LSC; *large single copy*) e a pequena (SSC; *small single copy*), separadas por duas regiões invertidas (IR<sub>A</sub> e IR<sub>B</sub>; *Inverted Repeats*) (Figura 5) (Greiner et al. 2014; Daniell et al. 2016). As sequências nucleotídicas das duas IRs são idênticas, diferenciando somente nas orientações relativas, indicando a duplicação do conteúdo de gênico nessas regiões (Bock 2007). Embora a estrutura circular seja predominante, uma fração de diferentes conformações, como formas circulares ou multiramificadas, podem ser observadas em plastomas de diferentes linhagens ou tecidos de plantas (Bendich 2004; Shaver et al. 2008; Oldenburg & Bendich 2016).



**Figura 5.** Esquema geral do mapa circular de um genoma plastidial de plantas, ilustrando a organização estrutural quadripartida das regiões de cópia única LSC (large single-copy) e SSC (small single-copy), e as duas regiões invertidas repetidas, IRa e IRb (*inverted repeat* A e B).

Apesar das características conservativas aparentes dos cpDNA, rearranjos estruturais em larga escala são observados nas principais linhagens de plantas terrestres, envolvendo, principalmente, mecanismos de variação no tamanho genômico (Wicke et al. 2011). De fato, tais rearranjos não são observados em plastomas da maioria das linhagens de plantas não-vasculares, sugerindo um padrão de ancestralidade estrutural anterior à colonização do ambiente terrestre pelas algas verdes ancestrais (Qiu et al. 2006; Turmel et al. 2006; Mower & Vickrey 2018). Responsáveis pela variação plastidial geral em Angiospermas, diversos fenômenos de expansão e contração das IRs, inclusão ou perda de genes e/ou íntrons, variações nos espaçadores intergênicos, e variações nas sequências repetitivas são relatados como padrões evolutivos independentes em todos os níveis taxonômicos (Lin et al. 2010; Wu & Chaw 2014; Schwarz et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018a; Choi et al. 2019).

Desde os primeiros plastomas de plantas sequenciados, a obtenção de sequências completas de cpDNA se tornou efetivo em termos de custo e tempo com o advento das técnicas de alto rendimento (Ohyama et al. 1986; Shinozaki et al. 1986; Wicke & Schneeweiss 2015). Características inerentes dos plastomas em comparação ao genoma nuclear, como o reduzido tamanho, grande proporção do genoma total celular, presença de regiões altamente conservadas intercaladas a regiões com elevadas taxas evolutivas,

tornaram estes genomas pontos de partida para estudos comparativos de relações filogenéticas em diferentes níveis taxonômicos, padrões de especiação e dispersão, indicação do limite de espécies, variações ecológicas e interpopulacionais, juntamente com a observação cada vez mais comum de plastomas não-padronizados em monocotiledôneas e dicotiledôneas (Fazekas et al. 2009; Scarcelli et al. 2011; Ruhlman & Jansen 2014; Shaw et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2017; Tonti-Fillipini et al. 2017; Gitzendanner et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020b; Roy et al. 2020). Portanto, sequências plastidiais são essenciais para aprimorar o conhecimento sobre a biologia fundamental de plantas, além de facilitar o desenvolvimento de aplicações biotecnológicas (como o '*plastid engineering'*) e eficiência de sistemas de cruzamentos (Diekmann et al. 2008; Bock 2014; Daniell et al. 2021).

Devido a sua importância econômica, amplitude ecológica e dominância nos principais biomas terrestres, a família Poaceae se tornou ponto focal para estudos evolutivos, tendo duas espécies dentre os primeiros plastomas sequenciados, *Oryza sativa* (Hiratsuka et al. 1989) e *Zea mays* (Maier et al. 1995). Desde então, um grande número de espécies de gramíneas vem tendo seus genomas plastidiais sequenciados, cujas análises comparativas revelam variações organizacionais incomuns em relação a outros grupos de Angiospermas, representando um modelo geral para estudos de evolução genômica de plastídios (Guisinger et al. 2010; Saarela et al. 2018; Duvall et al. 2020).

Além de alto grau de conservação na ordem e conteúdo gênico em genomas plastidiais, rearranjos estruturais no cpDNA característicos das gramíneas teriam atuado como gatilho para adaptação evolutiva e, consequentemente, no pronunciado sucesso de radiação e diversificação da família (Cosner et al. 2004; Zhong et al. 2009). Tais rearranjos, alguns indicando sinapomorfias para a família, envolvem eventos de inversões em regiões genicas (como trnT, trnG-UCC, rps14), pseudogeneização (rpl23), inserções nucleotídicas em regiões codificantes (rpl16, rpoC2), perdas de íntrons em regiões específicas (como nos genes clpP e rpoC1), perdas de genes com degradações progressivas das sequências (p. ex. os genes accD, ycf1 e ycf2), e alterações nas regiões limites entre IR/SSC e IR/LSC (Morton & Clegg 1993; Michelangeli et al. 2003; Davis & Soreng 2010; Morris & Duvall 2010; Guisinger et al. 2010; Wu & Ge 2012; Duvall et al. 2016; Burke et al. 2016; Saarela et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2021).

Diferentemente da proposição primária, a presença das IRs não assegura a estabilidade genômica, uma vez que diversos eventos de contração e expansão são frequentes, tornando-os *hostpots* de rearranjos estruturais propensos a variação no
tamanho do genoma plastidial (Maréchal & Brisson 2010; Chen et al. 2018; Choi et al. 2019). No entanto, estas regiões apresentam reduzida taxa de substituição nucleotídica e baixa frequência de mudanças microestruturais, como inserções e deleções (indels), sendo estes mais concentrados nas regiões de cópia única, especialmente em espaçadores intergênicos (SSC e LSC) (Zhu et al. 2016; Mower & Vickrey 2018). Consideradas umas das mais pronunciadas forças na evolução de sequências em Poaceae, esta recorrente heterogeneidade implica na seleção de marcadores com taxas evolutivas apropriados para resolução de filogenias em diferentes níveis taxonômicos (Yamane et al. 2006; Zhong et al. 2009; Wolf 2012; He et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2021).

#### 1.3.1 Evolução de genoma plastidial de bambus

Os bambus são plantas que abrigam uma história evolutiva complexa, envolvendo eventos hibridização e diferentes níveis de ploidia, o que dificulta a identificação de genes ortólogos de cópia única em genomas nucleares para a resolução de filogenias em níveis específicos (Triplett et al. 2014; Clark et al. 2015). Portanto, considerando que todo o genoma abriga um forte sinal filogenético num reduzido número de loci, sequências de genomas plastidiais são ferramentas indispensáveis para a compreensão mais profunda das relações evolutivas em grupos grandes, de ampla distribuição global, e com espécies intimamente relacionadas, como Bambusoideae (Parks et al. 2009; Wu et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2017).

Atualmente, o banco de dados GenBank conta com 162 plastomas de Bambusoideae, representando menos de 10% das espécies atualmente descritas, incluindo 74 da tribo Bambuseae, 79 da tribo Arundinarieae e 9 da tribo Olyreae (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome; acesso em 17 de maio de 2022). Análises comparativas de cpDNA da subfamília revelam um certo grau de conservação estrutural, especialmente em relação à ordem e de conteúdo gênico, e conteúdo de íntrons e %GC (Zhang et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2015; Attigala et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2019). No entanto, a evolução de cpDNA de bambus acompanha os padrões evolutivos propostos para Poaceae em geral, incluindo mecanismos de expansão e contração das IRs, ocorrência de características únicas no limite das regiões IR/SSC, e perdas de genes e introns, cujos rearranjos podem estar acompanhados de processos importantes de especiação e evolução adaptativa (Cosner et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2011; Vieira et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2019). Ainda, as taxas de divergência de sequências se mostram heterogêneas em diferentes regiões genômicas e entre as diferentes linhagens, como esperado, tendo as regiões codificantes mais conservadas que as não-codificantes, e as IRs mais conservadas que a LSC e SSC, respectivamente (Zhang et al. 2011; Ma et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2018b).

No entanto, estima-se que espécies de distribuição neotropical teriam acumulado e mantido características únicas em seus plastomas, devido ao isolamento geográfico evolutivo, especificamente no que se trata de variação no tamanho total de genomas, características nos limites IR/SSC e diferencial taxa evolutiva (Burke et al. 2012, 2014; Wu et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2020a). Plastomas de espécies de bambu neotropicais apresentam, tipicamente, tamanho menor em relação à bambus paleotropicais, cujas diferenças estão atribuídas, especialmente, a eventos de inserção e deleção em espaçadores intergênicos nas regiões IR e LSC (Burke et al. 2012; Vieira et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015; Wysocki et al. 2015). Ainda, estima-se que a taxa de substituição molecular seja mais elevada na tribo Olyreae, com diminuição gradual entre Bambuseae e Arundinarieae, indicando um importante padrão de evolução e diversificação nos trópicos e decorrente do menor tempo entre gerações em Olyreae (Wu et al. 2015; Wysocki et al. 2015; Saarela et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018b, 2020a).

Algumas características únicas de evolução do genoma plastidial de espécies herbáceas são consideradas sinapomorfias para a tribo Olyreae, ou numa escala linhagemespecífica, especialmente decorrentes de expansão e contração de regiões IRs, com consequências na variação no número de genes (pseudogeneização) e no tamanho genômico (Burke et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2018b). Adicionalmente, ainda que sejam eventos raros em Angiospermas, observa-se a ocorrência de transferência horizontal de fragmentos de origem do genoma mitocondrial para o cpDNA de espécies da subtribo Parianinae (Olyreae) – eventos únicos em Bambusoideae, mas descritos em outras linhagens de Poaceae (Ma et al. 2014; Wysocki et al. 2015; Burke et al. 2018; Mower & Vickrey 2018; Wang et al. 2018b).

Dados os padrões estruturais que acompanham a evolução dos plastomas de bambus, e as diferenças específicas entre linhagens, mais dados são requeridos para a compreensão ampla da evolução de cpDNA em Bambusoideae (Wu et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2020a). Ainda, a compreensão sobre as diferentes taxas evolutivas pode trazer informações sobre os padrões de radiação e inferências sobre eventos morfofisiológicos maiores (como floração) das diferentes tribos (Ma et al. 2017). Portanto, considerando a abundância de espécies de bambus neotropicais no Brasil, e a constante ameaça de seus domínios preferenciais de ocorrência, o sequenciamento dos genomas plastidiais de espécies nativas se torna uma importante ferramenta para a compreensão dos padrões de riqueza, endemismo, distribuição e relações filogenéticas dos bambus neotropicais, contribuindo para a massiva conservação deste grupo (Filgueiras & Viana 2017; Zhou et al 2019; Wang et al. 2020a; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2021).

# **1.4 REFERÊNCIAS**

Adams RP (2019) Inheritance of chloroplasts and mitochondria in conifers: a review of paternal, maternal, leakage and facultative inheritance. Phytologia 101(2): 134-138.

Akinlabi ET, Kwame AF, Damenortey RA (2017) Regeneration, Cultivation, and Sustenance of Bamboo. In.: Bamboo: the multipurpose plant. Springer, Cham.

Ali MA, Gyulai G, Hidvegi N, Kerti B, Al Hemaid FM, Pandey AK, Lee J (2014) The changing epitome of species identification: DNA barcoding. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 21(3): 204-231.

Álvarez IJFW, WendeL JF (2003) Ribosomal ITS sequences and plant phylogenetic inference. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 29(3): 417-434.

Attigala L, Wysocki WP, Duvall MR, Clark LG (2016) Phylogenetic estimation and morphological evolution of Arundinarieae (Bambusoideae: Poaceae) based on plastoma phylogenomic analysis. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 101:111–121.

Bendich AJ (2004) Circular chloroplast chromosomes: the grand illusion. The Plant Cell, 16(7): 1661-1666.

Birky Jr CW (2001) The inheritance of genes in mitochondria and chloroplasts: laws, mechanisms, and models. Annual Review of Genetics, 35(1): 125-148.

Bock R (Ed.) (2007) Cell and Molecular Biology of Plastids (Vol. 19). Springer Science & Business Media.

Bock R (2014) Genetic engineering of the chloroplast: novel tools and new applications. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 26: 7-13.

Bock R (2017) Witnessing genome evolution: experimental reconstruction of endosymbiotic and horizontal gene transfer. Annual Review of Genetics, 51: 1-22.

Bolson M, Smidt EDC, Brotto ML, Silva-Pereira V (2015) ITS and *trnH-psbA* as efficient DNA barcodes to identify threatened commercial woody angiosperms from southern Brazilian Atlantic rainforests. PloS One, 10(12): e0143049.

BPG (Bamboo Phylogeny Group) (2012) An updated tribal and subtribal classification of the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Bamboo Science and Culture: The Journal of the American Bamboo Society, 24(1): 1-10.

Burgess KS, Fazekas AJ, Kesanakurti PR, Graham SW, Husband BC, Newmaster SG, Percy DM, Hajibabaei M, Barrett SC (2011) Discriminating plant species in a local temperate flora using the rbcL+ matK DNA barcode. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 2(4): 333-340.

Burke SV, Grennan CP, Duvall MR (2012) Plastome sequences of two New World bamboos: *Arundinaria gigantea* and *Cryptochloa strictiflora* (Poaceae)—extend phylogenomic understanding of Bambusoideae. American Journal of Botany, 99(12): 1951-1961.

Burke SV, Clark LG, Triplett JK, Grennan CP, Duvall MR (2014) Biogeography and phylogenomics of new world Bambusoideae (Poaceae), revisited. American Journal of Botany, 101(5): 886-891.

Burke SV, Lin CS, Wysocki WP, Clark LG, Duvall MR (2016) Phylogenomics and plastome evolution of tropical forest grasses (Leptaspis, Streptochaeta: Poaceae). Frontiers in Plant Science, 7: 1993.

Burke SV, Ungerer MC, Duvall MR (2018) Investigation of mitochondrial-derived plastome sequences in the Paspalum lineage (Panicoideae; Poaceae). BMC Plant Biology, 18(1): 1-11.

Cai ZM, Zhang YX, Zhang LN, Gao LM, Li DZ (2012) Testing four candidate barcoding markers in temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 50(6): 527-539.

Cai K, Zhu L, Zhang K, Li L, Zhao Z, Zeng W, Lin X (2019) Development and Characterization of EST-SSR Markers From RNA-Seq Data in *Phyllostachys violascens*. Frontiers in Plant Science, 10(50):1-9.

Canavan S, Kumschick S, Le Roux JJ, Richardson DM, Wilson JR (2019) Does origin determine environmental impacts? Not for bamboos. Plants, People, Planet, 1(2): 119-128.

Carvalho MLS, de Jesus IS, da Silva RM, Leite KR, Schnadelbach AS, Clark LG, de Oliveira RP (2020) Cryptic speciation in the herbaceous bamboo genus *Piresia* (Poaceae, Olyreae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1): 82-96.

Carvalho MLS, de Jesus IS, Bezerra HB, Oliveira ILC, van den Berg C, Schnadelbach AS, Clark LG, Oliveira RP (2021) Phylogenetics of *Piresia* (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) reveals unexpected generic relationships within Olyreae with taxonomic and biogeographic implications. Taxon, 10(3): 492-514.

Casiraghi M, Labra M, Ferri E, Galimberti A, De Mattia F (2010) DNA barcoding: a six-question tour to improve users' awareness about the method. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 11(4): 440-453.

CBOL Plant Working Group (2009) A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106.31: 12794-12797

Chalopin D, Clark LG, Wysocki WP, Park M, Duvall MR, Bennetzen JL (2021) Integrated genomic analyses from low-depth sequencing help resolve phylogenetic incongruence in the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Frontiers in Plant Science, 12: 725728.

Chase MW, Fay MF (2009) Barcoding of plants and fungi. Science, 325(5941):682-683.

Chase MW, Salamin N, Wilkinson M, Dunwell JM, Kesanakurthi RP, Haidar N, Savolainen V (2005) Land plants and DNA barcodes: short-term and long-term goals. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 360(1462): 1889-1895.

Chase MW, Cowan RS, Hollingsworth PM, Van Den Berg C, Madriñán S, Petersen G, et al. (2007) A proposal for a standardised protocol to barcode all land plants. Taxon, 56(2): 295-299.

Chen H, Shao J, Zhang H, Jiang M, Huang L, Zhang Z, et al. (2018) Sequencing and analysis of *Strobilanthes cusia* (Nees) Kuntze chloroplast genome revealed the rare simultaneous contraction and expansion of the inverted repeat region in angiosperm. Frontiers in Plant Science, 9: 324.

Choi IS, Jansen R, Ruhlman T (2019) Lost and found: return of the inverted repeat in the legume clade defined by its absence. Genome Biology and Evolution, 11(4):1321–33.

Clark LG, Oliveira RP (2018) Diversity and evolution of the new world bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae, Olyreae). In: Proceedings of the 11th World Bamboo Congress, Xalapa. Mexico. Plymouth, The World Bamboo Organization, pp 35–47

Clark LG, Londoño X, Ruiz-Sanchez E (2015) Bamboo taxonomy and habitat. In: Liese W, Kohl M (eds) Bamboo, Tropical forestry, v.10., Springer, Switzerland, pp 1–30

Clark LG, Londoño X, Tyrrell CD, Judziewicz EJ (2019) Convergence strikes again in the Neotropical woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae): a new Andean genus and a new species. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1):21-33.

Coissac E, Hollingsworth PM, Lavergne S, Taberlet P (2016) From barcodes to genomes: extending the concept of DNA barcoding. Molecular Ecology, 25(7):1423-1428.

Collins RA, Cruickshank RH (2013) The seven deadly sins of DNA barcoding. Molecular Ecology Resources, 13(6): 969-975.

Collins RA, Boykin LM, Cruickshank RH, Armstrong KF (2012) Barcoding's next top model: an evaluation of nucleotide substitution models for specimen identification. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3(3): 457-465.

Cosner ME, Raubeson LA, Jansen RK (2004) Chloroplast DNA rearrangements in Campanulaceae: Phylogenetic utility of highly rearranged genomes. BMC Ecology and Evolution: 4, 27.

Daniell H, Jin S, Zhu XG, Gitzendanner MA, Soltis DE, Soltis PS (2021) Green giant – a tiny chloroplast genome with mighty power to produce high-value proteins: history and phylogeny. Plant Biotechnology Journal, 19(3): 430-447.

Daniell H, Lin CS, Yu M, Chang WJ (2016) Chloroplast genomes: diversity, evolution, and applications in genetic engineering. Genome Biology, 17(1): 134.

Das MM, Mahadani P, Singh R, Karmakar K, Ghosh SK (2013) matK sequence based plant DNA barcoding failed to identify Bambusa (Family: Poaceae) species from Northeast India. Journal of Environment and Sociobiology: 10(1): 49-54.

Davis JI, Soreng RJ (2010) Migration of endpoints of two genes relative to boundaries between regions of the plastid genome in the grass family (Poaceae). American Journal of Botany, 97(5): 874-892.

DeSalle R, Goldstein P (2019) Review and interpretation of trends in DNA barcoding. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 7: 302.

Dev SA, Sijimol K, Prathibha PS, Sreekumar VB, Muralidharan EM (2020) DNA barcoding as a valuable molecular tool for the certification of planting materials in bamboo. 3 Biotech, 10(2): 1-12.

Diekmann K, Hodkinson TR, Fricke E, Barth S (2008) An Optimized Chloroplast DNA Extraction Protocol for Grasses (Poaceae) Proves Suitable for Whole Plastid Genome Sequencing and SNP Detection. PLoS ONE 3(7): e2813.

Dong W, Liu J, Yu J, Wang L, Zhou S (2012) Highly variable chloroplast markers for evaluating plant phylogeny at low taxonomic levels and for DNA barcoding. PLOS ONE, 7: e35071.

Dunning LT, Savolainen V (2010) Broad-scale amplification of matK for DNA barcoding plants, a technical note. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 164: 1-9.

Duvall MR, Burke SV, Clark DC (2020) Plastome phylogenomics of Poaceae: alternate topologies depend on alignment gaps. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1): 9-20.

Duvall MR, Fisher AE, Columbus JT, Ingram AL, Wysocki WP, Burke SV, Clark LG, Kelchner SA (2016) Phylogenomics and plastome evolution of the chloridoid grasses (Chloridoideae: Poaceae). International Journal of Plant Sciences, 177(3): 235-246.

Eberhardt U (2012) Methods for DNA barcoding of fungi. In: DNA barcodes. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 2012. p. 183-205.

Federici S, Galimberti A, Bartolucci F, Bruni I, De Mattia F, Cortis P, Labra M (2013) DNA barcoding to analyse taxonomically complex groups in plants: the case of *Thymus* (Lamiaceae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 171(4): 687-699.

Abd El-Sayed ES, El-Sakhawy M, El-Sakhawy MAM (2020) Non-wood fibers as raw material for pulp and paper industry. Nordic Pulp & Paper Research Journal, 35(2): 215-230.

Ely F, Rada F, Fermin G, Clark LG (2019) Ecophysiology and genetic diversity in species of the bamboo Chusquea in the high Andes, Venezuela. Plant Ecology & Diversity, 12(6): 555-572.

Emamverdian A, Ding Y, Ranaei F, Ahmad Z (2020) Application of Bamboo Plants in Nine Aspects. The Scientific World Journal, 2020.

Fazekas AJ, Burgess KS, Kesanakurti PR, Graham SW, Newmaster SG, Husband BC, Percy DM, Hajibabaei M, Barrett SC (2008) Multiple multilocus DNA barcodes from the plastid genome discriminate plant species equally well. PloS One, 3(7): e2802.

Fazekas AJ, Kesanakurti PR, Burgess KS, Percy DM, Graham SW, Barrett SC, Newmaster SG, Hajibabaei M, Husband BC (2009) Are plant species inherently harder to discriminate than animal species using DNA barcoding markers? Molecular Ecology Resources, 9: 130-139.

Fazekas AJ, Kuzmina ML, Newmaster SG, Hollingsworth PM (2012) DNA barcoding methods for land plants. In.: DNA barcodes (pp. 223-252). Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.

Filgueiras TS, Viana PL (2017) Bambus brasileiros: morfologia, taxonomia, distribuição e conservação. Bambus no Brasil: da biologia à tecnologia. Rio de Janeiro: ICH, 10-27.

Fisher AE, Clark LG, Kelchner SA (2014) Molecular phylogeny estimation of the bamboo genus Chusquea (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae) and description of two new subgenera. Systematic Botany, 39(3): 829-844.

Fadrique B, Veldman JW, Dalling JW, Clark LG, Montti L, Ruiz-Sanchez E, et al. (2020) Guidelines for including bamboos in tropical ecosystem monitoring. Biotropica, 52(3): 427-443.

Freudenstein JV, Broe MB, Folk RA, Sinn BT (2017) Biodiversity and the species concept—lineages are not enough. Systematic Biology, 66(4): 644-656.

Gitzendanner MA, Soltis PS, Wong GKS, Ruhfel BR, Soltis DE (2018) Plastid phylogenomic analysis of green plants: a billion years of evolutionary history. American Journal of Botany, 105(3): 291-301.

Goldstein PZ, DeSalle R (2011) Integrating DNA barcode data and taxonomic practice: determination, discovery, and description. Bioessays, 33(2): 135-147.

Gould SB, Waller RF, McFadden GI (2008) Plastid evolution. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 59: 491-517.

GPWG II (Grass Phylogeny Working Group II) (2012) New grass phylogeny resolves deep evolutionary relationships and discovers C4 origins. New Phytologist, 193(2): 304-312.

Green BR (2011) Chloroplast genomes of photosynthetic eukaryotes. The Plant Journal, 66(1): 34-44.

Greiner S, Sobanski J, Bock R (2015) Why are most organelle genomes transmitted maternally? Bioessays, 37(1): 80-94.

Greiner S, Bock R (2013) Tuning a ménage à trois: co-evolution and co-adaptation of nuclear and organellar genomes in plants. BioEssays, 35(4): 354-365.

Guerreiro C, Peichoto C, Vega AS (2020) Flowering of Guadua trinii (Poaceae, Bambusoideae, Bambuseae). Rodriguésia 71: e03352018.

Guisinger MM, Chumley TW, Kuehl JV, Boore JL, Jansen RK (2010) Implications of the plastid genome sequence of Typha (Typhaceae, Poales) for understanding genome evolution in Poaceae. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 70(2): 149-166.

Guo X, Thomas DC, Saunders RM (2018) Gene tree discordance and coalescent methods support ancient intergeneric hybridisation between Dasymaschalon and Friesodielsia (Annonaceae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 127: 14-29.

Guo ZH, Ma PF, Yang GQ, Hu JY, Liu YL, Xia EH, et al. (2019) Genome sequences provide insights into the reticulate origin and unique traits of woody bamboos. Molecular Plant, 12(10): 1353-1365.

Hajibabaei M, Baird DJ, Fahner NA, Beiko R, Golding GB (2016) A new way to contemplate Darwin's tangled bank: how DNA barcodes are reconnecting biodiversity science and biomonitoring. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 371(1702): 20150330.

He J, Yao M, Lyu RD, Lin LL, Liu HJ, Pei LY, et al. (2019) Structural variation of the complete chloroplast genome and plastid phylogenomics of the genus Asteropyrum (Ranunculaceae). Scientific Reports, 9(1): 1-13.

Hebert PD, Cywinska A, Ball SL (2003a) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 270(1512): 313-321.

Hebert PD, Ratnasingham S, De Waard JR. (2003b) Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270.

Hebert PDN, Hollingsworth PM, Hajibabaei M (2016) From writing to reading the encyclopedia of life. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society. B 371: 20150321.

Hiratsuka J, Shimada H, Whittier R, Ishibashi T, Sakamoto M, Mori M, et al. (1989) The complete sequence of the rice (Oryza sativa) chloroplast genome: intermolecular recombination between distinct tRNA genes accounts for a major plastid DNA inversion during the evolution of the cereals. Molecular and General Genetics 217:185–194

Hodkinson TR (2018) Evolution and taxonomy of the grasses (Poaceae): a model family for the study of species-rich groups. Annual Plant Reviews Online, 255-294.

Hollingsworth ML, Andra Clark ALEX, Forrest LL, Richardson J, Pennington RT, Long DG, et al. (2009) Selecting barcoding loci for plants: evaluation of seven candidate loci with species-level sampling in three divergent groups of land plants. Molecular Ecology Resources, 9(2): 439-457.

Hollingsworth PM, Graham SW, Little DP (2011) Choosing and using a plant DNA barcode. PloS One, 6(5): e19254

Horn T, Häser A (2016) Bamboo tea: reduction of taxonomic complexity and application of DNA diagnostics based on rbcL and matK sequence data. PeerJ, 4: e2781.

INBAR – International Network for Bamboo and Rattan (2014) Bamboo: A strategic resource for countries to reduce the effects of climate change. Policy Synthesis Report, 1-28.

Jansen RK, Ruhlman TA (2012) Plastid genomes of seed plants. In.: Genomics of chloroplasts and mitochondria (pp. 103-126). Springer, Dordrecht.

Jesus-Costa C, Clark LG, Santos-Gonçalves AP (2018) Molecular phylogeny of Atractantha, and the phylogenetic position and circumscription of Athroostachys (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae: Arthrostylidiinae). Systematic Botany, 43(3): 656-663.

Jiao Y, Wickett NJ, Ayyampalayam S, Chanderbali AS, Landherr L, Ralph PE, et al. (2011) Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. Nature, 473(7345): 97-100.

Judziewicz EJ, Clark LG, Londoño X, Stern MJ (1999) American bamboos. Washington: Smithsonian. 392p

Junge W (2019) Oxygenic photosynthesis: history, status and perspective. Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics, 52, e1, 1–17.

Keeling PJ (2010) The endosymbiotic origin, diversification and fate of plastids. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1541): 729-748.

Kelchner SA, BPG (Bamboo Phylogeny Group) (2013) Higher level phylogenetic relationships within the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on five plastid markers. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 67: 404-413.

Kress WJ, Erickson DL (2007) A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: the coding rbcL gene complements the non-coding trnH-psbA spacer region. PLoS one, 2(6): e508.

Kress WJ, Erickson DL (2008). DNA barcodes: genes, genomics, and bioinformatics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105(8): 2761-2762.

Kress WJ, Erickson DL (2012) DNA barcodes: methods and protocols. Humana Press, pp. 3-8.

Kress WJ (2017) Plant DNA barcodes: Applications today and in the future. Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 55(4): 291-307.

Kress WJ, Wurdack KJ, Zimmer EA, Weigt LA, Janzen DH (2005) Use of DNA barcodes to identify flowering plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 102(23): 8369-8374.

Kress WJ, García-Robledo C, Uriarte M, Erickson DL (2015) DNA barcodes for ecology, evolution, and conservation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 30(1): 25-35.

Kumar PS, Kumari U, Devi MP, Choudhary VK, Sangeetha A (2017) Bamboo shoot as a source of nutraceuticals and bioactive compounds: A review. Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources (IJNPR) 8(1): 32-46.

Kump LR (2008) The rise of atmospheric oxygen. Nature, 451(7176): 277-278.

Lahaye R, Van der Bank M, Bogarin D, Warner J, Pupulin F, et al. (2008) DNA barcoding the floras of biodiversity hotspots. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105(8): 2923-2928.

Leandro TD, Shirasuna RT, Filgueiras TS, Scatena VL (2016) The utility of Bambusoideae (Poaceae, Poales) leaf blade anatomy for identification and systematics. Brazilian Journal of Biology, 76, 708-717.

Lima RA, Rother DC, Muler AE, Lepsch IF, Rodrigues RR (2012) Bamboo overabundance alters forest structure and dynamics in the Atlantic Forest hotspot. Biological Conservation, 147(1):32–9.

Lin CP, Huang JP, Wu CS, Hsu CY, Chaw SM (2010) Comparative chloroplast genomics reveals the evolution of Pinaceae genera and subfamilies. Genome Biology and Evolution, 2, 504-517.

Liu J, Jiang J, Song S, Tornabene L, Chabarria R, Naylor GJ, Li C (2017) Multilocus DNA barcoding–species identification with multilocus data. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 1-12.

Liu JX, Zhou MY, Yang GQ, Zhang YX, Ma PF, Guo C, et al. (2020) ddRAD analyses reveal a credible phylogenetic relationship of the four main genera of Bambusa-Dendrocalamus-Gigantochloa complex (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 146, 106758.

Liu K, Wang R, Guo XX, Zhang XJ, Qu XJ, Fan SJ (2021) Comparative and Phylogenetic Analysis of Complete Chloroplast Genomes in Eragrostideae (Chloridoideae, Poaceae). Plants, 10(1), 109.

Loera-Sánchez M, Studer B, Kölliker R (2020) DNA barcode trnH-psbA is a promising candidate for efficient identification of forage legumes and grasses. BMC Research Notes, 13(1): 1-6.

Luo A, Ling C, Ho SY, Zhu CD (2018) Comparison of methods for molecular species delimitation across a range of speciation scenarios. Systematic Biology, 67(5), 830-846.

Ma PF, Zhang YX, Zeng CX, Guo ZH, Li DZ (2014). Chloroplast phylogenomic analyses resolve deep-level relationships of an intractable bamboo tribe Arundinarieae (Poaceae). Systematic Biology, 63(6), 933-950.

Ma PF, Vorontsova MS, Nanjarisoa OP, Razanatsoa J, Guo ZH, Haevermans T, Li DZ (2017) Negative correlation between rates of molecular evolution and flowering cycles in temperate woody bamboos revealed by plastid phylogenomics. BMC Plant Biology, 17(1), 1-15.

Maier RM, Neckermann K, Igloi GL, Koosel H. (1995) Complete sequence of the maize chloroplast genome: gene content, hotspots of divergence and fine tuning of genetic information by transcript editing. Journal of Molecular Biology, 251:614–628

Mallo D, Posada D (2016) Multilocus inference of species trees and DNA barcoding. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 371(1702), 20150335.

Maréchal A, Brisson N (2010) Recombination and the maintenance of plant organelle genome stability. New Phytol 186:299–317

Martin W, Rujan T, Richly E, Hansen A, Cornelsen S, Lins T. et al. (2002) Evolutionary analysis of Arabidopsis, cyanobacterial, and chloroplast genomes reveals plastid phylogeny and thousands of cyanobacterial genes in the nucleus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(19): 12246-12251.

Matsushima R, Hu Y, Toyoda K, Sakamoto W (2008) The model plant Medicago truncatula exhibits biparental plastid inheritance. Plant and Cell Physiology, 49(1): 81-91.

Meena RK, Negi N, Uniyal N, Shamoon A, Bhandari MS, Pandey S, Negy RK, Sharma R, Ginwal HS (2020) Chloroplast-based DNA barcode analysis indicates high discriminatory potential of matK locus in Himalayan temperate bamboos. 3 Biotech, 10(12), 1-13.

Meier R, Shiyang K, Vaidya G, Ng PK (2006) DNA barcoding and taxonomy in Diptera: a tale of high intraspecific variability and low identification success. Systematic Biology, 55(5), 715-728.

Meng KK, Chen SF, Xu KW, Zhou RC, Li MW, Dhamala MK, et al. (2021) Phylogenomic analyses based on genome-skimming data reveal cyto-nuclear discordance in the evolutionary history of Cotoneaster (Rosaceae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 158, 107083.

Meyer CP, Paulay G (2005) DNA barcoding: error rates based on comprehensive sampling. PLoS biology, 3(12), e422.

Michelangeli FA, Davis JI, Stevenson DW (2003) Phylogenetic relationships among Poaceae and related families as inferred from morphology, inversions in the plastid genome, and sequence data from the mitochondrial and plastid genomes. American Journal of Botany, 90(1): 93-106.

Morris LM, Duvall MR (2010) The chloroplast genome of Anomochloa marantoidea (Anomochlooideae; Poaceae) comprises a mixture of grass-like and unique features. American Journal of Botany, 97(4), 620-627.

Morton BR, Clegg MT (1993) A chloroplast DNA mutational hotspot and gene conversion in a noncoding region near rbcL in the grass family (Poaceae). Curr Genet 24:357–365

Mota AC, Oliveira RP, Clark LG (2014) Increasing our knowledge of Brazilian bamboos: two new species of Chusquea subg. Rettbergia (Bambusoideae, Poaceae). Phytotaxa, 161(3), 201-210.

Mower JP, Vickrey TL (2018) Structural diversity among plastid genomes of land plants. Advances in botanical research, 85, 263-292.

Mower JP, Touzet P, Gummow JS, Delph LF, Palmer JD (2007) Extensive variation in synonymous substitution rates in mitochondrial gene of seed plants. BMC Evol Biol 7: 135.

Muhammad A, Rahman MR, Hamdan S, Sanaullah K (2019) Recent developments in bamboo fiber-based composites: a review. Polymer bulletin, 76(5), 2655-2682.

Naciri Y, Linder HP (2015). Species delimitation and relationships: the dance of the seven veils. Taxon, 64(1), 3-16.

Newmaster SG, Fazekas AJ, Ragupathy S. "DNA barcoding in land plants: evaluation of rbcL in a multigene tiered approach." Botany 84.3 (2006): 335-341.

Nge FJ, Biffin E, Thiele KR, Waycott M (2021) Reticulate evolution, ancient chloroplast haplotypes, and rapid radiation of the Australian plant genus Adenanthos (Proteaceae). Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 8, 616741.

Ohyama K, Fukuzawa H, Kohchi T, Shirai H, Sano T, Sano S, Umesono K, Shiki Y, Takeuchi M, Chang Z, Aota S, Inokuchi H, Ozeki H (1986) Chloroplast gene organization deduced from complete sequence of liverwort Marchantia polymorpha chloroplast DNA. Nature 322:572–574

Oldenburg DJ, Bendich AJ (2016) The linear plastid chromosomes of maize: terminal sequences, structures, and implications for DNA replication. Current genetics, 62(2), 431-442.

Oliveira RP Clark LG, Schnadelbach AS, Monteiro SHN, Longhi-Wagner HM, van den Berg C (2014) A molecular phylogeny of Raddia (Poaceae, Olyreae) and its allies based on noncoding plastid and nuclear spacers. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 78(105):117.

Oliveira IL, Matos AO, Silva C, Carvalho MLS, Tyrrell CD, Clark LG, Oliveira RP (2020a) Delving deeper into the phylogenetics of the herbaceous bamboos (Poaceae, Bambusoideae, Olyreae): evaluation of generic boundaries within the Parodiolyra/Raddiella clade uncovers a new genus. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1), 61-81.

Oliveira RP, Silva C, Welker CA, Dórea MDC, Oliveira IL, Vieira JP, et al. (2020b). Reinterpreting the phylogenetic position, systematics and distribution of the Raddia-Sucrea lineage (Poaceae, Olyrinae), with a new monotypic and endangered herbaceous bamboo genus from Brazil. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1), 34-60.

Padgurschi MDCG, Reis TS, Alves LF, Vieira SA, Joly CA (2021) Outcomes of a native bamboo on biomass and carbon stocks of a neotropical biodiversity hotspot. Acta Oecologica, 111, 103734.

Padial JM, De la Riva I (2021) A paradigm shift in our view of species drives current trends in biological classification. Biological Reviews, 96(2), 731-751.

Pang X, Liu C, Shi L, Liu R, Liang D, Li H, et al. (2012) Utility of the trnH–psbA Intergenic Spacer Region and Its Combinations as Plant DNA Barcodes- A Meta-Analysis. PloS one, 7(11), e48833.

Parks M, Cronn R, Liston A (2009) Increasing phylogenetic resolution at low taxonomic levels using massively parallel sequencing of chloroplast genomes. BMC Biology, 7(1):84.

Paterson AH, Wang X, Li J, Tang H (2012) Ancient and recent polyploidy in monocots. In Polyploidy and genome evolution (pp. 93-108). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Pawlowski J, Audic S, Adl S, Bass D, Belbahri L, Berney C, et al. (2012) CBOL protist working group: barcoding eukaryotic richness beyond the animal, plant, and fungal kingdoms. PLoS biology, 10(11), e1001419.

Peng Z, Lu Y, Li L, Zhao Q, Feng Q, Gao Z, et al. (2013) The draft genome of the fast-growing non-timber forest species moso bamboo (Phyllostachys heteroclada). Nature Genetics 45: 456–461.

Pennisi E (2007) Wanted: A Barcode for Plants. Science, 318: 190-191.

Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Soreng RJ (2014) A laboratory guide for generating DNA barcodes in grasses: a case study of Leptochloa sl (Poaceae: Chloridoideae). Webbia, 69(1), 1-12.

Pettengill JB, Neel MC (2010) An evaluation of candidate plant DNA barcodes and assignment methods in diagnosing 29 species in the genus Agalinis (Orobanchaceae). American Journal of Botany, 97(8), 1391-1406.

Phillips JD, Gillis DJ, Hanner RH (2019) Incomplete estimates of genetic diversity within species: Implications for DNA barcoding. Ecology and Evolution, 9(5): 2996-3010.

Qiu YL, Li L, Wang B, Chen Z, Knoop V, Groth-Malonek M, et al. (2006) The deepest divergences in land plants inferred from phylogenomic evidence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(42), 15511-15516.

Rogalski M, do Nascimento Vieira L, Fraga HP, Guerra MP (2015) Plastid genomics in horticultural species: importance and applications for plant population genetics, evolution, and biotechnology. Frontiers in Plant Science, 6, 586.

Roy NS, Jeong U, Na M, Choi IY, Cheong EJ (2020) Genomic analysis and a consensus chloroplast genome sequence of Prunus yedoensis for DNA marker development. Horticulture, Environment, and Biotechnology, 61(5), 859-867.

Ruhlman TA, Jansen RK (2014) The plastid genomes of flowering plants. In Chloroplast biotechnology (pp. 3-38). Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.

Ruiz-Sanchez E, Sosa V, Ortiz-Rodriguez AE, Davidse G (2019) Historical biogeography of the herbaceous bamboo tribe Olyreae (Bambusoideae: Poaceae). Folia Geobotanica, 1-13.

Ruiz-Sanchez E, Castro-Castro A, Zamora-Tavares MDP (2021) Molecular and morphological data support the recognition of a new species of Otatea (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Guaduinae) from Durango, Mexico. Taxon, 1-14.

Saarela JM, Burke SV, Wysocki WP, Barrett MD, Clark LG Craine JM, et al. (2018) A 250 plastome phylogeny of the grass family (Poaceae): topological support under different data partitions. PeerJ, 6, e4299.

Santos-Gonçalves AP, de Carvalho-Okano RM, Filgueiras TS (2012) A new species of Merostachys (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) from southeastern Brazil. Systematic Botany, 37(4), 938-940.

Sawarkar AD, Shrimankar DD, Kumar M, Kumar P, Kumar S, Singh L (2021) Traditional System Versus DNA Barcoding in Identification of Bamboo Species: A Systematic Review. Molecular Biotechnology, 1-25.

Scarcelli N, Barnaud A, Eiserhardt W, Treier UA, Seveno M, d'Anfray A, et al. (2011) A set of 100 chloroplast DNA primer pairs to study population genetics and phylogeny in monocotyledons. PlosOne, 6(5), e19954.

Schwarz EN, Ruhlman TA, Sabir JS, Hajrah NH, Alharbi NS, Al-Malki AL, et al. (2015) Plastid genome sequences of legumes reveal parallel inversions and multiple losses of rps16 in papilionoids. Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 53(5): 458-468.

Sharma B, Gatoo A, Bock M, Mulligan H, Ramage M (2015) Engineered bamboo: state of the art. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Construction Materials, 168(2), 57-67.

Shaver JM, Oldenburg DJ, Bendich AJ (2008) The structure of chloroplast DNA molecules and the effects of light on the amount of chloroplast DNA during development in Medicago truncatula. Plant Physiol 146:1064–1074

Shaw J, Lickey EB, Schilling EE, Small RL (2007) Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: the tortoise and the hare III. American journal of botany, 94(3), 275-288.

Shaw J, Shafer HL, Leonard OR, Kovach MJ, Schorr M, Morris AB (2014) Chloroplast DNA sequence utility for the lowest phylogenetic and phylogeographic inferences in angiosperms: the tortoise and the hare IV. American Journal of Botany, 101(11), 1987-2004.

Sheth BP, Thaker VS (2017) DNA barcoding and traditional taxonomy: an integrated approach for biodiversity conservation. Genome, 60(7): 618-628.

Shinozaki K, Ohme M, Tanaka M, Wakasugi T, Hayashida N, et al. (1986) The complete nucleotide sequence of tobacco chloroplast genome: its gene organization and expression. EMBO J 5:2043–2049.

Shneyer VS, Rodionov AV (2019) Plant DNA barcodes. Biology Bulletin Reviews, 9(4), 295-300.

Sijimol K, Dev SA, Muralidharan EM, Sreekumar VB (2014) DNA barcoding: An emerging tool for precise identification and certification of planting stock in taxonomically challenging bamboo species. Journal Bamboo and Rattan, 13(1-2):29-43.

Sijimol K, Dev SA, Sreekumar VB (2020) DNA barcoding supports existence of morphospecies complex in endemic bamboo genus Ochlandra Thwaites of the Western Ghats, India. Journal of Genetics, 99(1): 1-12.

Singh L, Sridharan S, Thul ST, Kokate P, Kumar P, Kumar S, Kumar R (2020) Ecorejuvenation of degraded land by microbe assisted bamboo plantation. Industrial Crops and Products, 155, 112795. Soltis PS, Marchant DB, Van de Peer Y, Soltis DE (2015) Polyploidy and genome evolution in plants. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, 35: 119-125.

Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Davidse G, Teisher JK, Clark LG, et al. (2017) A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae) II: An update and a comparison of two 2015 classifications. Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 55(4): 259-290.

Sosa V, Mejía-Saules T, Cuéllar MA, Vovides AP (2013) DNA barcoding in endangered Mesoamerican groups of plants. The Botanical Review, 79(4), 469-482.

Srivastava G Su T, Mehrotra RC, Kumari P, Shankar U (2019) Bamboo fossils from Oligo–Pliocene sediments of northeast India with implications on their evolutionary ecology and biogeography in Asia. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 262: 17-27.

Srivathsan A, Meier R (2012) On the inappropriate use of Kimura-2-parameter (K2P) divergences in the DNA-barcoding literature. Cladistics, 28(2), 190-194.

Stallman, JK, Funk VA, Price JP, Knope ML (2019) DNA barcodes fail to accurately differentiate species in Hawaiian plant lineages. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 190(4), 374-388.

Stanton DW, Frandsen P, Waples RK, Heller R, Russo IRM, Orozco-terWengel PA, et al. (2019). More grist for the mill? Species delimitation in the genomic era and its implications for conservation. Conservation Genetics, 20(1), 101-113.

Sungkaew S, Stapleton CMA, Salamin N, Hodkinson TR (2009) Nonmonophyly of the woody bamboos (Bambuseae; Poaceae): A multi-gene region phylogenetic analysis of Bambusoideae s.s., Journal of Plant Research, 122: 95-108.

Takayasu L, Suda W, Hattori M (2019) Mapping the environmental microbiome. Encyclopedia of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, 3: 17-28.

Terefe R, Jian L, Kunyong Y (2019) Role of bamboo forest for mitigation and adaptation to climate change challenges in China. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports, 1-7.

Timmis JN, Ayliffe MA, Huang CY, Martin W (2004) Endosymbiotic gene transfer: organielle genomes forage eukaryotic chromosomes. Nature Reviews Genetics, 5: 123-135.

Tonti-Filippini J, Nevill PG, Dixon K, Small J (2017) What can we do with 1000 plastid genomes? The Plant Journal, 90(4):808-818.

Triplett JK, Clark LG (2021) Hybridization in the Temperate Bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Arundinarieae): A Phylogenetic Study Using AFLPs and cpDNA Sequence Data. Systematic Botany, 46(1), 48-69.

Triplett JK; Clark LG, Fisher AE, Wen J (2014) Independent allopolyploidization events preceded speciation in the temperate and tropical woody bamboos. New Phytologist, 204(1): 66-73.

Triplett JK, Clark LG (2010) Phylogeny of the temperate bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae) with an emphasis on Arundinaria and allies. Systematic Botany, 35(1): 102-120.

Turmel M, Otis C, Lemieux C (2006) The chloroplast genome sequence of Chara vulgaris sheds new light into the closest green algal relatives of land plants. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 23(6), 1324-1338.

Tyrrell CD, Londoño X, Prieto RO, Attigala L, McDonald K, Clark LG (2018) Molecular phylogeny and cryptic morphology reveal a new genus of West Indian woody bamboo (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae) hidden by convergent character evolution. Taxon, 67(5), 916-930.

Valentini A, Pompanon F, Taberlet P (2009) DNA barcoding for ecologists. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24(2): 110-117.

van Dam JE, Elbersen HW, Montaño CMD (2018) Bamboo production for industrial utilization. Perennial grasses for bioenergy and bioproducts, 175-216.

van Velzen, R., Weitschek, E., Felici, G., & Bakker, F. T. (2012). DNA barcoding of recently diverged species: relative performance of matching methods. PloS one, 7(1), e30490.

Vieira LN, Anjos KG, Faoro H, Fraga HPF, Greco TM, Oliveira Pedrosa F, et al. (2016) Phylogenetic inference and SSR characterization of tropical woody bamboos tribe Bambuseae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on complete plastid genome sequences. Current Genetics, 62(2), 443-453.

Vorontsova MS, Clark LG, Dransfield J, Govaerts R, Baker WJ (2016) World Checklist of Bamboos and Rattans. INBAR Technical Report No. 37. International Network for Bamboo and Rattan, Beijing, China. 466 pp.

Walker JF, Walker-Hale N, Vargas OM, Larson DA, Stull GW (2019) Characterizing gene tree conflict in plastome-inferred phylogenies. PeerJ, 7, e7747.

Wang YH, Wicke S, Wang H, Jin JJ, Chen SY, Zhang SD, et al. (2018a) Plastid genome evolution in the early-diverging legume subfamily Cercidoideae (Fabaceae). Frontiers in Plant Science, 9, 138.

Wang W, Chen S, Zhang X (2018b) Whole-genome comparison reveals divergent IR borders and mutation hotspots in chloroplast genomes of herbaceous bamboos (Bambusoideae: Olyreae). Molecules, 23(7), 1537.

Wang W, Chen S, Guo W, Li Y, Zhang X (2020a) Tropical plants evolve faster than their temperate relatives: a case from the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on chloroplast genome data. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 34(1), 482-493.

Wang RN, Milne RI, Du XY, Liu J, Wu ZY (2020b) Characteristics and mutational hotspots of plastomes in Debregeasia (Urticaceae). Frontiers in genetics, 11, 729.

Whitlock BA, Hale AM, Groff PA (2010) Intraspecific inversions pose a challenge for the trnH-psbA plant DNA barcode. PloS one, 5(7), e11533.

Wicke S, Schneeweiss GM (2015) Next-generation organellar genomics: potentials and pitfalls of high-throughput technologies for molecular evolutionary studies and plant systematics. Next-generation sequencing in plant systematics, 158.

Wicke S, Schneeweiss GM, Depamphilis CW, Müller KF, Quandt D (2011) The evolution of the plastid chromosome in land plants: gene content, gene order, gene function. Plant Molecular Biology, 76(3), 273-297.

Wiemers M, Fiedler K (2007) Does the DNA barcoding gap exist? A case study in blue butterflies (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae). Frontiers in Zoology, 4(1), 1-16.

Wolf PG (2012) Plastid genome diversity. In Plant Genome Diversity, Volume 1 (pp. 145-154). Springer, Vienna.

Wu ZQ, Ge S (2012) The phylogeny of the BEP clade in grasses revisited: evidence from the whole-genome sequences of chloroplasts. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 62(1): 573-578.

Wu CS, Chaw SM (2014) Highly rearranged and size-variable chloroplast genomes in conifers II clade (cupressophytes): evolution towards shorter intergenic spacers. Plant Biotechnology Journal, 12(3), 344-353.

Wu M, Lan S, Cai B, Chen S, Chen H, Zhou S (2015) The complete chloroplast genome of Guadua angustifolia and comparative analyses of neotropical-paleotropical bamboos. PloS one, 10(12), e0143792.

Wysocki WP, Clark LG, Attigala L, Ruiz-Sanchez E, Duvall MR (2015) Evolution of the bamboos (Bambusoideae; Poaceae): a full plastome phylogenomic analysis. BMC Evol Biol 15:50.

Wysocki WP, Ruiz-Sanchez E, Yin Y, Duvall MR (2016) The floral transcriptomes of four bamboo species (Bambusoideae; Poaceae): support for common ancestry among woody bamboos. BMC genomics, 17(1), 1-14.

Xu J (2016) Fungal DNA barcoding. Genome, 59(11), 913-932.

Yamane K, Yano K, Kawahara T (2006) Pattern and rate of indel evolution inferred from whole chloroplast intergenic regions in sugarcane, maize and rice. DNA research, 13(5), 197-204.

Yang JB, Yang HQ, Li DZ, Wong KM, Yang YM (2010) Phylogeny of Bambusa and its allies (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) inferred from nuclear GBSSI gene and plastid psbA-trnH, rpl32-trnL and rps16 intron DNA sequences. Taxon, 59(4), 1102-1110.

Yang HM, Zhang YX, Yang JB, Li DZ (2013) The monophyly of Chimonocalamus and conflicting gene trees in Arundinarieae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) inferred from four plastid and two nuclear markers. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 68(2), 340-356.

Ye XY, Ma PF, Guo C, Li DZ (2021) Phylogenomics of Fargesia and Yushania reveals a history of reticulate evolution. Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 1-15.

Yuen JQ, Fung T, Ziegler AD (2017) Carbon stocks in bamboo ecosystems worldwide: Estimates and uncertainties. Forest Ecology and Management, 393, 113-138.

Zachos FE (2016) Species concepts in biology (Vol. 801). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Zhang YJ, Ma PF, Li DZ (2011). High-throughput sequencing of six bamboo chloroplast genomes: phylogenetic implications for temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). PloS one, 6(5), e20596.

Zhang YX, Zeng CX, Li DZ (2012) Complex evolution in Arundinarieae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae): incongruence between plastid and nuclear GBSSI gene phylogeneies. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 63(3), 777-797.

Zhang YX, Xu YX, Ma PF, Zhang LN, Li DZ (2013) Selection of potential plastid DNA barcodes for Bambusoideae (Poaceae). Plant Diversity and Resources, 35(6): 743-750.

Zhang XZ, Zeng CX, Ma PF, Haevermans T, Zhang YX, Zhang LN, (2016) Multilocus plastid phylogenetic biogeography supports the Asian hypothesis of the temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 96: 118-129. Zhang SD, Jin JJ, Chen SY, Chase MW, Soltis DE, Li HT, et al. (2017) Diversification of Rosaceae since the Late Cretaceous based on plastid phylogenomics. New Phytologist, 214(3), 1355-1367.

Zheng X, Lin S, Fu H, Wan Y, Ding Y (2020) The bamboo flowering cycle sheds light on flowering diversity. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 381.

Zhong B, Yonezawa T, Zhong Y, Hasegawa M (2009) Episodic evolution and adaptation of chloroplast genomes in ancestral grasses. PloS One, 4(4), e5297.

Zhang Y, Xu Y, Ma P, Zhang L, Li D (2013) Selection of potential plastid DNA barcodes for Bambusoideae (Poaceae). Plant Diversity and Resources, 35(6), 743-750.

Zhou BZ, Fu MY, Xie JZ, Yang XS, Li ZC (2005) Ecological functions of bamboo forest: Research and Application. Journal of Forestry Research, 16(2): 143-147.

Zhou MY, Zhang YX, Haevermans T, Li DZ (2017) Towards a complete generic-level plastid phylogeny of the paleotropical woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Taxon, 66(3), 539-553.

Zhou Y, Zhang YQ, Xing XC, Zhang JQ, Ren Y (2019) Straight from the plastome: molecular phylogeny and morphological evolution of Fargesia (Bambusoideae: Poaceae). Frontiers in Plant Science, 10, 981.

Zhou Y, Li WW, Zhang YQ, Xing XC, Zhang JQ, Ren Y (2020) Extensive reticulate evolution within Fargesia (sl)(Bambusoideae: Poaceae) and its allies: Evidence from multiple nuclear markers. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution, 149, 106842.

Zhu A, Guo W, Gupta S, Fan W, Mower JP (2016) Evolutionary dynamics of the plastid inverted repeat: the effects of expansion, contraction, and loss on substitution rates. New Phytologist, 209(4), 1747-1756.

Zimorski V, Ku C, Martin WF, Gould SB (2014) Endosymbiotic theory for organelle origins. Current Opinion in Microbiology, 22: 38-48.

Zoschke R, Bock R (2018) Chloroplast translation: Structural and functional organization, operational control, and regulation. The Plant Cell, 30(4): 745-770.

#### **3. OBJETIVOS**

#### **3.1 OBJETIVO GERAL**

# 3.1.1 Capítulo 1. DNA barcoding in Bambusoideae (Poaceae): are we getting close?

Testar a aplicabilidade de cinco regiões do genoma plastidial candidatas à barcode, visando a resolução em ampla escala de questões taxonômicas em Bambusoideae.

# 3.1.2 Capítulo 2. Sequenciamento e análise comparativa do genoma plastidial de espécies de bambus neotropicais (Bambusoideae, Poaceae) nativas do Brasil

Realizar a análise comparativa de genomas plastidiais de espécies endêmicas e ameaçadas de bambus nativos do Brasil, visando a caracterização de padrões evolutivos e identificação de regiões polimórficas.

# **3.2 OBJETIVOS ESPECÍFICOS**

### 3.2.1 Capítulo 1.

i) Selecionar regiões candidatas à *barcode* de DNA para Bambusoideae;

*ii*) Sequenciar as regiões selecionadas para espécies abrangentes das três tribos de Bambusoideae;

*iii*) Avaliar as características moleculares das regiões sequenciadas, comparando os níveis de conservação e variabilidade nucleotídica;

*iv*) Testar o poder discriminatório a nível de espécie marcadores aplicados com base na abordagem filogenética;

*v*) Selecionar a região *barcode*, a combinação destas, com maior poder discriminatório a nível de espécie para subfamília Bambusoideae.

#### 3.2.2 Capítulo 2.

*i*) Identificar populações naturais e coletar amostras de *Guadua trinii*, *Apoclada simplex*, *Parodiolyra micrantha*, espécies de Bambusoideae nativas do Brasil;

*ii*) Isolar e obter a sequência completa de cpDNA das três espécies selecionadas;

iii) Realizar a montagem e anotação dos genomas plastidiais destas três espécies;

*iv*) Comparar o conteúdo e estrutura gênica dos novos genomas plastidiais sequenciados com relação às suas respectivas subtribos;

*v*) Identificar regiões altamente polimórficas e com aplicabilidade em DNA *barcoding* e resolução filogenética em Bambusoideae neotropical.

# 4. CAPÍTULO I.

Manuscrito submetido ao periódico Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution.

DNA barcoding in Bambusoideae (Poaceae): are we getting close?

# ABSTRACT

Specimens' identification has been performed by using DNA barcoding technique, which employs the rapid and standard sequencing of a short DNA region variable enough to distinguish between species. Despite many efforts, there is no DNA barcode standardized for all land plants, including the worldwide distributed group of bamboos. Bambusoideae subfamily (Poaceae) encompasses more than 1680 species of forest grasses with greatest taxonomic challenge, mainly posed by its rare flowering events and morphological similarity. However, given their greatest ecological and economic importance, accurate bamboo species classification is crucial for their evolutionary inference and conservation programs. Based on the three principals of DNA barcoding technique (standardization, minimalism, and scalability), the present work tested five chloroplast genomic regions proposed as DNA barcode candidates (rbcL, matK, psbK-psbI, rpl32-trnL and trnH-psbA) in a widely sampling approach for Bambusoideae subfamily, regarding their nucleotide variability and phylogenetic signal for species discrimination. All samples were successfully amplified, and 365 newly generated sequenced were obtained in high sequencing quality. Among the tested cpDNA regions, rpl32-trnL showed the greatest nucleotide variability. However, none of them, either single-tested or combined, resulted in satisfied species discrimination. Considering the phylogenetic approach of species discrimination, meaning the reciprocal monophyly criteria, application of DNA barcoding technique in Bambusoideae should strongly consider the subfamily life cycle and recurrence of hybridization events, which influence the accuracy of DNA barcoding in evolutionary complex groups.

Keywords: Bamboo, chloroplast genome. molecular taxonomy, systematics.

## INTRODUCTION

Rapid identification of biological specimens has always been a challenge, since it relies on the rare natural history specialists, and the use of molecular data has been tested to overcome such challenges (Chase & Fay 2009). DNA barcoding is a proposed technique for characterizing species of organisms using rapid sequencing of a short and DNA region from a standard genome position, which may show significant nucleotide variation capable of distinguishing between species (Hebert et al. 2003). Some DNA regions have been proposed as barcode for animals, bacteria, and fungi, but although great effort the standardization of DNA barcodes for all land plants has not yet been achieved (Peterson et al. 2014). The barcode core composed by chloroplast genome sequences, *rbcL* and *matK*, are proposed as the initial point of start for land plants (CBOL 2009; Hollingsworth et al. 2011). Those molecular markers can show differential performance of species discrimination between different groups of plants, including Bambusoideae, in which supplementary markers with higher evolutionary rates are recommended aiming to increase barcode performance in low taxonomic level (Dong et al. 2012; Peterson et al. 2014; Horn & Häser 2016).

Bambusoideae (Poaceae) subfamily encompasses perennial grasses with a remarkable worldwide distribution, representing the only major grass lineage with forest diversification (BPG 2012; Clark et al. 2015). Currently, 127 genera/1680+ species are distributed in three monophyletic tribes, the herbaceous (Olyreae) and woody bamboos (Bambuseae and Arundinarieae (Sungkaew et al. 2009; Soreng et al. 2017; Clark & Oliveira 2018). Additionally, the remarkable growing number of genera and species described reinforces the taxonomic and evolutionary complexity of the group (Tyrell et al. 2018; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2019; Clark et al. 2019; Oliveira et al. 2020).

Bamboos are a taxonomically challenging group, presenting a particularly scientific problem, especially for non-specialists in diverse tropical plant groups (Vorontzova et al. 2016; Hodkinson 2018). Systematic classification based on floral and vegetative characters is mostly unreliable for bamboos, considering their unusual floral behavior and life cycle (Sawarkar et al. 2021; Triplett & Clark 2021). The underpinned shallow morphological variation between species and the rare and infrequent flowering events in woody lineages propose the main challenge of species identification in bamboos, hindering the establishment of morphological autapomorphies and/or synapomorphies in (low) generic levels (Yang et al. 2011; Sijimol et al. 2014, 2020; Zhou

et al. 2017). However, given their greatest ecological and economic importance, correct systematic classification is crucial to conservation programs and development of robust phylogenetic inferences (Clark et al. 2015; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2019; Triplett & Clark 2021).

Many attempts have been made to establish an ideal genomic region for bamboo species discrimination, including both coding and non-coding regions of chloroplast genomes (cpDNA), especially in temperate (Arundinarieae) e paleotropical (Bambuseae) lineages (Cai et al. 2012; Meena et al. 2020; Sijimol et al. 2020; Dev et al. 2020). However, those efforts present unequal and inconstant results, indicating differential discriminatory power of cpDNA regions within and among tribes (Sosa et al. 2013; Sawarkar et al. 2021). Along with that, most analytical evaluation methods rely on sufficient genetic distances between species and on reciprocal monophyly, which can be unsuitable for DNA barcoding application in complex evolutionary groups such as Bambusoideae (Hollingsworth et al. 2011; Collins & Cruickshank 2013).

Based on the proposed principles of DNA barcoding technique – standardization, minimalism, and scalability (Hollingsworth et al., 2011) – we evaluated five selected plastid genome loci to access the criteria of universality of amplification and sequence quality parameters, nucleotide variability and discriminatory power in a wide sampling approach for Bambusoideae. In the present work, we aimed to address the following questions: *i*) The selected genomic loci and primers are widely suitable to generate high quality sequences; *ii*) the selected barcode regions present sufficient nucleotide diversity to increase species discrimination power? *iii*) phylogenetic approaches are informative in a large-scale for Bambusoideae?

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### Sampling and DNA extraction

In total, 73 samples were collected in 11 distinct locations, mainly in personal collections or native populations in private properties, aiming to avoid primary identification errors. Sample collected in natural populations were identified by floral or vegetative diagnostic characteristics. All samples represented 14 species (19 specimens) of Arundinarieae tribe, 15 species (16 specimens) of neotropical Bambuseae tribe, 27 species (33 specimens) of paleotropical Bambuseae tribe, and 3 species (3 specimens) of

Olyreae tribe, totalizing 19 genera and 59 species (table S1). Young leaves of each sample were collected, dried in silica gel, and stored at -20°C for posterior DNA extraction<sup>§</sup>. <sup>§</sup> Specimens of each sample were collected according to Soderstrom & Young (1983) and deposited at herbarium FLOR (Federal University of Santa Catarina).

DNA extraction of each sample was performed by CTAB 2% protocol (Doyle & Doyle, 1990), with minor modifications for Bambusoideae. Quality and concentration of isolated DNA were evaluated by visual estimation in 0.8% agarose electrophoresis (Sambrook & Russel, 2001), and by Nanodrop  $1000^{\text{®}}$  spectrophotometry (Thermo Scientific). Afterwards, DNA were diluted for a final concentration of 10 ng/µl for posterior amplification reactions.

# Amplification and sequencing of selected barcode regions

Five plastidial regions were selected and sequenced as DNA barcode candidate, based on previously reports for Angiosperms and Bambusoideae: the recommended two-locus core, *rbcL* and *matK*, and supplementary intergenic spacers, *psbK-psbI*, *rpl32-trnL* and *trnH-psbA*. Primers and their respective PCR conditions are summarized in table 1.

PCR conditions (Polymerase Chain Reactions) were standardized, aiming the amplification of the greatest number of samples with similar parameters. The amplification reactions were performed in a final volume of 20 µl, containing 0.2 mg/ml BSA, 1x PCR buffer, 1 mM (for *rbcL*, *trnH-psbA* and *rpl32-trnL*) to 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (for *matK* and *psbK-psbI*), 0.15 mM of each dNTP, 0.15 µM of each primer (10 µM), 0.8 U Platinum Taq DNA polymerase and from 10 ng (for *rpl32-trnL*) to 20 ng (for *rbcL*, *matK*, *psbK-psbI* and *trnH-psbA*) of DNA. PCR products were purified by differential precipitation with PEG8000 solution (20% PEG8000 and 2,5M NaCl; Lis & Schleif, 1975), and verified in 1.5% agarose electrophoresis.

 Table 1. Universal primers selected as DNA barcode in Bambusoideae and PCR amplification cycles.

Locus <sup>1</sup>	Primers: 5' - 3' sequences	PCR <sup>2</sup>	Refs. <sup>3</sup>
rbcL	109-F: TGGCAGCATTCCGAGTAASTCCT	94°C 4 min; 30x	
	926-Β· C Δ Τ Δ C G C Δ Δ Τ G C Τ Τ Τ Δ G C Τ Δ Δ Τ Δ C Δ C G	(94°C 30 seg, 60°C	1
	J20-R. CHINEGERATGETTINGETAMINERED	30 seg, 72°C 1	1
		min); 72°C 7 min	
matK	454-F: CATATAGARATACCYTAYCCTATC	94°C 4 min; 30x	1.2
	1315-R: GCTAAAGTTCTAGCRCATGAAAG	(94°C 30 seg, 54°C	1, 2

		$45 \text{ seg}, 72^{\circ}\text{C}$ I	
		min); 72°C 7 min	
trnH-psbA	trnH2-F: CGCGCATGGTGGATTCACAATCC	94°C 4 min; 30x	
	psbA-R: GTTATGCATGAACGTAATGCTC	(94°C 30 seg, 56°C 45 seg, 72°C 1	3, 4, 5, 9
		min); 72°C 7 min	
psbK-psbI	psbK-F: TTAGCCTTTGTTTGGCAAG	94°C 4 min; 30x	
	- nshI-R·AGAGTTTGAGAGTAAGCAT	(94°C 30 seg, 58°C	670
		45 seg, 72°C 1	0, 7, 9
		min); 72°C 7 min	
rpl32-trnL	rpl32-F: CAGTTCCAAAAAAACGTACTTC	94°C 4 min; 30x	
	trol (IIAG)-R·CTGCTTCCTAAGAGCAGCGT	(94°C 30 seg, 56°C	1 0
		30 seg, 72°C 1	1, 0
		min); 72°C 7 min	

4 -

7000 1

<sup>1</sup> Expected amplicon size: *rbcL*, 824 pb; *matK*, 832 pb; *trnH-psbA*, 645 pb (variable); *psbK-psbI*, 443 pb; *rpl32-trnL*, 686 pb (variable). <sup>2</sup> PCR conditions; <sup>3</sup> References: 1. Peterson et al., 2014; 2. GPWG, 2011; 3. Cai et al., 2012; 4. Tate & Simpson, 2003; 5. Sang et al., 1997; 6. Sosa et al., 2013; 7. Lahaye et al., 2008; 8. Shaw et al., 2007; 9. Fazekas et al., 2008.

Bidirectional sequencing reactions were performed in both directions, forward and reverse, using BigDye<sup>®</sup> Terminator V3.1 cycle kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol. (Applied Biosystems, 2002), in an automate ABI 35000xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at Laboratory of Developmental Physiology and Genetics (LFDGV, UFSC). Each reaction contained 1  $\mu$ l of purified amplification product, 1  $\mu$ l of BigDye Terminator Ready Reaction Mix, 4  $\mu$ l of 5x Sequencing buffer and 1  $\mu$ l of 3,2  $\mu$ M primer (each), for a final volume of 20  $\mu$ l. PCR sequencing amplifications were performed according to the following conditions: initial denaturation at 96°C for 2 min; 35 cycles of denaturation (96°C for 10 sec), primer annealing (temperature of each primer) for 5 sec, and extension at 60°C for 4 min, followed by a final step at 4°C. Afterwards, PCR products were precipitated with ethanol/EDTA/5M ammonium acetate to remove excesses of dye terminators, and resuspended in 10  $\mu$ l of HiDi formamide.

After visual inspection in both ends of the sequences, electropherograms were subjected to basecalling using Sequence Analysis v1.0 software, considering as minimum quality parameter an average QV of 20. Consensus sequences for each sample and locus were obtained by assembling forward and reverse trace data using CLC Main Workbench v.8.0.1 (CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark), and manually checked for quality scores and edited, as necessary. All newly generated sequences will be deposited in GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

#### Alignment and dataset reconstruction

To enhance analysis robustness, sequences from GenBank were carefully selected and compared to our newly generated sequences, regarding length and coverage of the genomic region, and doubtful sequences were ignored. Aiming to avoid species misidentification, 39 accessions of complete plastid genomes were prioritized, of which sequences of each locus individually retrieved (table S2). Also, to increase intraspecific sampling, unique GenBank accessions were selected by equal voucher, when available, excluding shortest and doubtful sequences (table S3).

For each locus, multiple sequence alignments (MSA) were performed using MAFFT online service (Katoh et al. 2019) with default parameters, and subsequent manual optimization retaining common start and end lengths. Alignment gaps or ambiguous sites were rechecked on trace files of newly generated sequences, in order to confirm variable sites, and those present in at least two sequences were kept for analysis. Afterwards, individual MSA were concatenated, using MEGA platform, generating 5 combined datasets: (1) rbcL + matK; (2) rpL32-trnL + psbK-psbI; (3) rpL32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA; (4) rpL32-trnL + psbK-psbI + matK; and (5) rbcL + matK + rpL32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA.

#### Data analysis and evaluation of DNA barcode potential of selected regions

The candidate barcode regions were evaluated to attend the principles of standardization, minimalism, and scalability (Hollingsworth et al., 2011), *i.e.*, accessing the criteria of universality of amplification and sequence quality parameters, nucleotide variability and species discrimination power.

Amplification success was estimated, individually, by the number of amplified and sequenced samples following the PCR conditions predominant for each individual locus. Sequencing quality was assessed by mean values of sequence length, CRL (Contiguous Read Length), QV20+ and TS (Trace Score) for each region, obtained through Sequence Scanner v2 software (Applied Biosystems 2012).

Nucleotide variability of each locus was estimated based on MSA of each enriched database, using DnaSP v.6 software (Rozas et al., 2017). Several parameters were estimated, including mean alignment length (pb), number of sites excluding gaps, number of monomorphic (invariable) sites, total number of mutations (Eta), number of segregating sites (S), number of parsimony informative sites (PIC), number (h) and haplotype diversity (Hd), and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ).

In each locus individually aligned, best-fit models of molecular evolution were determined using 'jModelTest2 on XSEDE' (Darriba et al. 2012), implemented in CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010; <u>https://www.phylo.org</u>), according to hierarchical likelihood ratio tests based on the Akaike information criteria (AIC; Akaike 1974). After preliminary evaluation of nucleotide diversity of individual locus, the following combinations were constructed and analyzed with phylogenetic inference: *i*) rbcL + matK, according to CBOL (2009) recommendations; *ii*) rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI, harboring the two most variable loci; *iii*) rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA, encompassing the tested intergenic spacers; *iv*) rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + matK, with the three most variable loci, and; *v*) rbcL + matK + rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA, including all the five tested loci combined.

Aiming to evaluate species discriminatory power of the five selected barcode loci and their combinations using reciprocal monophyly as criteria, phylogenetic analysis was performed in the total 10 datasets. Bayesian inferences (BI) analysis was carried out using MrBayes 3.2.7 (Ronquist et al. 2012) in the XSEDE platform in the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010; https://www.phylo.org). Analysis consisted in 1 million generations in two runs, each with four Monte Carlo Markov chains (MCMC, with one cold and three heated), and sampling trees every 1000 generations and 25% of burn-in. Coverage of the runs were assessed by checking effective sample sizes of all parameters greater than 200 using Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut et al. 2014). The remaining trees were summarized in a majority rule consensus including the posterior probabilities (PP) as branch support estimates, considering PP  $\ge 0.9$  as strongly supported nodes. Afterwards, the best trees were visualized using FigTree v.1.4.4 (Rambaut, 2019) and edited with InkScape 1.0.1 software (Inkscape Project 2020), with labeled nodes with posterior probabilities.

## RESULTS

### Sequencing success and molecular nucleotide diversity

The five selected cpDNA barcode regions were remarkably efficient for amplification and bidirectional sequencing success (table 2). All the selected primers were able to amplify 100% of bamboo samples (73 each), providing a total of 365 newly generated sequences. This is the first inclusion reported of neotropical bamboo species (Bambuseae and neotropical) in a DNA barcoding approach.

Evaluation of sequencing quality (table 2) showed greatest mean values of CRL, Trace Score and QV20+. CRL represents the longest contiguous sequence length of bases with Phred score above a specified threshold (QV > 20) within a window size. Also, trace score means basecalling Phred scores after sequence trimming, and QV20+ represents the total number of bases within the electropherogram with basecalling values above 20.

Locus	Mean seq. length, pb (SD)	Sequence length range, pb (SD)	CRL <sup>1</sup> (SD)	TS <sup>2</sup> (SD)	QV20+ (SD)
rbcL	770.01 (3.0)	765 - 783	784.40 (11.61)	50.91 (3.79)	775.08 (38.55)
matK	800.37 (1.85)	798 - 808	801.96 (37.47)	49.71 (5.96)	786.41 (43.58)
psbK-psbI	386.78 (4.09)	376 - 399	422.76 (60.61)	48.37 (6.08)	427.76 (74.33)
rpl32-trnL	844.51 (2.68)	747 - 836	845.82 (63.81)	47.08 (3.83)	831.48 (48.37)
trnH-psbA	590.67 (6.27)	575 - 600	610.22 (18.95)	44.35 (9.61)	603.49 (45.01)

**Table 2.** Evaluation of sequence quality parameters. All the selected primers successfully amplified 100% of samples, representing 73 sequences, each.

<sup>1</sup>CRL, Contiguous read length. <sup>2</sup>TS, Trace Score. Numbers within parenthesis represents standard deviations.

After database enrichment with NCBI accessions, sequence variability analysis was performed in a final matrix composed of 144 sequences of *rbcL*, 143 of *matK*, 128 of *psbK-psbI*, 135 of *rpl32-trnL* and 141 of *trnH-psbA*. Assessment of nucleotide diversity within individual alignments are summarized in table 3. *Pariana campestris* (NCBI cpGenome; NC02749.1) was removed from *trnH-psbA* datasets due to the lack of one *rps19* gene copy, resulting in ambiguous alignments.

Sequence alignment length was longer in rpl32-trnL locus (994 pb), influenced by the recurrence of sites with gaps (400 sites). Sequence conservation, by means of monomorphic sites, was higher in *rbcL* alignment (90.84%), followed by *matK* (82.64%) and intergenic spacers trnH-psbA (77.72%), psbK-psbI (61.42%) and rpl32-trnL (42.45%). Following conservation patterns, sequence alignment of psbK-psbI and rpl32trnL showed greater nucleotide diversity considering the number of segregating/polymorphic sites, parsimony informative sites (PICs) and nucleotide diversity  $(\pi)$ , when compared to the three remaining analyzed loci (table 3). Despite medium conservation rate, trnH-psbA sequences recovered the lowest percentage of parsimony informative sites (7.34%), followed by *rbcL* (most conserved loci) and *matK* (increasing order).

	rbcL	matK	psbK-psbI	rpl32-trnL	trnH-psbA
N. of sequences	144	143	128	135	141
Alignment length, pb	767	801	407	994	615
Sites without gaps (n. of gaps)	767 (0)	798 (3)	352 (55)	594 (400)	545 (70)
N. of invariable/monomorphic sites <sup>1</sup>	694 (90.48%)	662 (82.64%)	250 (61.42%)	422 (42.45%)	478 (77.72%)
Total n. mutations, Eta	80	155	116	201	77
N. segregating/polymorphic sites, S <sup>1</sup>	73 (9.51%)	136 (16.97%)	102 (25.06%)	172 (17.31%)	67 (10.89%)
PIC (%) <sup>2</sup>	57 (7.43)	97 (12.16)	78 (22.16)	126 (21.22)	40 (7.34)
N. haplotypes, h	40	43	43	48	37
Haplotype diversity, Hd (SD)	0.92 (0.014)	0.917 (0.012)	0.933 (0.011)	0.939 (0.011)	0.879 (0.015)
Nucleotide diversity, $\pi$ (SD) <sup>3</sup>	0.01387 (0.00068)	0.0169 (0.00134)	0.03469 (0.00217)	0.02719 (0.00198)	0.01105 (0.00066)
Evolutionary model <sup>4</sup>	TIM1+I+G	TVM+I+G	TPM1uf+G	TPM3uf+I+G	TPM3uf+I+G

**Table 3.** Assessment of nucleotide diversity of individual alignments of the five selected barcoding loci in Bambusoideae datasets.

<sup>1</sup>% of monomorphic and polymorphic sites estimated based on total alignment length; <sup>2</sup>% of PIC (Parsimony informative sites) estimated based on the number of sites excluding gaps; <sup>3</sup>Nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) estimated with Jukes & Cantor correction. <sup>4</sup> Evolutionary model according to Akaike information criteria (AIC).

# Bayesian inferences and species discrimination power of the selected loci, individually and combined

Species discriminatory power of each locus was assessed through Bayesian inferences, taking into consideration the best individual evolutionary model. The obtained phylogenetic trees for the five individual locus displayed incongruent topologies between each other when considered the expected placement of the main bamboo lineages (Figure 1A-E). Also, none of them were sufficiently informative to resolve among species and genera in a wide basis, mainly in the ingroups of woody bamboo lineages expressing short branch lengths in closely related taxa. However, it's remarkably stated that ingroup of Olyreae tribe showed long branch lengths and congruent topology among the five generated trees.



**Figure 1A.** Bayesian inferences of *rbcL* sequence alignment of Bambusoideae clades. Numbers of nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.



**Figure 1B**. Bayesian inferences of *matK* sequence alignment of Bambusoideae clades. Numbers of nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.



**Figure 1C.** Bayesian inferences of *psbK-psbI* sequence alignment of Bambusoideae clades. Numbers of nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.



**Figure 1D**. Bayesian inferences of *rpl32-trnL* sequence alignment of Bambusoideae clades. Numbers of nodes indicated posterior probabilities, and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.



**Figure 1E.** Bayesian inferences of *trnH-psbA* sequence alignment of Bambusoideae clades. Numbers of nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.

Based on such observed nucleotide diversity, along with the recommendation of CBOL (2009), the following dataset were constructed to access their potential discriminatory power of wide-broad Bambusoideae species: *i*) rbcL + matK; *ii*) rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI; *iii*) rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA; *iv*) rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + matK; and *v*) rbcL + matK + rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA. For that, five combined datasets were employed in phylogenetic reconstruction and species identification through reciprocal monophyly BI approach (Figure 2). shows phylogenetic topologies of combined datasets.

Bayesian analysis of combined datasets shows some remarkable features, as follow:

*i) rpl32-trnL* + *psbK-psbI*, *rpl32-trnL* + *psbK-psbI* + *trnH-psbA* and *rpl32-trnL* + *psbK-psbI* + *matK* showing topological congruence with phylogenies based on nuclear data, instead of cpDNA, *i.e.*, closest relationship among woody bamboos clades after herbaceous bamboos.

*ii*) Long branches at the ingroup regarding Olyreae tribe;

*iii*) Nodes harboring paleotropical lineage undistinguishing *Bambuseae*, *Dendrocalamus* and *Gigantochloa* genera;

*iv*) Chusqueinae subtribe showing close relationship with Arundinarieae tribe rather than others from Bambuseae neotropical lineage, as it should be expected;

v) Topology of rbcL + matK + rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA congruent with cpDNA phylogenetic signal previously reported, *i.e.*, sister relationship among {Bambuseae + Olyreae} + Arundinarieae.

*vi*) Achievement of generic discrimination in neotropical lineages, meaning *Chusquea*, *Guadua*, *Colanthelia* and *Merostachys* genera.



**Figure 2A**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rbcL + matK alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.


**Figure 2B**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.



**Figure 2C**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.



**Figure 2D**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + matK alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.

![](_page_75_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 2E**. Bayesian inferences of combined datasets, using rbcL + matK + rpl32-trnL + psbK-psbI + trnH-psbA alignments of Bambusoideae. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities (PP), and those marked with round black circles represent PP=1. Highlighted clade indicates the Olyreae tribe.

# DISCUSSION

DNA barcoding is a promising technique to discriminate species, encountering a single or multilocus approach, suggested to overcome problems related to classical systematic classification (Sawarkar et al. 2021). The selected regions should present conserved flanking regions enabling the design of universal primers, and sufficient nucleotide variation to distinguish between species (Pettengil & Neel 2010). Thus, due to low rates of nucleotide substitutions of plant mitochondrial genome and extensive gene duplication in nuclear genome, cpDNA have been suggested as the most suitable for candidate barcodes for plants (Kress & Erickson 2007; Lahaye et al. 2008).

The five selected potential DNA barcode for Bambusoideae showed greatest efficiency regarding amplification success (100%) and sequencing quality, reflecting on routinely and reliable sequencing criteria. Such property contributes to one of the main goals of DNA barcoding technique, by means of stablishing a shared community of DNA sequences for taxonomic assignments purposes, based on universality (ease of amplification and sequencing), sequence quality and discriminatory power (CBOL 2009; Hollingsworth et al. 2011; Cai et al. 2012). However, the greatest challenge to construct a robust DNA barcoding assay is to capture the proper evolutionary relationship and nucleotide variation among both highly divergent and closely related species, enabling the achievement of sufficient discriminatory power (Kress et al. 2015).

Plastidial genomes harbors differential nucleotide substitution rates among distinct regions, in which some hyper variable sites suggest the successful application of cpDNA to discriminate species at lowest taxonomic levels (Shaw et al. 2014, Qin et al. 2020). Those candidate regions both coding regions (e.g., *rbcL* and *matK*), and rapidly evolving loci (e.g., *trnH-psbA*, *psbK-psbI*, *rpl32-trnL*), having their efficiency subjected to low nucleotide variation in coding regions, and difficulties related to sequence alignment of distantly related species and homoplasy in non-coding regions (Shaw et al. 2007; Pettengil & Neel 2010; Dong et al. 2012).

The five selected regions showed differential nucleotide diversity, in which the most conserved ones, *rbcL* and *matK* (90.48 and 82.64% of monomorphic sites, respectively) comprises gene coding regions of cpDNA (Kress & Erickson 2007; Hollingsworth et al. 2011). Despite being proposed as core barcode for land plants, *rbcL* + *matK* showed low discriminatory in the analyzed database, also reported for other bamboo assay (Sosa et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2013; Dev et al. 2020). However, the

proposed combination of the barcode core with more variable cpDNA loci in order to increase species discrimination has been still controversial, where no universal loci has been stated as standard DNA barcode for Bambusoideae.

Considered one of the most promising and used plastid barcode (Kress & Erickson 2007; Shaw et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2014), *trnH-psbA* recovered the lowest percentage of parsimony informative sites (7.34%) among the five analyzed locus, being equivalent to *rbcL* (7.43%) and lower than *matK* (12.16%). In most bamboo species, this intergenic spacer flaking a coding *rps19* gene at LSC/IR border, is encountering a higher conservation rate and variable barcode performance according to the plant group (Shaw et al. 2014; Dev et a. 2020; Sijimol et al. 2020). Another promising cpDNA loci is the variable *psbK-psbI* intergenic spacer, showing the greatest potential of phylogenetic signal followed by its percentage of polymorphic sites (25.06%) and PIC's (22.16%). However, Bayesian inference of the referred region didn't reflect differential topologies compared to the other four analyzed cpDNA regions.

One of the most variable loci among non-coding cpDNA in bamboos, *rpl32-trnL* recovered a remarkable distinct topology among the five analyzed loci, both individually or combined with other IGS (*psbK-psbI* and *trnH-psbA*), *i.e.*, closely relationship among woody bamboo lineages compatible with phylogenies based on nuclear DNA data (Wysocki et al. 2015; Guo et al. 2019; Carvalho et al. 2021). However, all the analyzed database recovered distinguished topologies, highlighting the importance of species concept and analytical methods adopted to evaluate DNA barcode efficiency (Collins & Cruickshank 2013).

Several analytical methods are proposed to evaluate barcode discrimination power, which are primarily based on genetic distances and phylogenetic approaches (Hajibabaei et al. 2016). Both approaches, in a certain manner, rely on the assumption that intraspecific variability exceeds interspecific diversity, and that species are independent evolutionary units (Mallo & Posada 2016). Still, in some complex evolutionary groups, such as Bambusoideae, species limit might not be clear, along with different levels of sequence divergence among lineages (Naciri & Linder 2015; Walker et al. 2019).

In fact, bamboo diversity is described to be a product of several independent hybridization events, and relationships among main lineages are not well defined (Peng et al. 2013; Triplett et al. 2014; Guo et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020). Although herbaceous bamboos display high nucleotide evolutionary rate, due to its short generation time, woody bamboos are recognized by rapid radiation, intrageneric hybridization and

convergent evolution (Tyrrell et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2020; Ye et al. 2021). Thus, low resolution of DNA barcode assays, regarding the selection of one specific and universal loci for Bambusoideae, must be the result of evolutionary features including hybridization, convergence, and occurrence of poly/paraphyletic genera (Goh et al. 2010; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2020; Sijimol et al. 2020; Triplett e Clark 2021).

Even though the advantage of using DNA barcoding for specimen identification is clearly stated, the usefulness regarding Bambusoideae subfamily is still in its early stages. However, sequencing analysis of highly diverging cpDNA regions should be continuously addressed to explore many evolutionary inquiries (Shaw et al. 2014). For evolutionary complex groups, such as bamboos, the poorly resolved phylogenies are still a challenge to be overcome, in which the attempts should consider differential evolutionary rates among distinct genome regions, especially when DNA barcoding technique is applied in a wide-broad taxonomic range (Dong et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2018). Some molecular techniques should be considered in such cases, for instance the highly polymorphic SSR markers, which can be resolutive in polyploid and diverse groups as Bambusoideae (Cai et al. 2019; Meena et al. 2020).

# CONCLUSIONS

Application of DNA barcoding technique has been tested in a widely broad sampling approach for Bambusoideae, in which 365 cpDNA sequences were newly generated. Although the selected loci showed nucleotide diversity, species discrimination power has been insufficient for the subfamily. Due to its life cycle and evolutionary story, species discrimination based on cpDNA barcoding should strongly consider intergeneric and hybridization events.

# REFERENCES

Applied Biosystems (2002) BigDye<sup>®</sup> Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit Protocol.
BPG (Bamboo Phylogeny Group) (2012). An updated tribal and subtribal classification of the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Bamboo Science and Culture: The Journal of the American Bamboo Society, 24(1): 1-10.

Cai ZM, Zhang YX, Zhang LN, Gao LM, Li DZ (2012) Testing four candidate barcoding markers in temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 50(6): 527-539.

Cai K, Zhu L, Zhang K, Li L, Zhao Z, Zeng W and Lin X (2019) Development and Characterization of EST-SSR Markers From RNA-Seq Data in *Phyllostachys violascens*. Frontiers in Plant Science, 10(50):1-9.

Carvalho MLS, de Jesus IS, Bezerra HB, Oliveira ILC, van den Berg C, Schnadelbach AS, Clark LG, Oliveira RP (2021) Phylogenetics of *Piresia* (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) reveals unexpected generic relationships within Olyreae with taxonomic and biogeographic implications. Taxon, 10(3): 492-514.

CBOL Plant Working Group (2009) A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106.31: 12794-12797.

Chase MW, Fay MF (2009) Barcoding of plants and fungi. Science, 325(5941):682-683.

Clark LG, Oliveira RP (2018) Diversity and evolution of the new world bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae, Olyreae). In: Proceedings of the 11th World Bamboo Congress, Xalapa. Mexico. Plymouth, The World Bamboo Organization, pp 35–47

Clark LG, Londoño X, Ruiz-Sanchez E (2015) Bamboo taxonomy and habitat. In: Liese W, Kohl M (eds) Bamboo, Tropical forestry, v.10., Springer, Switzerland, pp 1–30

Clark LG, Londoño X, Tyrrell CD, Judziewicz EJ (2019) Convergence strikes again in the Neotropical woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae): a new Andean genus and a new species. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1):21-33.

Collins RA, Cruickshank RH (2013) The seven deadly sins of DNA barcoding. Molecular Ecology Resources, 13(6): 969-975.

Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R and Posada D. 2012. "jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing". Nature Methods 9(8), 772.

Dev SA, Sijimol K, Prathibha PS, Sreekumar VB, Muralidharan EM (2020) DNA barcoding as a valuable molecular tool for the certification of planting materials in bamboo. 3 Biotech, 10(2): 1-12.

Dong W, Liu J, Yu J, Wang L, Zhou S (2012) Highly variable chloroplast markers for evaluating plant phylogeny at low taxonomic levels and for DNA barcoding. PLOS ONE, 7: e35071.

Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus, 12: 13 - 15.

Fazekas AJ, Burgess KS, Kesanakurti PR, Graham SW, Newmaster SG, Husband BC, Percy DM, Hajibabaei M, Barrett SC (2008) Multiple multilocus DNA barcodes from the plastid genome discriminate plant species equally well. PloS One, 3(7): e2802.

Goh WL, Chandran S, Lin RS, Xia NH, Wong KM (2010) Phylogenetic relationships among Southeast Asian climbing bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) and the Bambusa complex. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 38(4), 764-773.

GPWG II (Grass Phylogeny Working Group II) (2012) New grass phylogeny resolves deep evolutionary relationships and discovers C4 origins. New Phytologist, 193(2): 304-312.

Guo X, Thomas DC, Saunders RM (2018) Gene tree discordance and coalescent methods support ancient intergeneric hybridisation between Dasymaschalon and Friesodielsia (Annonaceae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 127: 14-29.

Hajibabaei M, Baird DJ, Fahner NA, Beiko R, Golding GB (2016) A new way to contemplate Darwin's tangled bank: how DNA barcodes are reconnecting biodiversity science and biomonitoring. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 371(1702): 20150330.

Hebert PD, Cywinska A, Ball SL (2003) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 270(1512): 313-321.

Hodkinson TR (2018) Evolution and taxonomy of the grasses (Poaceae): a model family for the study of species-rich groups. Annual Plant Reviews Online, 255-294.

Hollingsworth PM, Graham SW, Little DP (2011) Choosing and using a plant DNA barcode. PloS One, 6(5): e19254

Horn T, Häser A (2016) Bamboo tea: reduction of taxonomic complexity and application of DNA diagnostics based on rbcL and matK sequence data. PeerJ, 4: e2781. Inkscape Project (2020). Inkscape, Available at: https://inkscape.org.

Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD (2019) MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 20(4), 1160-1166.

Kress WJ, Erickson DL (2007) A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: the coding rbcL gene complements the non-coding trnH-psbA spacer region. PLoS one, 2(6): e508.

Kress WJ, García-Robledo C, Uriarte M, Erickson DL (2015) DNA barcodes for ecology, evolution, and conservation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 30(1): 25-35.

Lahaye R; van der Bank M, Bogarin D, Warner J, Pupulin F, Gigot G, Maurin O, Duthoit S, Barraclough TG, Savolainen V (2008) DNA barcoding the floras of biodiversity hotspots. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105(8): 2923-2928.

Lis JT, Schleif R (1975) Size fractionation of double-stranded DNA by precipitation with polyethylene glycol. Nucleic Acids Research, 2(3): 383-390.

Liu JX, Zhou MY, Yang GQ, Zhang YX, Ma PF, Guo C, et al. (2020) ddRAD analyses reveal a credible phylogenetic relationship of the four main genera of Bambusa-Dendrocalamus-Gigantochloa complex (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 146: 106758.

Mallo D, Posada D (2016). Multilocus inference of species trees and DNA barcoding. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 371(1702), 20150335.

Meena RK, Negi N, Uniyal N, Shamoon A, Bhandari MS, Pandey S, Negy RK, Sharma R, Ginwal HS (2020) Chloroplast-based DNA barcode analysis indicates high discriminatory potential of matK locus in Himalayan temperate bamboos. 3 Biotech, 10(12), 1-13.

Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) "Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees" in Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 Nov. 2010, New Orleans, LA pp 1 - 8.

Naciri Y, Linder HP (2015). Species delimitation and relationships: the dance of the seven veils. Taxon, 64(1), 3-16.

Oliveira IL, Matos AO, Silva C, Carvalho MLS, Tyrrell CD, Clark LG, Oliveira RP (2020a). Delving deeper into the phylogenetics of the herbaceous bamboos (Poaceae, Bambusoideae, Olyreae): evaluation of generic boundaries within the Parodiolyra/Raddiella clade uncovers a new genus. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1), 61-81.

Peng Z, Lu Y, Li L, Zhao Q, Feng Q, Gao Z, Lu H, Hu T, Yao N, Liu K, et al. (2013) The draft genome of the fast-growing non-timber forest species moso bamboo (Phyllostachys heteroclada). Nature Genetics 45: 456–461.

Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Soreng RJ (2014). A laboratory guide for generating DNA barcodes in grasses: a case study of Leptochloa s.l. (Poaceae: Chloridoideae). Webbia, 69(1), 1-12.

Pettengill JB, Neel MC (2010) An evaluation of candidate plant DNA barcodes and assignment methods in diagnosing 29 species in the genus Agalinis (Orobanchaceae). American journal of botany, 97(8), 1391-1406.

Qin M, Zhu CJ, Yang JB, Vatanparast M, Schley R, Lai Q, et al. (2020) Comparative analysis of complete plastid genome reveals powerful barcode regions for identifying wood of Dalbergia odorifera and D. tonkinensis (Leguminosae). Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 1–12.

Rambaut A, Suchard, MA, Xie D, Drummond AJ (2014) Tracer v1.6. Available at <u>http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer</u>.

Rambaut A (2019) FigTree v.1.4.4. Available at <u>http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree</u>.

Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, et al. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology, 61(3), 539-542.

Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE, Sánchez-Gracia A (2017). DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. Molecular biology and evolution, 34(12), 3299-3302.

Ruiz-Sanchez E, Sosa V, Ortiz-Rodriguez AE, Davidse G (2019) Historical biogeography of the herbaceous bamboo tribe Olyreae (Bambusoideae: Poaceae). Folia Geobotanica, 1-13.

Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Sang T, Crawford D, Stuessy T (1997) Chloroplast DNA phylogeny, reticulate evolution, and biogeography of Paeonia (Paeoniaceae). American Journal of Botany, 84(8): 1120-1120.

Sawarkar AD, Shrimankar DD, Kumar M, Kumar P, Kumar S, Singh L (2021) Traditional System Versus DNA Barcoding in Identification of Bamboo Species: A Systematic Review. Molecular Biotechnology, 1-25.

Shaw J, Lickey EB, Schilling EE, Small RL (2007) Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: the tortoise and the hare III. American Journal of Botany, 94(3): 275-288.

Shaw J, Shafer HL, Leonard OR, Kovach MJ, Schorr M, Morris AB (2014). Chloroplast DNA sequence utility for the lowest phylogenetic and phylogeographic inferences in angiosperms: the tortoise and the hare IV. American Journal of Botany, 101(11), 1987-2004.

Sijimol K, Dev SA, Muralidharan EM, Sreekumar VB (2014) DNA barcoding: An emerging tool for precise identification and certification of planting stock in taxonomically challenging bamboo species. Journal Bamboo and Rattan, 13(1-2):29-43.

Sijimol K, Dev AS, Sreekumar VB (2020) DNA barcoding supports existence of morphospecies complex in endemic bamboo genus *Ochlandra* Thwaites of the Western Ghats, India. Journal of Genetics, 99(1), 1-12.

Soderstrom TR, Young SM (1983) A guide to collecting bamboos. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden, 128-136.

Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Davidse G, Teisher JK, Clark LG, et al. (2017) A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae) II: An update and a comparison of two 2015 classifications. Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 55(4): 259-290.

Sosa V, Mejía-Saules T, Cuéllar MA, Vovides AP (2013) DNA barcoding in endangered Mesoamerican groups of plants. The Botanical Review, 79(4), 469-482.

Sungkaew S, Stapleton CMA, Salamin N, Hodkinson TR (2009) Nonmonophyly of the woody bamboos (Bambuseae; Poaceae): A multi-gene region phylogenetic analysis of Bambusoideae s.s., Journal of Plant Research, 122: 95-108.

Tate JA, Simpson BB (2003) Paraphyly of Tarasa (Malvaceae) and diverse origins of the polyploid species. Systematic Botany, 28(4): 723-737.

Triplett, J. K., & Clark, L. G. (2021). Hybridization in the Temperate Bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Arundinarieae): A Phylogenetic Study Using AFLPs and cpDNA Sequence Data. Systematic Botany, 46(1), 48-69.

Triplett JK, Clark LG, Fisher AE, Wen J (2014) Independent allopolyploidization events preceded speciation in the temperate and tropical woody bamboos. New Phytologist, 204(1): 66-73.

Tyrrell CD, Londoño X, Prieto RO, Attigala L, McDonald K, Clark LG (2018) Molecular phylogeny and cryptic morphology reveal a new genus of West Indian woody bamboo (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae) hidden by convergent character evolution. Taxon, 67(5), 916-930.

Vorontsova MS, Clark LG, Dransfield J, Govaerts R, Baker WJ (2016) World Checklist of Bamboos and Rattans. INBAR Technical Report No. 37. International Network for Bamboo and Rattan, Beijing, China. 466 pp.

Walker JF, Walker-Hale N, Vargas OM, Larson DA, Stull GW (2019) Characterizing gene tree conflict in plastome-inferred phylogenies. PeerJ, 7, e7747.

Wang W, Chen S, Zhang X (2018) Whole-genome comparison reveals divergent IR borders and mutation hotspots in chloroplast genomes of herbaceous bamboos (Bambusoideae: Olyreae). Molecules, 23(7), 1537.

Wang W, Chen S, Guo W, Li Y, Zhang X (2020) Tropical plants evolve faster than their temperate relatives: a case from the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on chloroplast genome data. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 34(1), 482-493.

Wysocki WP, Clark LG, Attigala L, Ruiz-Sanchez E, Duvall MR (2015) Evolution of the bamboos (Bambusoideae; Poaceae): a full plastome phylogenomic analysis. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 15:50.

Yang HM, Zhang YX, Yang JB, Li DZ (2013) The monophyly of Chimonocalamus and conflicting gene trees in Arundinarieae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) inferred from four plastid and two nuclear markers. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 68(2), 340-356.

Ye XY, Ma PF, Guo C, Li DZ (2021) Phylogenomics of Fargesia and Yushania reveals a history of reticulate evolution. Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 1-15.

Zhang YX, Xu YX. Ma PF. Zhang LN, Li DZ (2013) Selection of potential plastid DNA barcodes for Bambusoideae (Poaceae). Plant Diversity and Resources, 35(6): 743-750.

Zhou MY, Zhang YX, Haevermans T, Li DZ (2017) Towards a complete generic-level plastid phylogeny of the paleotropical woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). Taxon, 66(3): 539-553.

Zhou Y, Li WW, Zhang YQ, Xing XC, Zhang JQ, Ren Y (2020) Extensive reticulate evolution within Fargesia (sl) (Bambusoideae: Poaceae) and its allies: Evidence from multiple nuclear markers. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 149: 106842.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

	Tribe <sup>1</sup>	Genera	Species	Collection <sup>2</sup>
1	А	Chimonobambusa	Chimonobambusa marmorea	RS
2	А	Chimonobambusa	Chimonobambusa marmorea	ThO
3	А	Chimonobambusa	Chimonobambusa marmorea	SP
4	А	Chimonobambusa	Chimonobambusa quadrangularis	RS
5	А	Drepanostachyum	Drepanostachyum falcatum	CCA
6	А	Phyllostachys	Phyllostachys aurea	ThO
7	А	Phyllostachys	Phyllostachys aurea	RS
8	А	Phyllostachys	Phyllostachys bambusoides	ThO
9	А	Phyllostachys	Phyllostachys edulis	RS
10	А	Phyllostachys	Phyllostachys makinoi	CCA
11	А	Phyllostachys	Phyllostachys nigra	CCA
12	А	Phyllostachys	Phyllostachys nigra var. henonis	SP
13	А	Pleioblastus	Pleioblastus distichus	CCA
14	А	Pleioblastus	Pleioblastus sp.	ThO
15	А	Pseudosasa	Pseudosasa amabilis	RS
16	А	Pseudosasa	Pseudosasa japonica	ThO
17	А	Pseudosasa	Pseudosasa japonica	RS
18	А	Sasa	Sasa auriculata	RS
19	А	Shibataea	Shibataea kumasaka	RS
20	B (N)	Apoclada	Apoclada simplex	BR470
21	B (N)	Chusquea	Chusquea meyeriana	Curit
22	B (N)	Chusquea	Chusquea mimosa	RS
23	B (N)	Chusquea	Chusquea ramosissima	RS
24	B (N)	Chusquea	Chusquea sp.	RS
25	B (N)	Chusquea	Chusquea tenella	Pta. Goul
26	B (N)	Chusquea	Chusquea tenella	Saq
27	B (N)	Colanthelia	Colanthelia cingulata	Lag. Leste
28	B (N)	Colanthelia	Colanthelia intermedia	Lag. Leste
29	B (N)	Guadua	Guadua amplexifolia	ThO

**Table S1**. Samples collected for the present study and their respective collection sites.

31B (N)GuaduaGuadua aniculataRes32B (N)GuaduaGuadua paraguayanaRS33B (N)GuaduaGuadua araguayanaRS34B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS35B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS36B (N)Merostachys Merostachys skvortzoviiCurit37B (P)BambusaBambusa bambosRS38B (P)BambusaBambusa bachosRS39B (P)BambusaBambusa lakoRS40B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "fernleaf"Res42B (P)BambusaBambusa nultiplex "Riverorum"RS43B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes46B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS54B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendr	30 B (N)	Guadua	Guadua angustifolia	CCA
32B (N)GuaduaGuadua paraguayanaRes33B (N)GuaduaGuadua paraguayanaRS34B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS35B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS36B (N)MerostachysMerostachys skvortzoviiCurit37B (P)BambusaBambusa bambosRS38B (P)BambusaBambusa beecheyanaRS39B (P)BambusaBambusa lakoRS40B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "fernleaf"Res43B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "Riverorum"RS44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes46B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS47B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wami" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wami" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus faifilorusCCA58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus faifilorusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRes58B (P) </td <td>31 B (N)</td> <td>Guadua</td> <td>Guadua chacoensis</td> <td>CCA</td>	31 B (N)	Guadua	Guadua chacoensis	CCA
33B (N)GuaduaGuadua Guadua paraguayanaRS34B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS35B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS36B (N)MerostachysMerostachys skvortzoviiCurit37B (P)BambusaBambusa bambosRS38B (P)BambusaBambusa babosRS39B (P)BambusaBambusa lakoRS40B (P)BambusaBambusa langinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "fernleaf"Res42B (P)BambusaBambusa nutansRS43B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes43B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes46B (P)BambusaBambusa polymorphaRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa tertilisRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes52B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes53B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus stri	32 B (N)	Guadua	Guadua paniculata	Res
34B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS35B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS36B (N)MerostachysMerostachys skvortzoviiCurit37B (P)BambusaBambusa bambosRS38B (P)BambusaBambusa beecheyanaRS39B (P)BambusaBambusa beecheyanaRS40B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "femleaf"Res42B (P)BambusaBambusa nutinsRS43B (P)BambusaBambusa nutinsRS44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes46B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS51B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS64B (P)Dendrocalamus </td <td>33 B (N)</td> <td>Guadua</td> <td>Guadua paraguayana</td> <td>RS</td>	33 B (N)	Guadua	Guadua paraguayana	RS
35B (N)GuaduaGuadua triniiRS36B (N)MerostachysMerostachys skvortzoviiCurit37B (P)BambusaBambusa bambosRS38B (P)BambusaBambusa beecheyanaRS39B (P)BambusaBambusa beecheyanaRS40B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "fernleaf"Res42B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "iverorum"RS43B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes46B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS64B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS58B (P)Dendroc	34 B(N)	Guadua	Guadua trinii	RS
36 B (N)MerostachysMerostachys skvortzoviiCurit37 B (P)BambusaBambusa bambosRS38 B (P)BambusaBambusa bachosRS39 B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41 B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41 B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "femleaf"Res42 B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "femleaf"Res43 B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "kiverorum"RS44 B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45 B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes46 B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes47 B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes48 B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49 B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes51 B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes52 B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP53 B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP54 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA57 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRes58 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRes58 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRes59 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60 B (P)<	35 B(N)	Guadua	Guadua trinii	RS
37B (P)BambusaBambusa bambusa bambosRS38B (P)BambusaBambusa beecheyanaRS39B (P)BambusaBambusa lakoRS40B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "fernleaf"Res42B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "Riverorum"RS43B (P)BambusaBambusa nutansRS44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes46B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP51B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS<	36 B(N)	Merostachys	Merostachys skvortzovii	Curit
38B (P)BambusaBambusa lakoRS39B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "Riverorum"RS42B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "Riverorum"RS43B (P)BambusaBambusa nuttansRS44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS <td< td=""><td>37 B (P)</td><td>Bambusa</td><td>Bambusa bambos</td><td>RS</td></td<>	37 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa bambos	RS
39B (P)BambusaBambusa lakoRS40B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "fernleaf"Res42B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "Riverorum"RS43B (P)BambusaBambusa nutansRS44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa tertilisRes50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wami" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus ganteusRes56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus ganteusRes57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS	38 B(P)	Bambusa	Bambusa beecheyana	RS
40B (P)BambusaBambusa longinternodeRes41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "fernleaf"Res42B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "Riverorum"RS43B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa tertilosRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS <td>39 B (P)</td> <td>Bambusa</td> <td>Bambusa lako</td> <td>RS</td>	39 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa lako	RS
41B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "fernleaf"Res42B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "Riverorum"RS43B (P)BambusaBambusa nutansRS44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS47B (P)BambusaBambusa polymorphaRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus fatiflorusCCA57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apu	40 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa longinternode	Res
42B (P)BambusaBambusa multiplex "Riverorum"RS43B (P)BambusaBambusa nutansRS44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa polymorphaRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa textilisRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa textilisRes50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRcs51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRcs53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atoroilaceaRS65B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus <td>41 B (P)</td> <td>Bambusa</td> <td>Bambusa multiplex "fernleaf"</td> <td>Res</td>	41 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa multiplex "fernleaf"	Res
43B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa olymorphaRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS <t< td=""><td>42 B (P)</td><td>Bambusa</td><td>Bambusa multiplex "Riverorum"</td><td>RS</td></t<>	42 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa multiplex "Riverorum"	RS
44B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRes45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa tuldoidesTij50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS <td>43 B (P)</td> <td>Bambusa</td> <td>Bambusa nutans</td> <td>RS</td>	43 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa nutans	RS
45B (P)BambusaBambusa oldhamiiRS46B (P)BambusaBambusa polymorphaRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa textilisRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa tuldoidesTij50B (P)BambusaBambusa tuldoidesTij51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS65B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantoch	44 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa oldhamii	Res
46B (P)BambusaBambusa polymorphaRes47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa textilisRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa tuldoidesTij50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrochoaGigantochloa albociliataRS61B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS64B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS66B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS66B (	45 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa oldhamii	RS
47B (P)BambusaBambusa teresRes48B (P)BambusaBambusa textilisRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa tuldoidesTij50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP52B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wam" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus barbatusThO57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa angenciliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa angenciliataRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa angenciliataRS66B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa angenciliataRS65B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P) <t< td=""><td>46 B (P)</td><td>Bambusa</td><td>Bambusa polymorpha</td><td>Res</td></t<>	46 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa polymorpha	Res
48B (P)BambusaBambusa textilisRes49B (P)BambusaBambusa tuldoidesTij50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaSP52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wami" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus fulforusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRes60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa alusciliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa alusciliataRS65B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO64B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO65B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 <t< td=""><td>47 B (P)</td><td>Bambusa</td><td>Bambusa teres</td><td>Res</td></t<>	47 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa teres	Res
49B (P)BambusaBambusa tuldoidesTij50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wam" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRes60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS66B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)ChiyaOhyra humilisThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes <td>48 B (P)</td> <td>Bambusa</td> <td>Bambusa textilis</td> <td>Res</td>	48 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa textilis	Res
50B (P)BambusaBambusa ventricosaRes51B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (3)RS53B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRes60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa anigrociliataRS66B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes66B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum	49 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa tuldoides	Tij
51B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wam" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus barbatusThO57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus latiflorusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRes60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71OOlyraOlyra humilisThO72OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73	50 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa ventricosa	Res
52B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)RS53B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wam" (3)RS54B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa aigrociliataRS66B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71OOlyraOlyra humilisThO72OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	51 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa ventricosa	SP
53 B (P)BambusaBambusa vulgaris "Wam" (3)RS54 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus barbatusThO57 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes59 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa alpociliataRS66 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	52 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa vulgaris "Wamin" (2)	RS
54 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperTij55 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus barbatusThO57 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus latiflorusCCA59 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa arroviolaceaRS66 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra hamilisThO72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	53 B (P)	Bambusa	Bambusa vulgaris "Wam" (3)	RS
55 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus asperSP56 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus barbatusThO57 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus latiflorusCCA59 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus yunnanensisThO63 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	54 B (P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus asper	Tij
56 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus barbatusThO57 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus latiflorusCCA59 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	55 B(P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus asper	SP
57B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus giganteusRes58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus latiflorusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus yunnanensisThO63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71OOlyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul72OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	56 B (P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus barbatus	ThO
58B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus latiflorusCCA59B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS63B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus yunnanensisThO63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa aroviolaceaRS66B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71OOlyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul72OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	57 B (P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus giganteus	Res
59 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus membranaceusRes60 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62 B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus yunnanensisThO63 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	58 B(P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus latiflorus	CCA
60B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusCCA61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus yunnanensisThO63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71OOlyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	59 B (P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus membranaceus	Res
61B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus strictusRS62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus yunnanensisThO63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71OOlyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	60 B (P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus strictus	CCA
62B (P)DendrocalamusDendrocalamus yunnanensisThO63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71OOlyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	61 B (P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus strictus	RS
63B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloaGigantochloa albociliataRS64B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	62 B (P)	Dendrocalamus	Dendrocalamus yunnanensis	ThO
64 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa apusRS65 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	63 B (P)	Gigantochloa	Gigantochloa albociliata	RS
65 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa atroviolaceaRS66 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraRes	64 B (P)	Gigantochloa	Gigantochloa apus	RS
66 B (P)GigantochloaGigantochloa nigrociliataRS67 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	65 B (P)	Gigantochloa	Gigantochloa atroviolacea	RS
67 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum brachycladumThO68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	66 B (P)	Gigantochloa	Gigantochloa nigrociliata	RS
68 B (P)SchizostachyumSchizostachyum limaThO69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	67 B (P)	Schizostachyum	Schizostachyum brachycladum	ThO
69 B (P)ThyrsostachysThyrsostachys siamensisRes70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	68 B (P)	Schizostachyum	Schizostachyum lima	ThO
70 OOlyraOlyra humilisThO71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	69 B (P)	Thyrsostachys	Thyrsostachys siamensis	Res
71 OOlyraOlyra latifoliaSaq72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	70 O	Olyra	Olyra humilis	ThO
72 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaPta. Goul73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	71 O	Olyra	Olyra latifolia	Saq
73 OParodiolyraParodiolyra micranthaRes	72 O	Parodiolyra	Parodiolyra micrantha	Pta. Goul
	73 O	Parodiolyra	Parodiolyra micrantha	Res

<sup>1</sup> Tribes Arundinarieae (A), neotropical [B (N)] and paleotropical Bambuseae [B (P)], and Olyreae (O). <sup>2</sup> Collection places; Private properties: BambooPlatz Garten, Eldorado do Sul, RS (RS); Bambuset of Thiago S. Ornellas, Florianópolis, SC (ThO); Instituto Jatobás, Pardinho, SP (SP), and Sítio Tabaetê, Tijucas, SC (Tij); Matriz plants in 'Centro de Ciências Agrárias/UFSC (CCA)' and 'Fazenda Experimental da Ressacada (Res)', Florianópilis, SC; Native populations in particular properties, Brunópolis, SC (BR470) and 'Ponta do Goulart', Florianópolis, SC (Pta. Goul); UFSC, campus Curitibanos (Curit), and hiking ways of 'Saquinho' (Saq) and 'Lagoinha do Leste' (Lag. Leste), Florianópolis, SC.

	Tribe	Spacing	
	1	Species	GenBank accesion
1	B (P)	Bambusa bambos	NC_026957.1
2	B (P)	Bambusa multiplex	NC_024668.1
3	B (P)	Bambusa teres	MK679773.1
4	B (P)	Bambusa ventricosa	NC_042671.1
5	B (P)	Bambusa vulgaris Wam	MK679806.1
6	0	Buergersiochloa bambusoides	NC_026968.1
7	А	Chimonobambusa hejiangensis	MT884004.1
8	А	Chimonobambusa tumidissinoda	NC_036814.1
9	B (N)	Chusquea circinata	NC_027490.1
10	B (N)	Chusquea culeou	NC_042672.1
11	B (N)	Chusquea liebmannii	NC_026969.1
12	B (N)	Chusquea spectabilis	NC_026959.1
13	0	Cryptochloa strictiflora	JX235348.1
14	B (P)	Dendrocalamus bambusoides	NC_050762.1
15	B (P)	Dendrocalamus barbatus	NC_050747.1
16	B (P)	Dendrocalamus giganteus	NC_050752.1
17	B (P)	Dendrocalamus latiflorus	NC_013088.1
18	B (P)	Dendrocalamus membranaceus	NC_050766.1
19	B (P)	Dendrocalamus strictus	NC_050776.1
20	0	Diandrolyra sp.	NC_026960.1
21	А	Drepanostachyum falcatum	NC_037167.1
22	0	Eremitis sp.	KJ870992.1
23	B (P)	Gigantochloa albociliata	MK679788.1
24	B (P)	Gigantochloa atroviolacea	MK679803.1
25	B (P)	Gigantochloa nigrociliata	MK679804.1
26	B (P)	Gigantochloa parviflora	MK679771.1
27	B (P)	Gigantochloa verticillata	MN688203.1
28	B (N)	Guadua angustifolia	NC_029749.1
29	B (N)	Guadua chacoensis	NC_029232.1
30	B (N)	Guadua weberbaueri	NC_026991.1
31	Ο	Lithachne pauciflora	NC_026970.1
32	B (N)	Merostachys sp.	KT373815.1
33	B (N)	Olmeca reflexa	NC_026965.1

**Table S2.** Sequences retrieved from GenBank (NCBI) based on complete chloroplast genomes and used for database enrichment.

34	0	Olyra latifolia	NC_024165.1
35	B (N)	Otatea acuminata	NC_026971.1
36	B (N)	Otatea glauca	NC_028631.1
37	0	Pariana campestris	NC_027491.1
38	0	Pariana radiciflora	NC_026972.1
39	А	Phyllostachys aurea	KU569973.1
40	А	Phyllostachys edulis	NC_015817.1
41	А	Phyllostachys nigra var. henonis	NC_015826.1
42	А	Pleioblastus amarus	NC_043892.1
43	А	Pleioblastus maculatus	NC_024723.1
44	А	Pseudosasa cantorii	NC_036824.1
45	А	Pseudosasa japonica	NC_028328.1
46	0	Raddia brasiliensis	NC_026966.1
47	0	Rehia nervata	NC_039984.1
48	B (N)	Rhipidocladum pittieri	NC_036700.1
49	А	Shibataea chiangshanensis	NC_036826.1
50	А	Shibataea kumasaka	KU523578.1

<sup>1</sup> Tribe: Arundinarieae (**A**), neotropical [**B** (**N**)] and paleotropical [**B** (**P**)] Bambuseae, and Olyreae (**O**).

**Table S3.** GenBank accessions retrieved individually, corresponding to each barcode

 locus evaluated, and used for database enrichment.

Tribe <sup>1</sup>	Species	rbcL	matK	psbK-psbI	rpL32-trnL	trnH-psbA
B (P)	Bambusa beecheyana	KC123348.1	EU434244.1	N/A	KT227898.1	KT227658.1
B (P)	Bambusa lako	MK982101.1	MK612105.1	N/A	N/A	MN052688.1
B (P)	Bambusa nutans	KU647275.1	MT271295.1	MT271349.1	N/A	MH240918.1
B (P)	Bambusa textilis	MK982106.1	KT226141.1	N/A	KT227920.1	KT227680.1
B (P)	Bambusa tuldoides	MK982108.1	MN043621.1	N/A	GU062955.1	GU063083.1
	Chimonobambusa					
А	quadrangularis	HQ619770.1	HQ619826.1	N/A	N/A	N/A
А	Drepanostachyum falcatum	AJ746265.1	EF125170.1	MT271334.1	N/A	N/A
B (P)	Gigantochloa apus	MK982115.1	KT226189.1	N/A	KT227968.1	KT227728.1
B (N)	Guadua amplexifolia	HQ847291.1	HQ847224.1	HQ847350.1	N/A	EF589636.1
B (N)	Guadua paniculata	HQ847278.1	HQ847211.1	HQ847344.1	N/A	EF589639.1
Ο	Olyra latifolia	AY622897.1	AF164386.1	N/A	MK175319	N/A
0	Parodiolyra micrantha	MF786299.1	N/A	N/A	MK175329.1	MF786103.1
А	Phyllostachys bambusoides	AB088833.1	MN043636.1	N/A	KF796888.1	MN052709.1
А	Phyllostachys makinoi	MK982129.1	MN043642.1	N/A	N/A	MN052715.1
А	Phyllostachys nigra	AJ746272.1	EU434241.1	JN634992.1	GU355613.1	KM538879.1
А	Pleioblastus chino	MK982138.1	MN043651.1	N/A	KF796817.1	MN052724.1
А	Pleioblastus distichus	MK982139.1	MN043652.1	N/A	N/A	MN052725.1
А	Pleioblastus fortunei	MK982140.1	MN043653.1	N/A	N/A	MN052726.1
А	Pseudosasa amabilis	AJ746273.1	JN247151.1	N/A	FJ643778.1	JN247341.1

B (P)	Schizostachyum funghomii	EF125084.1	KT226230.1	N/A	KT228011.1	KT227769.1
B (P)	Thyrsostachys siamensis	EU434261.1	KT226237.1	N/A	KT228018.1	KT227776.1

<sup>1</sup> Tribe: Arundinarieae (A), neotropical [B (N)] and paleotropical [B (P)] Bambuseae, and Olyreae (O). N/A: unavailable sequences.

# 5. Capítulo II

Manuscrito formatado de acordo com a normativa do periódico Plant Molecular Biology.

New plastid genomes of three Brazilian native bamboo species – *Parodiolyra micrantha* (Kunth) Davidse & Zuloaga, *Guadua trinii* Nees ex Rupr. and *Apoclada simplex* McClure & L.B. Sm. – and comparative analysis of structure and genetic diversity of Neotropical bamboos (Bambusoideae, Poaceae)

#### ABSTRACT

The bamboos, representatives of Bambusoideae subfamily (Poaceae), comprise 1680 species of wide global distribution, being Brazil the country with highest diversity and endemism of neotropical species (woody Bambuseae and herbaceous Olyreae tribes). These species occur preferentially in humid forest habitats, such as the threatened Atlantic Forest and Amazonia. Bamboo diversity is a consequence of independent polyploidization and hybridization events, in which plastidial genome sequences become important resources for evolutionary and phylogeographic studies. In the present study we sequenced and assembled the plastomes of three neotropical bamboo species (Parodiolyra micrantha, Apoclada simplex and Guadua trinii) aiming to perform a comparative analysis among their respective groups and to encounter evolutionary patterns and sequence diversity hotspots. The three newly sequenced plastomes represent the smaller ones among Bambusoideae records (127,443 bp for P. micrantha; 134,624 bp for G. trinii; 134,771 bp and for A. simplex). The observed conserved gene order and structures suggests that genome size variation is due to insertion/deletion of sequences at intergenic spacers, gradative pseudogenization or copy loss of pseudogenes, leading to the slight differences in gene content. Comparative analysis within herbaceous bamboo representatives, including *P. micrantha*, reinforces the more frequent occurrence of expansion/contraction of IR borders in relation to neotropical woody representatives, including G. trinii and A. simplex. Sequence nucleotide polymorphism was accessed by Sliding Window analysis, comparing within Olyreae and Bambuseae representatives. Among the most variable genomic regions, both comparative analysis shares greatest nucleotide diversity at intergenic regions of ndhF-rpl32-trnL, rbcL-psaI and trnG(UCCtrnT(GGU). We presented the first plastome sequences of Apoclada and Parodiolyra genera, along with the first chloroplast sequences Guadua trinii, providing informative tools for phylogenetic analysis and evolutionary statements for the poorly studied neotropical lineage of Bambusoideae.

Keywords: Bambuseae, evolution, next-generation sequencing, Olyreae, plastome.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Plant genomes comprehend an integrated and coevolving genetic system, compartmentalized in three subcellular components – the nucleus, mitochondrion, and plastid (Choi et al. 2019). Origins of plastid genome genomes, just like mitochondrial, refers to the Theory of Endosymbiosis, whose presence is a distinctive feature of plant cells (Timmis et al. 2004; Ruhlman & Jansen 2014; Rogalski et al. 2015). Among the different types of plastids, chloroplast are active metabolic centers mainly evolved in photosynthetic processes, representing one of the most important cellular structures for sustaining life on Earth (Green et al. 2011; Daniell et al. 2016; Junge 2019).

Specially among land plants lineages, functional plastid genomes (hereby referred as plastomes or cpDNA), presents conserved gene arrangement and structure, encompassing about 120 genes in 120-170 kB, and with uniparental inheritance, mostly of maternal origin with nonrecombinant nature (Greiner et al. 2014; Jansen & Ruhlman 2012; Daniell et al. 2016). Plastomes are, generally, characterized as a single circular and quadripartite molecule, surrounding two single gene copy regions (small; SSC; and large, LSC) flanked by two inverted repeated regions (IRA and IRB) (Bock 2007; Keeling 2010). Along with its intrinsic nature and low level of substitution rate compared to nuclear genome, cpDNA sequences has been widely applied to evolutionary plant molecular studies, regarding species discrimination and phylogenetic inferences (Daniell et al. 2016; Fuentes-Pardo & Ruzzante 2017; Wang et al. 2018).

Bambusoideae subfamily (Poaceae) are perennial grasses of wordwide distribution, in which species diversity (127 genera/1680+ species) is based on evolutionary mecanisms as polyploidy and hybridization (Triplett et al. 2014; Clark & Oliveira et al. 2018; Guo et al. 2019). Currently, three monophyletic lineages are recognized as bamboo tribes, represented by herbaceous bamboos (Olyreae tribe), and temperate (Arundinarieae tribe) and tropical (Bambuseae tribe – paleotropical and neotropical lineages) woody bamboos (Sungkaew et al. 2009; Kelchner & BPG 2013). Brazil is one of the main centers of diversity and endemism of Neotropical bamboo species (Bambuseae and Olyreae), with preferential occurrence at endangered tropical forests, as Atlantic Forests, and high-elevation grasslands (Fisher et al. 2014; Filgueiras & Viana 2017).

Comparative analysis of Bambusoideae plastomes reveals similar evolutionary patterns as those proposed to Poaceae in general, including mechanisms of expansion and contraction of IRs, unique characteristics at IR/SSC borders, and gene loss or gradative pseudogenization, and variations in intron sequences in specific regions (Zhang et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2015; Attigala et al. 2016; Burke et al. 2016; Saarela et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2019). However, plastomes of Neotropical distribution species (Bambuseae and Olyreae tribes) presents unique characteristics compared to other lineages, which would have been results of geographic evolution isolation, such as smaller genome size, specific IR/SSC boundaries and differential evolutionary rates of cpDNA (Burke et al. 2012, 2014; Vieira et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015; Wysocki et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018, 2020a). Furthermore, rare horizontal transfer events from the mitochondrial genome to the plastid genome were observed in herbaceous bamboo species (Wysocki et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018).

Bamboo evolution harbors complex evolutionary events, such as polyploidization and hybridization, which difficult the use of single copy nuclear regions in low level phylogenies and or in recent-radiated groups (Triplett et al. 2014; Clark et al. 2015). Thus, plastid genomes are widely employed for systematic and evolutionary inferences in large groups, and with low level of molecular divergence as Bambusoideae, as well as for inferences of rare evolutionary events such as genome structural rearrangements, intron/gene loss, gene horizontal transfer and phylogeography studies (Zeng et al. 2010; Wicke et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2015; Attigala et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2017; Stefenon et al. 2019; Loeuille et al 2021).

Therefore, considering the abundance of Neotropical bamboos in Brazil, and the constant threat to their preferential occurrence domains, comparative analysis of plastid genomes of native species becomes an important tool for understanding patterns of richness, endemism, distribution, and phylogenetic relationships of Neotropical bamboos, contributing significantly to the conservation of this group (Filgueiras & Viana 2017; Zhou et al 2019; Wang et al. 2020; Ruiz-Sanchez et al. 2021). Aiming to compare evolutionary patterns of cpDNA, as to generate subsides to conservation programs of Neotropical bamboos species, we newly sequenced and analyzed three Brazilian native bamboos, encompassing both Neotropical lineages – Bambuseae and Olyreae tribes, with certain endangered and endemism degree, and selected based on innovation factor: *Parodiolyra micrantha* (Kunth) Davidse & Zuloaga, *Guadua trinii* (Nees) Nees ex Rupr., and *Apoclada simplex* McClure & L.B. Sm.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### Plant material and DNA isolation

Samples of three species were collected in private properties, in each of them with owner or administration permissions. *Parodiolyra micrantha* were collected at natural population of Fazenda da Ressacada, of Ferederal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC); *Guadua trinii* at matrices plants located at Centro de Ciências Agrárias of UFSC; and *Apoclada simplex* located in private property at Brunópolis/SC. The voucher specimens were deposited in Herbarium FLOR of UFSC.

Fresh leaves of each species (50 g) were collected from one single plant, except for *P. micrantha*, whose plant morphology and structure doesn't allow such initial material, and then samples were collected from five closest individuals of the same population (Figure 1). Samples were maintained at 4°C for at least 10 days, aiming to reduce starch levels at the leaves (Vieira et al. 2014).

![](_page_92_Picture_4.jpeg)

**Figure 1.** Morphology and habit of selected Neotropical bamboo species for plastid genome analysis. (A) *Apoclada simplex*; (B) *Guadua trinii*; (C) *Parodiolyra micrantha*.

Plastid enrichments were performed for three species, using "High salt plus saline Percoll gradient method" (Vieira et al. 2014) for *G. trinii*, and "Modified high salt method" (Shi et al. 2012) for *P. micrantha* and *A. simplex*. Isolation of cpDNA were performed by CTAB 2% method (Doyle & Doyle, 1990), with modifications (using 1mM of 1,4-dithiothreitol), followed by organic extraction phase with chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1, v/v). Isolated cpDNA were evaluated by its purity and concentration using Nanodrop<sup>®</sup> ND-1000 UV-Vis (Thermo Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) spectrophotometry, and Qubit<sup>®</sup> fluorometry (Thermo Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and stored at -20°C for further cpDNA sequencing.

# Neotropical bamboo plastomes sequencing

Plastomes of Guadua trinii and Parodiolyra micrantha were sequenced using Illumina sequencing platform (short-read sequencing), while Apoclada simplex plastome was sequenced by Oxford Nanopore MinION<sup>TM</sup> (long-read sequencing) technology.

Samples of P. micrantha and G. trinii were purified with DNA Clean and Concentrator kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA). A total of 1 ng of DNA was used for library preparation using Nextera XT DNA Sample Prep kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, EUA), according to manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was performed on Illumina MiSeq Sequencer (Illumina Inc., San Diego, California, USA) using MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (600 cycles), obtaining paired-end reads (2 x 250 bp).

For *A. simplex* cpDNA sequencing, the library was prepared using a rapid sequencing kit of genome DNA (SQK-LSK109 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies), applied at MinION<sup>TM</sup> device with the flowcell R9.4.1, according to manufacturer's instructions. The raw long-reads were acquired through Minion 21.06.0 software in a 24 hours run experiment, followed by basecalling.

For the three cpDNA sequences, the paired reads were imported as FASTQ format in CLC Genomics Workbench v.8.0.1 (CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark), and trimmed with a 0.05 quality threshold (corresponding to Phred score of 15) and removal of one base in both terminal regions. General features of read size and quality are summarized in table S1.

#### Plastomes assembly and annotation

The trimmed reads were applied on a reference-guided assembly, using *Diandrolyra* sp. (NC026960.1) as reference for *P. micrantha*, and *Guadua chacoensis* (NC029232.1) as reference for both *G. trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*, using CLC Genomics software (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The same software was used to estimate reference-guided assembly coverage statistics and circularization (total consensus length, %GC, fraction of reference covered, average cpDNA coverage).

Preliminary annotation was performed in GeSeq platform (Tillich et al. 2017; https://chlorobox.mpimp-golm.mpg.de/geseq.html), and manually checked using ExPASy (Gasteiger et al. 2003) for protein-coding regions and tRNAscan-SE v2.0.7 (Lowe & Chan 2016) for tRNA. Afterwards, the graphic representation of circular maps of each sequenced plastomes were reconstructed using OrganellarGenomeDRAW (OGDRAW) tool (Greiner et al. 2019) implemented in the GeSeq platform.

# Comparative analysis of plastomes structures

The three new plastomes sequenced were compared with representatives of their respective subtribes (table S2) regarding total sequence length and of each subunit (LSC/SSC and IRs), and gene order and content. Multiple genome alignment, using Mauve v.2.4.0 software (Darling et al. 2004) were employed to identify locally collinear blocks (LCBs), allowing to evaluate large structural rearrangements and sequence divergence. Furthermore, the junctions of Inverted Repeated regions (IRs) were compared using IRscope online tool (Amiryousefi et al. 2018).

Whole-plastome alignment for each dataset (table S2) was conducted by MAFFT online service (Katoh et al. 2019), with default parameters. Sliding Window analysis, using DnaSP v.6 software (Rozas et al. 2017), was performed to access sequence polymorphisms (window size = 500 bp; step size = 500 bp) comparing among representatives of Olyrinae subtribe (OLY) and Guaduinae subtribe (GUA). For each dataset, average nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) was calculated, and 5-fold number used as  $\pi$  threshold for sequence comparison, corresponding to  $\pi$  = 0.05 to OLY, and  $\pi$  = 0.01 to GUA.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the present work, plastomes sequences of three Neotropical bamboo species were newly generated – *Parodiolyra micrantha*, *Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*. *Parodiolyra micrantha* is representative of the Olyreae tribe (herbaceous bamboos) with wide distribution in Neotropics (Oliveira et al. 2020). Both representing Neotropical lineage of Bambuseae tribe, *G. trinii* and *A. simplex* occur in Atlantic Forest remnants (Guerreiro 2014; Kellermann & Lacerda 2019). The three selected species are important components of forest regeneration and strongly associated with different animal community dynamics (Bodrati & Cockle 2006; Kellermann & Lacerda 2019; Ziccardi et al. 2020; Corahua-Espinoza et al. 2022)

Sequencing data employed in genome assembly resulted in a high fraction of reference genome covered, and high average base covered for all three species (Table 1).

Although the percentage of plastomes reads was inferior in *A. simplex*, the total number of mapped reads was sufficient to recover 100% of the reference genome. The superior number of total reads in *A. simplex* sequencing data should be the result of the used sequencing platform, in which Nanopore technology produces long reads and in high quantities, different from Illumina sequencing platform.

**Table 1.** Plastid genome sequencing and assembly statistics of *Parodiolyra micrantha*,*Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*. The two formers' data were obtained by Illuminasequencing platform, and A. simplex by MinION technique.

	P. micrantha	G. trinii	A. simplex
Total read number	664,587	280,854	1,340,869
n° of mapped plastid reads (%)	35,383 (5,32%)	9,869 (3,51%)	15,903 (1,19%)
Reference genome length (bp)*	137,469	135,403	135,403
Fraction of reference covered	93%	99%	100%
Average coverage (SD)	47.66 (58.67)	16.94 (9.55)	93.18 (21.98)

\* Genome of *Guadua chacoensis* (NC029232.1) was used as reference to *G. trinii* and *A. simplex*; and *Diandrolyra* sp. (NC026960.1) as reference to *P. micrantha*.

The three new plastome sequences present typical Angiosperms' structure, comprehending a quadripartite molecule with large and small single copy regions (LSC and SSC, respectively) flanked by two inverted repeated regions (IR<sub>A</sub> and IR<sub>B</sub>). Physical maps of each plastome are illustrated in figures 2-4, and general genomes structures are described in table 2.

![](_page_96_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 2.** Circular map of the plastidial genome of *Parodiolyra micrantha*. Genes of different functional groups are colored-coded. Genes represented inside the circle are transcribed counterclockwise, and those outside the circle are transcribed clockwise. The dark gray inner-circle corresponds to GC content, and light gray, to the AT content.

![](_page_97_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 3**. Circular map of plastidial genome of *Guadua trinii*. Genes of different functional groups are colored-coded. Genes represented inside the circle are transcribed counterclockwise, and those outside the circle are transcribed clockwise. The dark grey inner-circle corresponds to GC content, and the light gray, to the AT content.

![](_page_98_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 4**. Circular map of plastidial genome of *Apoclada simplex*. Genes of different functional groups are colored-coded. Genes represented inside the circle are transcribed counterclockwise, and those outside the circle are transcribed clockwise. The dark gray inner-circle corresponds to GC content, and light gray, to the AT content.

The three sequenced plastomes recovers the smallest ones recorded to Bambusoideae, in which *Parodiolyra micrantha* showed 127,443 bp length (Figure 2), followed by *Guadua trinii* (134,624 bp; Figure 3) and *Apoclada simplex* (134,771 bp; Figure 4). The size of SSC did not vary among the three species, representing around 9% of total genomes (Table 2). However, LSC was substantially larger in *A. simplex* (66% of the genome), and IRs smaller (24.28% of the genome) compared to *P. micrantha* (58% and 33%, respectively) and *G. trinii* (61% and 28.86%, respectively).

Although Bambusoideae plastidial genomes are considered one of the most conserved among Poaceae family, due to its rare flowering events of woody tribes, they harbor a recognized heterogeneity of nucleotide evolutionary rates between and among tribes (Burke et al. 2012; Ma et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2020). Neotropical lineages are associated with a major structural variation of plastomes, with notable size reduction compared to Paleotropical Bambuseae tribe and Arundinarieae tribe (Ma et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015).

The overall GC content was similar in the three newly sequenced plastomes, ranging from 38.75 - 39.90%. Coding regions represent 44 to 45% of plastid genomes, with GC content varying among 38 to 39%. As expected, cpDNA base composition seems to be asymmetrical in genomic regions, showing greatest relevance in repeated regions (44 – 45% of GC content) compared to single copy regions, mainly due to exclusive allocation of four rRNA genes (table 2) (Zhou et al. 2019; Vu et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2021). Furthermore, predominant characteristics of grass plastomes are observed in the three species, as gene loss or gradative pseudogenization (*accD*, *ycf1* and *ycf2*), and intron loss at *rpoC1* and *clpP* genes (Guisinger et al. 2010; Attigala et al. 2016; Burke et al. 2016; Duvall et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2021).

	P. micrantha	G. trinii	A. simplex
Plastome length (pb)	127,443	134,624	134,771
GC content (%)	39.90	38.84	38.75
LSC length (bp) / GC (%)	73,945 / 37.99	82,846 / 36.96	89,129 / 37.17
SSC length (bp) / GC (%)	11,428 / 34.71	12,902 / 33.28	12,902 / 33.09
IR length (bp) / GC (%)	21,035 / 44.66	19,438 / 44.69	16,370 / 45.29
N. of genes (unique)	129 (110)	132 (112)	132 (111)
N. of pseudogenes (unique)	3 (2)	4 (3)	4 (3)
N. of CDS (unique)	82 (77)	85 (78)	83 (77)
Coding region length, pb (GC%)	58,229 (45.69%)	60,436 (44.89%)	59,392 (44.06%)

**Table 2.** Plastome structure and region sizes of *Parodiolyra micrantha*, *Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*.

\* CDS (protein-coding sequences), excluding tRNA and rRNA genic regions.

The newly sequenced bamboo plastomes share the greatest part of gene content, including genes involved in photosynthesis apparatus (45), genomic autoregulation (59), other (4) or unknown function (2), and pseudogenes (2). Among the 22 introns, 20 of them occur individually in 10 single copy genes, and 5 occur in duplicated genes (table 3). The three species differ in gene content by the absent copy of *rps15* gene and *ndhH* pseudogene in *P. micrantha*, and by the absence of two copies of *ycf68* gene in both *A. simplex* and *P. micrantha* (table 4).

Gene category	Group of genes	Name of genes						
Self-replication	Ribosomal RNA	rrn4.5ª	rrn5ª	rrn16ª	rrn23ª			
	Transfer RNA	trnA-UGC <sup>a,b</sup>	trnC-GCA	trnD-GUC	trnE-UUC			
		trnF-GAA	trnfM-CAU <sup>a</sup>	trnG-GCC	trnG-UCC <sup>b</sup>			
		trnH-GUG <sup>a</sup>	trnI-CAU <sup>a</sup>	trnI-GAU <sup>a,b</sup>	trnK-UUU <sup>b</sup>			
		trnL-CAA <sup>a</sup>	trnL-UAA <sup>b</sup>	trnL-UAG	trnM-CAU			
		trnN-GUU <sup>a</sup>	trnP-UGG	trnQ-UUG	trnR-ACG <sup>a</sup>			
		trnR-UCU <sup>a</sup>	trnS-GCU	trnS-GGA	trnS-UGA			
		trnT-GGU	trnT-UGU	trnV-GAC	trnV-UAC <sup>b</sup>			
		trnW-CCA	trnY-GUA					
	Small subunit of ribosome	rps2	rps3	rps4	rps7ª			
		rps8	rps11	rps12 <sup>a,b</sup>	rps14			
		rps15 <sup>a,*</sup>	rps16 <sup>b</sup>	rps18	rps19 <sup>a</sup>			
	Large subunit of ribosome	$rpl2^{a,b}$	rpl14	rpl16 <sup>⊾</sup>	rpl20			
		rpl22	rpl23ª	rpl32	rpl33			
		rpl36						
	RNA polymerase subunits	rpoA	rpoB	rpoC1	rpoC2			
	Translational initiation factor	infA						
Photosynthesis	Subunits of NADH	$ndhA^{b}$	$ndhB^{\mathrm{a,b}}$	ndhC	ndhD			
	dehydrogenase							
		ndhE	ndhF	ndhG	ndhH			
		ndhI	ndhJ	ndhK				
	Photosystem I	psaA	psaB	psaC	psaI			
		psaJ						
	Photosystem II	psbA	psbB	psbC	psbD			
		psbE	psbF	psbH	psbI			
		psbJ	psbK	psbL	psbN			
		psbM	psbT	psbZ				
	Cytochrome b/f complex	petA	$petB^{b}$	$petD^{b}$	petG			
		petL	petN					
	ATP synthase	atpA	atpB	atpE	$atpF^{b}$			
		atpH	atpI					
	Large subunit of Rubisco	rbcL						
Other genes	Maturase	matK						
	Envelope membrane protein	cemA						
	C-type cytochrome synthesis	ccsA						
	gene							
	Protease	clpP1						
Unknown		ycf3 <sup>b</sup>	ycf4	<i>ycf68</i> <sup>a,**</sup>				
function								
Pseudogenes		ycfla	rpl2	ndhH*				

**Table 3.** Gene list identified in the sequenced plastomes of *Parodiolyra micrantha*,*Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*.

<sup>a</sup> Duplicated gene; <sup>b</sup> Genes containing introns; <sup>\*</sup>Absent copy in *P. micrantha*; <sup>\*\*</sup> Absent copies in both *P. micrantha* and *A. simplex*.

In order to evaluate the occurrence of major genomic rearrangements, multiple genome alignment was performed to compare local collinear blocks within the analyzed bamboo groups. Comprehending Neotropical lineage of Bambuseae tribe, *G. trinii* and *A. simplex* were compared with 5 representatives of the same group, and *P. micrantha* was compared to other 6 representatives of Olyreae tribe (table S2). *Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex* showed conserved gene order and content when compared to other close-relatives, highlighted by the presence of one local collinear block (LCB) (Figure 5).

The conserved gene order and absence of structural rearrangements after sequence alignments comparisons (Figure 5; Figure 6) suggest that genome size variation is due to insertion/deletion in intergenic regions or shifts in IR/single copy boundaries (Wu et al. 2015; Zhu et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2018; Choi et al. 2019). Mechanisms of expansion/contraction of IRs are frequently reported in Angiosperms, which can occur independently and in different taxonomic levels (Davis & Soreng 2010; Mower & Vickrey 2018; He et al. 2019; Li et al. 2021).

10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	100000	110000	120000	130000
		00 0r0	- n	1 v.u. <sup>1</sup> 01 <sup>01</sup> cc. ofc		<b>y</b> m, cop		יי והיטיי			+.	אמרים ובים
Apociada simplex 10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	100000	110000	120000	130000
International Internation		and a state of						, y	1 1			10111
ն 💼 🗤 🖽 Մ	$\dots$	000 IN		ייש <mark>ויי שויי</mark> האינ	u <sup>1000</sup> u	<del>⊈⊈</del> 2		нала "			1	-700701.070
Guadua trinii	20000	20000	44444		co400	70000	*****	00000	100000	110000	100000	120000
10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	10000	110000	120000	130000
<b>с</b> е и п <b>и се п</b> е	$\mathbb{H}^{1} \mathbb{H}$	חו כסכביים				¶¤,b≡₽		11104000 I				
Guadua chacoensis		20100		50Å00							100000	125,000
10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000		110000	120000	TAUR LISODO
о <b>т</b> ы (1, 00, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	••• <sub>11</sub> ==	00 000			, o noo <sub>n</sub>	ւտենեն	040 CIMINAUINA	ньюм				norai indi
Guadua angustifolia 10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	0000	70000	80000	90000	100'000	110000	120000	130000
ਾ≡ਾਅਪਿਾ⊂ਪਾ∎	••• <sub>1</sub> ==	(ra			, — 1000 <b>m</b>	¶nabe≇n	oreanns-option	HBBN "			1	שימו וביבסא-
Guadua weberbaueri	20000	20000	40000	50000	50000	70000	80000	00000	100'000	110000	120000	120000
TOOD	20000	30000	40000	50000	80000	10000	0000	90000	100000	110000	120000	10000 CONTRACTOR
□ — ы Ц — ₽ п	••• <sub>11</sub> ==	IIII 100 189	- 		, — 1000 m	uu boe	6°°0		<b></b>			- noroi ana
Olmeca reflexa												
10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	100000	110000	120000	130000
			_									
ਰ≡ਾਅਮਿਯੋਧਿ				בים בס <sup>ום</sup> ומי ואאו							ם יוו <b>בריי</b> ם	<b></b> 100
Otatea acuminata												

**Figure 5.** Multiple plastome alignment within species of Bambuseae tribe, subtribe Guaduinae, including the two new sequences of *Apoclada simplex* and *Guadua trinii*. The presence of only one local collinear block (LCB) indicated homologous regions without major structural rearrangement or sequence divergence among the compared plastomes.

	10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	100000	110000	120000	
Contraction of the													
	u œqu <mark>∎</mark> IIII <sub>I</sub>			⊐ш <sup>ы</sup> ∎			rona ann ann	L-18,080			n n hu <b>na na</b> i	100701	առո
Parodioly	ra micrantha				1								
the second second	10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	100000	110000	120000	130000
1	144 <b>1000 1</b> 48 <b>4</b> . 1. 1					1 1 1 1 1			The particular				
□■и	┉		O IM			1 1000 <b>m 11</b> 10		m.anha_	19.964				ייש וסיסטי
Cryptoch	loa strictiflora	20000	10000	40000	60000	60000	10000	80000	00000	100000	110000	120000	120000
	TOOOO	20000	30000	40000	50000	80000	20000	80000	50000	100000	110000	120000	150000
D BA	<u>щ стр</u> и	ч <del>—</del>	OPT	П			in coror	nuhrsanna					מאם ובאכו
Diandroh	vra sp. Clark 13 10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	100'000	110000	120000	130000
A COMPANY							a a di silika da sin si		. k.k.				
п	ıll œt <mark>e</mark> m i			հոր	▫▫┢▫	000 <b>a 1</b> 111		ուպեու	eeriji 🗖	<b></b> 1			שיש וביסם
Lithachne	10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	100000	110000	120000	130000
п	պապոր տ		00 0870C	Ъпван Г		י ווופרבי שיוו		աշորհու	нарки 🗖				നാവ യർ
<u>Olvra lati</u>	folia 10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	70000	80000	90000	100'000	110000	120000	130000
10.14.14			A	all of stands									1 11-F - F
<b>п</b> пи	יז ⊏ים יי		IM			0 1000 a 11	┉┟═╇╩┅═	ar utra	40.0AU				-100701 BRQ
Raddia br	10000	20000	30000	40000	50000	60000	20000	80000	90000	100000	110000	120000	130000
		the state			Way In 1						delet i set die		
D M	n œr∎n		IM	асто на Г		0 10 CC 10 14			18421810				mora i indi
Robin nor	a cata												

**Figure 6**. Multiple plastome alignment within species of Olyreae tribe, subtribe Olyrinae, including the new sequence of *Parodiolyra micrantha*. The presence of local collinear blocks (LCB) indicated homologous regions without major structural rearrangement or sequence divergence among the compared plastomes.

The comparison between representatives of Bambuseae and Olyreae tribes was independently performed, including *A. simplex*, *G. trinii* and *P. micrantha*, respectively (Figure 7-8). Among Guaduinae comparison, the junctions of SSC/IR<sub>A</sub> (JSA) and SSC/IR<sub>B</sub> (JSB) show conserved characteristics, with similar features to those reported to Bambusoideae species and other grasses (Burke et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2015; Vieira et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018; Xiong et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2021), such as the proximity of the intact open reading frame (ORF) of *ndhF* gene to the JSB (Figure 7). The JSA intersects the coding region of *ndhH* gene, creating a short fragment in IR<sub>A</sub>, and an additional copy identical to 3' portion of its functional copy in IR<sub>B</sub> ( $\psi$  ndhH). *Guadua chacoensis* and *G. trinii* shared the same characteristics at JSA and JSB, including the shifting of rps19 gene position to the LSC, which is common in bamboos, but rarely reported to Olyreae tribe (Wang et al. 2008; Burke et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018).

Apoclada simplex recovered different JLA and JLB features, compared to other Guaduinae species (Figure 7). The *rpl2* gene is placed just within the border of LSC and  $IR_A/IR_B$ , resulting in a short fragment of the gene in the IR regions. The presence of *rpl2* gene in IR regions is reported to some ancestral Angiosperms, and can be observed in a few bamboo species, as *Froesiochloa boutelouoides* and *Cryptochloa strictiflora* (Zhu et

al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018). Such feature is probably due to IR contraction events, resulting in IR shifting boundaries and reduced length in *A. simplex*.

![](_page_103_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 7**. Comparison of the boundaries of LSC, SSC, and IR regions of the plastomes of *A. simplex* and *G. trinii*, compared to Guaduinae subtribe representatives. JLB (IRb /LSC), JSB (IRb/SSC), JSA (SSC/IRa) and JLA (IRa/LSC) denote the junctions between each correspondent region in the genome.

Unlike woody bamboos, independent evolutionary events of expansion/contraction of IR regions results in highly variable genomic organization in IR/single copy borders of herbaceous bamboos plastomes (Wang et al. 2018). *Parodiolyra micrantha* shows typical IR<sub>B</sub> features, resulting in the JSB within the limit of *ndhF* gene, which is considered a synapomorphy for the Olyreae tribe (Figure 8) (Burke et al. 2012; 2014). In addition to the absence *ycf68* gene (duplicated copies), shifting in JSA and disassociation between the second copy of *rps15* and  $\psi$ *ndhH* results in the differential gene/pseudogene numbers reported to *P. micrantha* (Wu et al. 2015; Wysocki et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018).

![](_page_104_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 8**. Comparison of the boundaries of LSC, SSC, and IR regions of the plastome of *P. micrantha* compared to Olyrinae subtribe representatives. JLB (IRb /LSC), JSB (IRb/SSC), JSA (SSC/IRa) and JLA (IRa/LSC) denote the junctions between each correspondent region in the genome.

Hotspots of polymorphism were accessed using Sliding Window Analysis, by comparing levels of nucleotide diversity within subtribes. In general, Olyrinae dataset recover high mean value of nucleotide diversity ( $\pi = 0.01889$ ) and total number of segregating sites (S = 6,973) compared to Guaduinae representatives ( $\pi = 0.00239$ ; S = 930), highlighting the most conservative nature of woody bamboo plastomes (Wang et al. 2020). Figures 9 and 10 represent nucleotide diversity peaks of each analyzed dataset.

In Olyrinae comparison, the highest peak, representing the greatest nucleotide polymorphism, occurred within trnG(UCC)-trnT(GGU) intergenic spacer ( $\pi = 0.05371$ ), followed by flaking sequences between trnS(GCU)-psbD genes ( $\pi = 0.05633$ ), atpB-rbcL-psaI region ( $\pi = 0.05714$ ), ndhF-rpl32-trnL(UAG) and rpl16 gene ( $\pi = 0.04114$ ) (Figure 9). Although IR are frequently more conserved than single copy regions (Wang et al. 2018), Olyrinae species showed a variable site at LSC/IR boundaries represented by trnL(CAA)-trnI(CAU) intergenic spacer ( $\pi = 0.02095$ ).

![](_page_105_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 9**. Nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) of whole-plastome comparison among 7 species of Olyrinae subtribe, including the newly sequenced plastome of *Parodiolyra micrantha*. Red peaks represent nucleotide diversity per 500 bp (S) Total segregating sites. Numbered peaks indicates (1) *trnG(UCC)-trnT(GGU)* intergenic spacer ( $\pi = 0.05371$ ; S = 80), (2) *trnS(GCU)*-psbD genes ( $\pi = 0.05633$ ; S = 69), (3) *atpB-rbcL-psaI* region ( $\pi = 0.05714$ ; S = 70), (4) *ndhF-rpl32-trnL(UAG)* ( $\pi = 0.04762$ ; S = 70), (5) *rpl16* ( $\pi = 0.04114$ ; S = 56) and (6) *trnL(CAA)-trnI(CAU)* ( $\pi = 0.02095$ ; S = 36).

Comparison between plastomes of Guaduinae species, including *A. simplex* and *G. trinii*, revealed greatest nucleotide diversity at ndhF-rpl32-trnL(UAG) region ( $\pi = 0.01181$ ), followed by ndhK-trnV(UAC) intergenic region ( $\pi = 0.01086$ ), psbK-psbI IGS ( $\pi = 0.0099$ ), rbcL-psaI ( $\pi = 0.00971$ ) and trnG(UCC-trnT(GGU) IGS ( $\pi = 0.00933$ ) (Figure 10). Also, rpl19-psbA recovered high nucleotide diversity at LSC ( $\pi = 0.000857$ ).

![](_page_106_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 10.** Nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) of whole-plastome comparison among 7 species of Guaduinae subtribe, including the newly sequenced plastome of *Apoclada simplex* and *Guadua trinii*. Red peaks represent nucleotide diversity per 500 bp. (S) Total segregating sites. Numbered peaks indicates (1) *ndhF-rpl32-trnL(UAG)* ( $\pi$  = 0.01181; S = 29); (2) *ndhK-trnV(UAC)* intergenic region ( $\pi$  = 0.01086; S = 16), (3) *psbK-psbI* IGS ( $\pi$  = 0.0099; S = 12), (4) *rbcL-psaI* ( $\pi$  = 0.00971; S = 10), (5) *trnG(UCC-trnT(GGU)* IGS ( $\pi$  = 0.00933; S = 10); (6) *rpl19-psbA* ( $\pi$  = 0.000857; S = 6).

Although often regarded as highly conserved, the chloroplast genomes are subjected to evolutionary events that could be identified at different taxonomic levels (Zhu et al. 2015; Li et al. 2021). Comparative analysis of the three newly sequenced genomes of Neotropical bamboos revealed conserved organization within its respective subtribes. However, some structural features observed in *P. micrantha*, *G. trinii* and *A. simplex*, especially in IR/single copy junctions, should be investigated to inquire about evolutionary tendencies in each species. Also, the identified hypervariable regions could be explored in taxonomic and phylogenetic resolution.

#### CONCLUSION

In the present work, three newly sequenced plastomes of Neotropical bamboo species were reported – *Apoclada simplex*, *Guadua trinii* and *Parodiolyra micrantha*. Gene order and genomic organization were relatively conserved among the compared representatives of each subtribe, with some unique characteristics mainly in *A. simplex* and *P. micrantha* IR/single copy boundaries. Comparative analysis highlighted

hypervariable sites, which should be informative tools for species discrimination and inferences of phylogenetic relationship within Neotropical bamboo lineages.

# REFERENCES

Amiryousefi A, Hyvönen J, Poczai P. (2018) IRscope: an online program to visualize the junction sites of chloroplast genomes. Bioinformatics. 34(17):3030–3031.

Attigala L, Wysocki WP, Duvall MR, Clark LG (2016) Phylogenetic estimation and morphological evolution of Arundinarieae (Bambusoideae: Poaceae) based on plastoma phylogenomic analysis. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 101:111–121.

Bock R. (Ed.) (2007) Cell and Molecular Biology of Plastids (Vol. 19). Springer Science & Business Media.

Bodrati A, CockleK (2006) Habitat, distribution, and conservation of Atlantic forest birds in Argentina: notes on nine rare or threatened species. Ornitología Neotropical, 17: 243-258.

Burke SV, Grennan CP, Duvall MR (2012) Plastome sequences of two New World bamboos: *Arundinaria gigantea* and *Cryptochloa strictiflora* (Poaceae)—extend phylogenomic understanding of Bambusoideae. American Journal of Botany, 99(12): 1951-1961.

Burke SV, Clark LG, Triplett JK, Grennan CP, Duvall MR (2014) Biogeography and phylogenomics of new world Bambusoideae (Poaceae), revisited. American Journal of Botany, 101(5): 886-891.

Burke SV, Lin CS, Wysocki WP, Clark LG, Duvall MR (2016) Phylogenomics and plastome evolution of tropical forest grasses (Leptaspis, Streptochaeta: Poaceae). Frontiers in Plant Science, 7: 1993.

Chen H, Shao J, Zhang H, Jiang M, Huang L, Zhang Z, et al. (2018) Sequencing and analysis of *Strobilanthes cusia* (Nees) Kuntze chloroplast genome revealed the rare simultaneous contraction and expansion of the inverted repeat region in angiosperm. Frontiers in Plant Science, 9: 324.

Choi IS, Jansen R, Ruhlman T (2019) Lost and found: return of the inverted repeat in the legume clade defined by its absence. Genome Biology and Evolution, 11(4):1321–33.

Clark LG, Oliveira RP (2018) Diversity and evolution of the new world bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae, Olyreae). In: Proceedings of the 11th World Bamboo Congress, Xalapa. Mexico. Plymouth, The World Bamboo Organization, pp 35–47

Clark LG, Londoño X, Ruiz-Sanchez E (2015) Bamboo taxonomy and habitat. In: Liese W, Kohl M (eds) Bamboo, Tropical forestry, v.10., Springer, Switzerland, pp 1–30.

Corahua-Espinoza T, Nakahara S, Kabir J, Shellman B, Tejeira R, Ccahuana R, Gallice G (2022) Immature stages and new host plant records for four satyrine species feeding on herbaceous bamboos in southeastern Peru (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae: Satyrini). Zootaxa, 5125(1): 37-62.
Daniell H, Lin CS, Yu M, Chang WJ (2016) Chloroplast genomes: diversity, evolution, and applications in genetic engineering. Genome Biology, 17(1): 134.

Davis JI, Soreng RJ (2010) Migration of endpoints of two genes relative to boundaries between regions of the plastid genome in the grass family (Poaceae). American Journal of Botany, 97(5), 874-892.

Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1990). Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus, 12:13-15.

Duvall M.R., Burke S.V., Clark D.C. (2020) Plastome phylogenomics of Poaceae: alternate topologies depend on alignment gaps. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1): 9-20.

Filgueiras TS, Viana PL (2017) Bambus brasileiros: morfologia, taxonomia, distribuição e conservação. Bambus no Brasil: da biologia à tecnologia. Rio de Janeiro: ICH, 10-27.

Fisher AE, Clark LG, Kelchner SA (2014) Molecular phylogeny estimation of the bamboo genus Chusquea (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae) and description of two new subgenera. Systematic Botany, 39(3): 829-844.

Gasteiger E, Gattiker A, Hoogland C, Ivanyi I, Appel RD, Bairoch A. (2003) ExPASy: The proteomics server for in-depth protein knowledge and analysis. Nucleic Acids Research, 31(13):3784–3788.

Green BR (2011) Chloroplast genomes of photosynthetic eukaryotes. The Plant Journal, 66(1): 34-44.

Greiner S, Sobanski J, Bock R (2014) Why are most organelle genomes transmitted maternally? Bioessays, 37(1): 80-94.

Greiner S, Lehwark P, Bock R (2019) OrganellarGenomeDRAW (OGDRAW) version 1.3.1: expanded toolkit for the graphical visualization of organellar genomes. Nucleic Acids Research 47: W59-W64.

Guerreiro C (2014) Flowering cycles of woody bamboos native to southern South America. Journal of Plant Research, 127: 307–313.

Guisinger MM, Chumley TW, Kuehl JV, Boore JL, Jansen RK (2010) Implications of the plastid genome sequence of Typha (Typhaceae, Poales) for understanding genome evolution in Poaceae. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 70(2): 149-166.

Guo ZH, Ma PF, Yang GQ, Hu JY, Liu YL, Xia EH, et al. (2019) Genome sequences provide insights into the reticulate origin and unique traits of woody bamboos. Molecular Plant, 12(10): 1353-1365.

He J, Yao M, Lyu RD, Lin LL, Liu HJ, Pei LY, et al. (2019) Structural variation of the complete chloroplast genome and plastid phylogenomics of the genus Asteropyrum (Ranunculaceae). Scientific Reports, 9(1): 1-13.

Jansen RK, Ruhlman TA (2012) Plastid genomes of seed plants. In.: Genomics of chloroplasts and mitochondria (pp. 103-126). Springer, Dordrecht.

Junge W (2019) Oxygenic photosynthesis: history, status and perspective. Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics, 52, e1, 1–17.

Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD (2019) MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 20(4), 1160-1166.

Keeling PJ (2010) The endosymbiotic origin, diversification and fate of plastids. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1541): 729-748.

Kelchner SA, BPG (Bamboo Phylogeny Group) (2013) Higher level phylogenetic relationships within the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on five plastid markers. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 67: 404-413.

Kellermann B, Lacerda AEB (2019) Arrested development? Investigating the role of bamboo in Araucaria Forest succession in Southern Brazil. Journal of Plant Ecology, 12(6): 1034-1046.

Li W, Shi C, Li K, Zhang QJ, Tong Y, Zhang Y, et al. (2021) Draft genome of the herbaceous bamboo Raddia distichophylla. G3, 11(2), jkaa049.

Liu K, Wang R, Guo XX, Zhang XJ, Qu XJ, Fan SJ (2021) Comparative and Phylogenetic Analysis of Complete Chloroplast Genomes in Eragrostideae (Chloridoideae, Poaceae). Plants, 10(1), 109.

Loeuille B, Thode V, Siniscalchi C, Andrade S, Rossi M, Pirani JR (2021) Extremely low nucleotide diversity among thirty-six new chloroplast genome sequences from Aldama (Heliantheae, Asteraceae) and comparative chloroplast genomics analyses with closely related genera. PeerJ, 9, e10886.

Lowe TM, Chan PP (2016) tRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes. Nucleic acids research, 44(W1), W54-W57.

Ma PF, Zhang YX, Guo ZH, Li DZ (2015) Evidence for horizontal transfer of mitochondrial DNA to the plastid genome in a bamboo genus. Scientific reports, 5(1), 1-9.

Ma PF, Vorontsova MS, Nanjarisoa OP, Razanatsoa J, Guo ZH, Haevermans T, Li DZ (2017) Negative correlation between rates of molecular evolution and flowering cycles in temperate woody bamboos revealed by plastid phylogenomics. BMC Plant Biology, 17(1):1-15.

Mower JP, Vickrey TL (2018) Structural diversity among plastid genomes of land plants. Advances in botanical research, 85: 263-292.

Oliveira IL, Matos AO, Silva C, Carvalho MLS, Tyrrell CD, Clark LG, Oliveira RP (2020) Delving deeper into the phylogenetics of the herbaceous bamboos (Poaceae, Bambusoideae, Olyreae): evaluation of generic boundaries within the Parodiolyra/Raddiella clade uncovers a new genus. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 192(1): 61-81.

Rogalski M, do Nascimento Vieira L, Fraga HP, Guerra MP (2015) Plastid genomics in horticultural species: importance and applications for plant population genetics, evolution, and biotechnology. Frontiers in Plant Science, 6, 586.

Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE, Sánchez-Gracia A (2017). DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. Molecular biology and evolution, 34(12), 3299-3302.

Ruhlman TA, Jansen RK (2014) The plastid genomes of flowering plants. In Chloroplast biotechnology (pp. 3-38). Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.

Ruiz-Sanchez E, Castro-Castro A, Zamora-Tavares MDP (2021) Molecular and morphological data support the recognition of a new species of Otatea (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Guaduinae) from Durango, Mexico. Taxon, 1-14.

Saarela JM, Burke SV, Wysocki WP, Barrett MD, Clark LG, Craine JM, et al. (2018) A 250 plastome phylogeny of the grass family (Poaceae): topological support under different data partitions. PeerJ, 6, e4299.

Shi C, Hu N, Huang H, Gao J, Zhao YJ, Gao LZ (2012) An improved chloroplast DNA extraction procedure for whole plastid genome sequencing. Plos one, 7(2), e31468.

Stefenon VM, Klabunde G, Lemos RPM, Rogalski M, Nodari RO (2019) Phylogeography of plastid DNA sequences suggests post-glacial southward demographic expansion and the existence of several glacial refugia for Araucaria angustifolia. Sci Rep 9:2752.

Sungkaew S, Stapleton CMA, Salamin N, Hodkinson TR (2009) Nonmonophyly of the woody bamboos (Bambuseae; Poaceae): A multi-gene region phylogenetic analysis of Bambusoideae s.s., Journal of Plant Research, 122: 95-108.

Timmis JN, Ayliffe MA, Huang CY, Martin W (2004) Endosymbiotic gene transfer: organelle genomes forage eukaryotic chromosomes. Nature Reviews Genetics, 5: 123-135.

Triplett JK, Clark LG, Fisher AE, Wen J (2014) Independent allopolyploidization events preceded speciation in the temperate and tropical woody bamboos. New Phytologist, 204(1): 66-73.

Vieira, LN, Faoro H, Fraga HPDF, Rogalski M, de Souza EM, de Oliveira Pedrosa F, et al. (2014) An improved protocol for intact chloroplasts and cpDNA isolation in conifers. PLoS One, 9(1), e84792.

Vieira LN, Anjos KG, Faoro H, Fraga HPF, Greco TM, Oliveira Pedrosa F., et al. (2015) Phylogenetic inference and SSR characterization of tropical woody bamboos tribe Bambuseae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on complete plastid genome sequences. Current Genetics, 62(2), 443-453.

Vu HT, Tran N, Nguyen TD, Vu QL, Bui MH, Le MT, Le L (2020) Complete chloroplast genome of Paphiopedilum delenatii and phylogenetic relationships among Orchidaceae. Plants, 9(1), 61.

Wang RJ, Cheng CL, Chang CC, Wu CL, Su TM, Chaw SM (2008) Dynamics and evolution of the inverted repeat-large single copy junctions in the chloroplast genomes of monocots. BMC evolutionary biology, 8(1), 1-14.

Wang W, Chen S, Zhang X (2018) Whole-genome comparison reveals divergent IR borders and mutation hotspots in chloroplast genomes of herbaceous bamboos (Bambusoideae: Olyreae). Molecules, 23(7), 1537.

Wang W, Chen S, Guo W, Li Y, Zhang X (2020) Tropical plants evolve faster than their temperate relatives: a case from the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on chloroplast genome data. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 34(1), 482-493.

Wicke S, Schneeweiss GM, Depamphilis CW, Müller KF, Quandt D (2011) The evolution of the plastid chromosome in land plants: gene content, gene order, gene function. Plant Molecular Biology, 76(3), 273-297.

Wu M, Lan S, Cai B, Chen S, Chen H, Zhou S (2015) The complete chloroplast genome of Guadua angustifolia and comparative analyses of neotropical-paleotropical bamboos. PloS one, 10(12), e0143792.

Wysocki WP, Clark LG, Attigala L, Ruiz-Sanchez E, Duvall MR (2015) Evolution of the bamboos (Bambusoideae; Poaceae): a full plastome phylogenomic analysis. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 15:50

Xiong, Y, Xiong Y, Jia S, Ma X (2020) The complete chloroplast genome sequencing and comparative analysis of Reed Canary Grass (Phalaris arundinacea) and Hardinggrass (P. aquatica). Plants, 9(6), 748.

Zeng, CX, Zhang YX, Triplett JK, Yang JB, Li DZ (2010) Large multi-locus plastid phylogeny of the tribe Arundinarieae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) reveals ten major lineages and low rate of molecular divergence. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 56(2), 821-839.

Zhang YJ, Ma PF, Li DZ (2011) High-throughput sequencing of six bamboo chloroplast genomes: phylogenetic implications for temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). PloS one, 6(5), e20596.

Zhang SD, Jin JJ, Chen SY, Chase MW, Soltis DE, Li HT, et al. (2017) Diversification of Rosaceae since the Late Cretaceous based on plastid phylogenomics. New Phytologist, 214(3), 1355-1367.

Zhou Y, Zhang YQ, Xing XC, Zhang JQ, Ren Y (2019) Straight from the plastome: molecular phylogeny and morphological evolution of Fargesia (Bambusoideae: Poaceae). Frontiers in Plant Science, 10, 981.

Zhu A, Guo W, Gupta S, Fan W, Mower JP (2016) Evolutionary dynamics of the plastid inverted repeat: the effects of expansion, contraction, and loss on substitution rates. New Phytologist, 209(4), 1747-1756.

Ziccardi LG, Dos Reis M, Graça PMLDA, Gonçalves NB, Pontes-Lopes A, Aragao LE, et al. (2021) Forest fires facilitate growth of herbaceous bamboos in central Amazonia. Biotropica, 53(4): 1021-1030.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

**Table S1**. General features of reads obtained by short-read and long-read sequencing of

 the plastomes of *Parodiolyra micrantha*, *Guadua trinii* and *Apoclada simplex*.

	P. micrantha <sup>1</sup>	G. trinii 1	A. simplex <sup>2</sup>
Total number of reads	666,614	280,966	1,344,307
Average length (pb)	190.34	228.21	948.61
Number of reads after trimming	664,587	280,854	1,340,869
% of trimmed reads	99.7 %	99.96 %	99.74 %
Average length of trimmed reads (pb)	189.87	227.02	348.61

<sup>1</sup>Paired-end reads obtained by Illumina MiSeq sequence platform; <sup>2</sup>Single-end reads obtained by Oxford Nanopore Technology (MinION).

Species	Accession GenBank n.	Plastome size (bp)	GC (%) <sup>b</sup>	LSC (bp) <sup>c</sup>	SSC (bp) <sup>d</sup>	IR (bp) <sup>e</sup>	CDS (bp) <sup>f</sup>		
Bambuseae, Guaduinae subtribe									
G. angustifolia	NC_029749.1	135,331	38.73	82,839	12,898	19,797	60,405		
G. chacoensis	NC_029232.1	135,403	38.76	82,877	12,980	19,773	60,414		
G. weberbaueri	NC_026991.1	135,324	38.75	82,806	12,930	19,794	57,012		
Otatea acuminata	NC_026971.1	136,351	38.78	82,859	12,948	20,272	59,610		
Olmeca reflexa	NC_026965.1	136,213	38.77	82,726	12,945	20,271	59,403		
Olyreae, Olyrinae subtribe									
Cryptochloa strictiflora	JX235348.1ª	135,033	38.25	80,556	13,465	20,506	59,442		
Diandrolyra sp.	NC_026960.1	137,469	38.81	81,752	13,259	21,229	56,784		
Lithachne pauciflora	NC_026970.1	135,385	38.62	79,465	13,676	21,122	56,607		
Olyra latifolia	NC_024165.1	136,785	38.87	80,729	12,944	21,556	56,724		
Raddia brasiliensis	NC_026966.1	135,739	38.85	80,713	13,000	21,013	59,709		
Rehia nervata	NC_039984.1	136,700	38.82	80,931	13,273	21,248	60,234		

**Table S2.** Reference genomes used in plastomes comparative analysis of *Guadua trinii*,Apoclada simplex (Bambuseae tribe) and Parodiolyra micrantha (Olyreae tribe).

<sup>a</sup>Accession unavailable at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome platform; <sup>b</sup>GC content; <sup>c</sup>total length of large (LSC) and <sup>d</sup>small single copy regions (SSC); <sup>e</sup>inverted repeats (IR); <sup>f</sup>coding sequences (CDS).

## 6. CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS

Os bambus são gramíneas de ampla distribuição global e de grande relevância socioeconômica e ecológica. O Brasil engloba um dos maiores níveis de diversidade e endemismo de espécies, porém com constante grau de ameaça decorrente da fragmentação dos seus habitats de ocorrência, como a Mata Atlântica e a Amazônia. Estudos sobre a diversidade e evolução das espécies são, portanto, importantes ferramentas para o conhecimento aprofundado deste grupo pouco estudado.

A avaliação de regiões candidatas a *barcode* em Bambusoideae traz a luz a aplicação desta técnica em uma ampla escala amostral. Considerando os padrões evolutivos da subfamília, como hibridização, poliploidia e ocorrência de cruzamento intergenérico, o uso de marcadores moleculares para identificação de espécies com base no conceito de monofilia recíproca, ou seja, na presunção de espécie como unidade evolutiva definida, pode tornar-se pouco informativo quando avaliado em um grupo com taxas de evolução molecular tão diversa, como os bambus. Portanto, estudos complementares se fazem necessários, adicionando-se um maior número de marcadores, a fim de aumentar a resolução analítica de DNA barcoding em Bambusoideae.

A execução das atividades referentes a este capítulo proporcionou a inserção deste tema no Laboratório de Fisiologia do Desenvolvimento e Genética Vegetal (LFDGV-UFSC), bem como o estabelecimento de parcerias em outros trabalhos correlatos, com ênfase em DNA *barcode*, no LFDGV. Estas atividades possibilitarão a expansão do conhecimento e o poder de resolução da aplicação da técnica em diferentes grupos de organismos, assim como o fortalecimento desta linha de pesquisa no âmbito do LFDGV e do PPGRGV.

O sequenciamento e a montagem do genoma plastidial de três espécies neotropicais, nativas do Brasil – *Parodiolyra micrantha, Guadua trinii* e *Apoclada simplex* – tornou-se possível a partir de parcerias previamente estabelecidas entre o Núcleo de Fixação de Nitrogênio (UFPR), Prof<sup>a</sup> Leila do Nascimento (UFPR), e Prof<sup>o</sup> Valdir Stefenon (UFSC). A análise comparativa destes novos plastomas sequenciados permitiu a identificação de padrões evolutivos conservados, como ordem e número gênico, apesar da variação de tamanho total observada. Ainda a identificação de regiões altamente polimórficas pode contribuir para o desenvolvimento de marcadores moleculares aplicados à discriminação de espécies e inferências filogenéticas do grupo de bambus neotropical, bem como no desenvolviento de trabalhos futuros para a compreensão do estado de conservação de populações nativas.